

Computability Final Test — 2014-06-12

Notes.

- Answer both theory questions, and choose and solve two exercises, only. Solving more exercises results in the failure of the test.
- To pass the exam you need to provide a reasonable contribution in *both* Theory and Exercises parts.
- Exercises with higher number award more points. To achieve a score ≥ 28 you have to solve an exercise marked with \star below.
- Significantly wrong answers will result in negative scores.
- Always provide a justification for your answers.

Reminder: when equating the results of partial functions (as in $\phi_i(3) = \phi_i(5)$), we mean that either 1) both sides of the equation are defined, and evaluate to the same natural number, or 2) both sides are undefined.

Theory

Question 1. *Prove Cantor's theorem stating that there is no bijection between A and $\mathcal{P}(A)$ for any set A .*

Question 2. *State and prove the second recursion theorem.*

Exercises

Exercise 3. *Prove whether*

$$A = \{n + 1 \mid \forall x. \phi_n(2 \cdot x + 1) = 5\} \in \mathcal{RE}$$

Solution (sketch). Let $B = \{n \mid \forall x. \phi_n(2 \cdot x + 1) = 5\}$ is \mathcal{RE} . We have $B \leq_m A$ with reduction $h(n) = n + 1$ (easy to check). We now prove $B \notin \mathcal{RE}$, which enables to conclude that $A \notin \mathcal{RE}$ exploiting the previous reduction.

To prove $B \notin \mathcal{RE}$, we apply Rice-Shapiro (\Rightarrow). B is semantically closed (easy). Let \mathcal{F} be the associated set of functions. Take $f(n) = 5$. Clearly f is recursive and belongs to \mathcal{F} . Let g be any arbitrary finite-domain restriction of f . We have $g \notin \mathcal{F}$, since otherwise g would be defined on all the points of the form $2 \cdot x + 1$, i.e. on all odd naturals, hence on infinitely many points – contradicting the assumption that $\text{dom}(g)$ is finite. \square

Exercise 4. *Prove whether*

$$B = \{n \mid \forall x. \phi_n(x + 1) = x \vee x \geq 3\} \leq_m \mathbf{K}$$

Solution (sketch). The statement is true. Since \mathbf{K} is \mathcal{RE} -complete, it suffices to prove that $B \in \mathcal{RE}$. We have

$$B = \{n \mid \forall x < 3. \phi_n(x+1) = x\}$$

That is,

$$B = \{n \mid \phi_n(1) = 0 \wedge \phi_n(2) = 1 \wedge \phi_n(3) = 2\}$$

The last property is a conjunction of three parts. If we prove these parts to be \mathcal{RE} predicates of n , we can conclude that the set B is \mathcal{RE} . Indeed, proving that the first part is \mathcal{RE} can be done by writing a semiverifier as follows:

$S_0(n)$:

```

run  $\phi_n(1)$ 
take its result  $z$ 
if  $z \neq 0$ , loop forever
return 1

```

It is easy to check that the above is indeed a semiverifier. Writing the semiverifiers for the other two predicates is done in a similar way (only numeric constants change). \square

Exercise 5. Prove that we do **not** have

$$C = \{n \mid \exists x \in \mathbb{N}. \phi_n(x^2) \text{ is defined}\} \leq_m \bar{\mathbf{K}}$$

Solution (sketch). If the above were true, we would also have, by complementing both sides:

$$\bar{C} = \{n \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{N}. \phi_n(x^2) = \text{undefined}\} \leq_m \mathbf{K}$$

The above implies that $\bar{C} \in \mathcal{RE}$. However, we can prove $\bar{C} \notin \mathcal{RE}$ by Rice-Shapiro (\Leftarrow). Indeed, \bar{C} is semantically closed (easy to check). Let then \mathcal{F} be its associated set of functions. The always undefined function $g(n) = \text{undefined}$ clearly belongs to \mathcal{F} , and is finite. The identity function $f(n) = n$ is a recursive extension of g and we also have $f \notin \mathcal{F}$ since e.g. $f(2^2) = 4 \neq \text{undefined}$. Hence, $\bar{C} \notin \mathcal{RE}$. \square

Exercise 6. \star Consider the following set of total functions

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ h \in (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \mid \forall n, x \in \mathbb{N}. \left(\begin{array}{l} \phi_n \in \mathcal{PR} \implies \phi_{h(n)}(x) = x^2 + 1 \\ \wedge \\ \phi_n \notin \mathcal{PR} \implies \phi_{h(n)}(x) = 2 \cdot x + 10 \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

Prove whether $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ and whether $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$.

Solution (sketch). Intentionally omitted. \square