

## Computability Endterm Test — 2008-12-17

**Exercise 1.** State whether  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  where

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \phi_n(4) + 5 & \text{if } \phi_n(4) \text{ is defined} \\ 3 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Justify your answer.

**Answer.**  $f \notin \mathcal{R}$ . By contradiction, assume  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ , so  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -defined by some  $F$ . Then  $V_A = \lambda n. \mathbf{Eq} \ulcorner 3 \urcorner (Fn)$  is a verifier for the set

$$A = \{n \mid \phi_n(4) \text{ is not defined}\}$$

Indeed, when  $n \in A$ , then  $f(n) = 3$  and  $V_A \ulcorner n \urcorner = \mathbf{T}$ . Otherwise, when  $n \notin A$ , then  $f(n) \geq 5$ , so  $f(n) \neq 3$  and  $V_A \ulcorner n \urcorner = \mathbf{F}$ .

However this is a contradiction, since  $A \notin \mathcal{R}$ , which we can prove by Rice. Clearly,  $\#\Omega \in A$ , and  $\#\mathbf{I} \notin A$ . Also  $A$  is semantically closed: if  $n \in A$  and  $\phi_n = \phi_m$ , then  $\phi_n(4)$  is not defined, therefore  $\phi_m(4)$  is not defined, so  $m \in A$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.** State whether these sets are in  $\mathcal{R}$ , in  $\mathcal{RE} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ , or not in  $\mathcal{RE}$ . Justify your answers.

- $A = \{n \mid \phi_n(5) \text{ is defined and } \phi_n(6) \text{ is not defined}\}$
- $B = \{n \mid \exists y. \phi_n(3 \cdot y) \text{ is defined}\}$

**Answer (A).** By Rice-Shapiro we show that  $A \notin \mathcal{RE}$ . First, note that  $A = \{n \mid \phi_n \in \mathcal{F}\}$  where  $\mathcal{F} = \{f \in \mathcal{R} \mid f(5) \text{ is defined but } f(6) \text{ is not}\}$ . By contradiction, assume  $A \in \mathcal{RE}$ . Let  $g$  be the function such that  $g(5) = 5$  and  $g(x)$  is undefined for all  $x \neq 5$ . Clearly  $\text{dom}(g) = \{5\}$  is finite, and  $g \subseteq id$ , where  $id$  is the identity. By Rice-Shapiro,  $id$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ , which is a contradiction because  $id(6)$  is defined.  $\square$

**Answer (B).**  $B \in \mathcal{RE}$ , because

$$B = \{n \mid \exists k. \text{pair}(n, k) \in B'\} \quad \text{where} \\ B' = \{\text{pair}(n, k) \mid \text{running } \phi_n(3 \cdot \text{proj1}(k)) \text{ halts in } \text{proj2}(k) \text{ steps}\}$$

and  $B'$  is clearly recursive. Also,  $B \notin \mathcal{R}$ , which we prove by Rice. First,  $\#\mathbf{I} \in B$  and  $\#\Omega \notin B$ . Then,  $B$  is semantically closed: when  $n \in B$  and  $\phi_n = \phi_m$ ,  $\phi_n(3 \cdot y)$  is defined for some  $y$ , so  $\phi_m(3 \cdot y)$  is defined for the same  $y$ , implying  $m \in B$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 3.** Define  $C = \{2 \cdot n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Prove or refute the following statements.

- $C \in \mathcal{RE}$
- $\forall D. \left( D \subseteq C \implies \bar{K} \leq_m D \cup \{2 \cdot n + 1 \mid n \notin K\} \right)$
- If  $E = \{2 \cdot n \mid n \in K\} \cup \{2 \cdot n + 1 \mid n \notin K\}$ , then  $E \in \mathcal{RE}$ .
- If  $E$  is defined as above, then  $(\mathbb{N} \setminus E) \in \mathcal{RE}$

**Answer (C).** Clearly,  $V_C = \mathbf{Even}$  is a verifier, so  $C \in \mathcal{R}$ , therefore  $C \in \mathcal{RE}$ .  $\square$

**Answer (D).** The statement is true. Take  $h(n) = 2 \cdot n + 1$ , which is total recursive. Note that  $D \cup \{2 \cdot n + 1 \mid n \notin K\} = D \cup \{h(n) \mid n \in \bar{K}\}$ .

- if  $n \in \bar{K}$ , then  $h(n) \in \{h(n) \mid n \in \bar{K}\}$  and so  $h(n) \in D \cup \{h(n) \mid n \in \bar{K}\}$ .
- if  $n \notin \bar{K}$ , then  $h(n) \notin \{h(n) \mid n \in \bar{K}\}$  since  $h$  is injective. Also,  $h(n)$  is odd, so  $h(n) \notin D$  because  $D \subseteq C$ . So,  $h(n) \notin D \cup \{h(n) \mid n \in \bar{K}\}$ .

Therefore,  $\bar{K} \leq_m D \cup \{2 \cdot n + 1 \mid n \notin K\}$ .  $\square$

**Answer (E).** Since  $\{2 \cdot n \mid n \in K\} \subseteq C$ , by (D), we get  $\bar{K} \leq_m E$ , so  $E \notin \mathcal{RE}$ .  $\square$

**Answer ( $\bar{E}$ ).** First, let *Even* be the set of even naturals, and *Odd* the set of the odd naturals. Since  $f(i) = 2 \cdot i$  and  $g(i) = 2 \cdot i + 1$  are injective, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N} \setminus \{2 \cdot n \mid n \in K\} &= \{2 \cdot n \mid n \notin K\} \cup \text{Odd} \\ \mathbb{N} \setminus \{2 \cdot n + 1 \mid n \notin K\} &= \{2 \cdot n + 1 \mid n \in K\} \cup \text{Even} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N} \setminus E &= \mathbb{N} \setminus (\{2 \cdot n \mid n \in K\} \cup \{2 \cdot n + 1 \mid n \notin K\}) \\ &= (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{2 \cdot n \mid n \in K\}) \cap (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{2 \cdot n + 1 \mid n \notin K\}) \\ &= (\{2 \cdot n \mid n \notin K\} \cup \text{Odd}) \cap (\{2 \cdot n + 1 \mid n \in K\} \cup \text{Even}) \\ &= \{2 \cdot n \mid n \notin K\} \cup \{2 \cdot n + 1 \mid n \in K\} \end{aligned}$$

It is now easy to show that  $\bar{K} \leq_m \bar{E}$  using the m-reduction  $h(n) = 2 \cdot n$ . Indeed, the proof is analogous to that of (D). This implies  $\bar{E} \notin \mathcal{RE}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 4.** Prove or refute the following statements.

- $\forall A. \left( A \in \mathcal{RE} \vee \bar{A} \in \mathcal{RE} \right)$
- $\forall A. \left( A \in \mathcal{RE} \implies \bar{A} \notin \mathcal{RE} \right)$

**Answer (1).** The statement is false: taking  $A = E$  from Ex. 3 suffices.

Alternatively,  $A = \{n \mid \phi_n \text{ is total}\}$  also provides a counterexample, as can be shown by Rice-Shapiro.  $\square$

**Answer (2).** The statement is false. Take  $A = \emptyset$ : clearly  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{RE}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{RE}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 5.** Let  $f$  be a total recursive function such that

$$\forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}. (i < j \implies f(i) < f(j))$$

Is  $\text{dom}(f) \in \mathcal{R}$ ? Is  $\text{ran}(f) \in \mathcal{R}$ ? Justify your answers.

**Answer.** Trivially,  $\text{dom}(f) = \mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{R}$ .

The function  $f$  is strictly increasing, and this implies that  $f(i) \geq i$  for all  $i$ . Therefore,  $n \in \text{ran}(f)$  if and only if  $n \in A = \{f(0), \dots, f(n)\}$ . Indeed,

- if  $n \in A$ , clearly  $n \in \text{ran}(f)$
- if  $n \in \text{ran}(f)$ , then  $n = f(i)$  for some  $i$ . By the fact above,  $n = f(i) \geq i$ , so  $n \in A$ .

Checking whether  $n \in A$  can be effectively performed by computing the sequence  $f(0), \dots, f(n)$ , which is possible because  $f$  is total, and comparing  $n$  with the elements of this sequence. So,  $\text{ran}(f) \in \mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

**Note.** Exercise 6 is **optional**. Solve it only if time allows.

**Exercise 6.** Formally prove that if  $g(-, -)$  is a function in  $\mathcal{PR}$ , then the function  $f(x) = g(x, x)$  is in  $\mathcal{PR}$ . Starting from  $g$  and the initial functions, only, build  $f$  using the constructs in the definition of  $\mathcal{PR}$ . Make every use of composition or primitive recursion explicit.

**Answer.** Consider the projection function  $f_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) = x_i$  when  $i = n = 1$ . That is just the identity function  $id(x) = x$ . By general composition applied to  $g(-, -)$ ,  $id(-)$ , and  $id(-)$  again, we have that

$$f(x) = g(id(x), id(x)) = g(x, x)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{PR}$ .  $\square$