## Formal Techniques – 2019-07-11

**Exercise 1.** Let  $\alpha : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\leftarrow} \mathcal{A} : \gamma$  be a Galois connection between the CLs  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$  and  $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  be continuous functions. Assume that g is a correct approximation of f, prove that

$$\operatorname{fix}(f) \sqsubseteq \gamma(\operatorname{fix}(g))$$

**Exercise 2.** Formalize the following cryptographic protocol fragment using the applied-pi notation.

Initially, a symmetric key  $k_1$  is shared between Alice and Bob. Alice also knows keys  $k_2, k_3$  and a message m.

1) Alice sends  $k_3$  to Bob, encrypting it using  $k_2$ . Alice also sends  $k_2$  to Bob, encrypting it using  $k_1$ , and sends m, encrypting it using  $k_3$ .

2) After receiving the messages, Bob generates a fresh key J, and sends to Alice the hash of m, encrypting it using J. He also sends J, encrypting it using  $k_2$ .

3) Alice receives the messages, and checks that the hash of m is correct. If so, it sends ok back to Bob.

**Exercise 3.** Consider the following tree automaton

and the rewriting rule

$$\mathsf{dec}(\mathsf{enc}(M,K),K) \Rightarrow M$$

Apply the completion algorithm to the above automaton, building an overapproximation for the languages associated to its states which is closed under rewriting. Assuming @a models the set of messages being exchanged over a public channel, state what can be concluded about the secrecy of message m.

**Exercise 4.** Formally prove the following formula exploiting the Curry-Howard isomorphism.

 $\forall p, q, r : \mathsf{Prop.} \ (p \to q) \to [(q \to r) \to ((r \to p) \to [(p \to q) \land (q \to p)])]$ 

**Exercise 5.** Prove that the distributivity law  $x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) = (x \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z)$  does not always hold in a CL A, for all elements  $x, y, z \in A$ . Then, prove that the law  $x \sqcap (x \sqcup y) = x$  instead always holds in any CL A, for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Exercise 6.** An " $\omega$ -chain of DCPOs" is a sequence  $D = (D_i, f_i : D_{i+1} \rightarrow D_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where each  $D_i$  is a DCPO and each  $f_i$  is a continuous function. Given any such D, we define its limit as the following DCPO, ordered pointwise, and having pointwise suprema (you do not have to prove this claim):

$$\lim_{i} D_{i} = \left\{ d \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_{i} \mid \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \ d_{i} = f_{i}(d_{i+1}) \right\}$$

Two DCPOs X, Y are said to be isomorphic  $(X \cong Y)$  iff there is a continuous bijection  $X \to Y$  having a continuous inverse  $Y \to X$ . Prove that, for any DCPO A and any  $\omega$ -chain of DCPOs D (as above), there exists an isomorphism

$$A \times (\lim_{i} D_i) \cong \lim_{i} (A \times D_i)$$

where the last limit refers to the  $\omega$ -chain of DCPOs defined as the sequence  $(A \times D_i, g_i : A \times D_{i+1} \to A \times D_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $g_i(a, x) = (a, f_i(x))$ .