Formal Techniques – 2017-07-07

Exercise 1. Let A be a poset, and $f : A \to A$ be monotonic. Prove that the least prefixed point of f is also its least fixed point.

Exercise 2. Consider the following protocol excerpt written in the applied-pi notation.

 $(in W . out f(W) . () \mid out a . ! . in Y . out g(Y) . ())$

Apply the control flow analysis to the protocol above, generating a tree automaton to over-approximate the message flow, as done by function gen(...). Provide a list of states for such automaton and the transitions among them. Make each state clearly related to a part of the protocol above.

Exercise 3. Consider the following tree automaton

 $\begin{array}{ll} @a \rightarrow k1, enc(@c, @b), dec(@a, @a) & @b \rightarrow dec(@e, @d) \\ @c \rightarrow enc(@f, @f) & @d \rightarrow k1 & @e \rightarrow enc(@d, @d) & @f \rightarrow m \end{array}$

and the rewriting rule

$$\mathsf{dec}(\mathsf{enc}(M,K),K) \Rightarrow M$$

Apply the completion algorithm to the above automaton, building an overapproximation for the languages associated to its states which is closed under rewriting. Assuming @a models the set of messages being exchanged over a public channel, state what can be concluded about the secrecy of message m.

Exercise 4. Formally prove the following formula exploiting the Curry-Howard isomorphism.

 $\forall p, q, r, s : \mathsf{Prop.} \ [(p \land (q \lor r)) \to [((p \land q) \to s) \to [((p \land r) \to s) \to s]]]$

Exercise 5. Construct DCPOs A, B and a function $f : A \to A$ such that:

- 1. $B \subseteq A$ is a DCPO with the induced ordering,
- 2. f is Scott-continuous, and its restriction $f|_B$ is $B \to B$ and Scottcontinuous (no proof is required for this point), and
- 3. for some $b \in B$, we have that $\{x \in B \mid f(x) = x \sqsupseteq_B b\}$ is nonempty but has no minimum, yet $\{x \in A \mid f(x) = x \sqsupseteq_A b\}$ has a minimum.

Exercise 6. Let C, A_1, A_2 be CLs, ordered by $\sqsubseteq_C, \sqsubseteq_{A_1}, \sqsubseteq_{A_2}$ respectively. Assume these CLs are related by two Galois connections $\alpha_i : C \xrightarrow{\leftarrow} A_i : \gamma_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

 Construct a Galois connection α : C → (A₁ × A₂) : γ exploiting the two Galois connections above, and prove it is such. (Reminder: the product of two CLs has the pointwise ordering)

Now, take $C = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$, ordered by inclusion. Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\perp, 0, 1, \ldots, 5, \top\}$, and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{\perp, 0, 1, \ldots, 9, \top\}$, where different numbers are incomparable, and the rest of the elements are ordered in the natural way. Further consider

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(\bot) &= \emptyset \quad \gamma_1(n) = \{n + 6k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \gamma_1(\top) = \mathbb{Z} \\ \gamma_2(\bot) &= \emptyset \quad \gamma_2(n) = \{n + 10k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \gamma_2(\top) = \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \ defined \ accordingly \end{aligned}$$

- 2. Consider the following properties on pairs $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in (\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2)$ where α, γ are from point 1 above. (Mind the strict inequalities)
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \alpha(\gamma(a_1)) = a_1 & \alpha(\gamma(a_2)) \sqsubset a_2 \\ \alpha(\gamma(a_3)) \sqsupset a_3 & \alpha(\gamma(a_4)) \text{ can not be compared to } a_4 \end{array}$

For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, either provide a value for the pair a_i such that it satisfies its related property above, or prove that no such pair a_i exists.