

Exercise 1. Provide the definition of “complete lattice” (CL). Then prove that if (A, \sqsubseteq) is a CL, then $(A, \sqsubseteq)^{op} = (A, \supseteq)$ is also a CL.

Exercise 2. Consider the following protocol excerpt written in the applied-pi notation.

$$(\text{out } a . () \mid \text{in } Y . \text{out } f(Y) . () \mid \text{in } X . \text{in } Z . \text{out } h(X, X, Z) . ())$$

Apply the control flow analysis to the protocol above, generating a tree automaton to over-approximate the message flow, as done by function $\text{gen}(\dots)$. Provide a list of states for such automaton and the transitions among them. Make each state clearly related to a part of the protocol above.

Exercise 3. Consider the following tree automaton

$$\begin{array}{llll} @a \rightarrow \text{enc}(@b, @c), \text{dec}(@a, @d) & @b \rightarrow m & @c \rightarrow \text{dec}(@e, @e) & \\ @d \rightarrow k1 & @e \rightarrow k2, \text{enc}(@f, @g) & @f \rightarrow k1, m & @g \rightarrow k1, k2 \end{array}$$

and the rewriting rule

$$\text{dec}(\text{enc}(M, K), K) \Rightarrow M$$

Apply the completion algorithm to the above automaton, building an over-approximation for the languages associated to its states which is closed under rewriting. Assuming $@a$ models the set of messages being exchanged over a public channel, state what can be concluded about the secrecy of message m .

Exercise 4. Formally prove the following formula exploiting the Curry-Howard isomorphism.

$$\forall p, q, r : \text{Prop. } ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow ((q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow ((r \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (r \rightarrow (r \wedge q))))))$$

Exercise 5. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ be three CLs, and $\alpha_1 : \mathcal{C} \xleftarrow{\gamma_1} \mathcal{B}$ and $\alpha_2 : \mathcal{B} \xleftarrow{\gamma_2} \mathcal{A}$ be two Galois connections. Construct a Galois connection $\alpha : \mathcal{C} \xleftarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{A}$ and prove it is such.

Exercise 6. Let \mathcal{A} be a CL, and $w : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a Scott-continuous function, and assume (1) $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}. w(x, y) \sqsupseteq x \sqcup y$. For any ω -chain $a = (a_0 \sqsubseteq a_1 \sqsubseteq \dots)$ of elements of \mathcal{A} denote with a^w the infinite sequence inductively given by $a_0^w = a_0$ and $a_{n+1}^w = w(a_n^w, a_{n+1})$.

1. [4%] Prove that for any ω -chain a the sequence a^w is also an ω -chain.

Further assume that (2) for each ω -chain a the ω -chain a^w eventually stabilizes ($\exists n. \forall m \geq n. a_n^w = a_m^w$). Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a Scott-continuous function. Define an infinite sequence f^w as follows

$$f_0^w = \perp$$

$$f_{n+1}^w = \begin{cases} f_n^w & \text{if } f(f_n^w) \sqsubseteq f_n^w \\ w(f_n^w, f(f_n^w)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. [4%] Prove that f^w is an ω -chain.

3. [92%] Prove that f^w eventually stabilizes. You can follow these hints.

(a) Proceed by contradiction, assuming f^w never stabilizes. Prove that $f(f_n^w) \sqsubseteq f_n^w$ can not hold for any n , and simplify the definition of f_{n+1}^w accordingly.

(b) Consider then the sequence a given by $a_0 = \perp$ and $a_{n+1} = f(f_n^w)$. Prove that a is an ω -chain, and that $a^w = f^w$. Exploit (2) and find a contradiction.