## Year 2013/14 - Number 8

December 2, 2013

Please keep this file anonymous: do not write your name inside this file. More information about assignments at

http://disi.unitn.it/zunino/teaching/computability/assignments.

**Preliminaries 1.** Recall that an equivalence relation  $\sim$  over a set A is a binary relation that satisfies all of the following:

- 1.  $\forall x \in A.x \sim x$  (reflexivity);
- 2.  $\forall x, y \in A.x \sim y \Rightarrow y \sim x$  (symmetry);
- 3.  $\forall x, y, z \in A.x \sim y \land y \sim z \Rightarrow x \sim z$  (transitivity).

If A is a set and  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation over A, then for all  $x \in A$  one can define the *equivalence class* of x with respect to  $\sim$ , that is the set  $[x] = \{y | y \in A \land x \sim y\}$ . We will denote by  $A / \sim$  the set of all equivalence classes of elements of A, that is  $A / \sim = \{[x] | x \in A\}$ .

**Question 2.** Let A be a set and ~ an equivalence relation over A. Show that, for all  $x, y \in A$ , either [x] = [y] or  $[x] \cap [y] = \emptyset$ . Hint: remember that, by the *law of excluded middle*, for any choice of  $x, y \in A$ , either  $x \sim y$  or  $x \nsim y$  (where  $x \nsim y$  means  $\neg(x \sim y)$ ).

**Answer 2.1.** By the law of excluded middle,  $\forall x, y \in A \Rightarrow x \sim y \lor x \nsim y$ :

- 1. If  $x \sim y$ , since  $[x] = \{y | y \in A \land x \sim y\}$ , then  $y \in [x]$ . Since  $\sim$  is a transitive relation,  $\forall z \in A.y \sim z \Rightarrow x \sim z$ . It follows that  $z \in [x]$ . Since  $z \in [y]$ , then  $[y] \subseteq [x]$ . With the same trick, we can prove that even  $[x] \subseteq [y]$ , therefore [x] = [y].
- 2. If  $x \neq y$ , since  $[x] = \{y | y \in A \land x \sim y\}$ , then  $y \notin [x]$ . Since  $\sim$  is a transitive relation,  $x \nsim y \Rightarrow x \nsim z \lor z \nsim y$  for some y. Suppose  $z \sim y$ , then  $x \nsim z$ . We can state that  $\forall z.z \sim y \Rightarrow z \nsim x \Rightarrow z \notin [x]$ , i.e.  $\forall z.z \in [y] \Rightarrow z \notin [x]$ , therefore  $[y] \cap [x] \neq \emptyset$ .

**Question 3.** Let  $f \in (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N})$ . For each of the relations below, prove wheter it is an equivalence relation over  $\mathbb{N}$ :

- 1.  $x \sim y$  if and only if f(x) = f(y);
- 2.  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ ;
- 3.  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $f^{-1}(x) \cap f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Answer 3.1.** 1.

- Reflexivity:  $x \sim x$  iff f(x) = f(x) OK
- Symmetry:  $x \sim y \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow f(y) = f(x) \Rightarrow y \sim x$  OK
- Transitivity:  $x \sim y, y \sim z \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y) \land f(y) = f(z) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(z) \Rightarrow x \sim z \text{ OK}$

This is an equivalence relation.

2.

• Reflexivity:  $x \sim x$  iff  $f(x) \neq f(x)$  NO

This isn't an equivalence relation.

3.

• Reflexivity:  $x \sim x$  iff  $f^{-1}(x) \cap f^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f^{-1}(x) \cap f^{-1}(x) = f^{-1}(x)$ , the property holds iff f is surjective. It follows that, in general, the relation  $\sim$  is not a reflexive relation.

Since the relation is not always reflexive, it's not even an equivalence relation.

**Question 4.** Let  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be an enumeration for the set of recursive partial functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\sim$  be the equivalence relation over  $\mathbb{N}$  defined as follows:  $i \sim j$  if and only if  $\varphi_i = \varphi_j$ . Moreover, let  $e \in (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{N})$  the partial function defined as  $e(a, b) = \varphi_a(b)$ . Prove that, if  $i \sim j$ , then  $\forall b \in \mathbb{N}, e(i, b) = e(j, b)$ .

Answer 4.1.  $i \sim j \Rightarrow \varphi_i = \varphi_j \Rightarrow \forall b \in dom(\varphi_i) = dom(\varphi_j), \varphi_i(b) = \varphi_j(b)$ . Since  $e(a,b) = \varphi_a(b), \forall b \in dom(\varphi_i), e(i,b) = \varphi_i(b) = \varphi_j(b) = e(j,b)$ .  $\forall b.b \notin dom(\varphi_i) \Rightarrow b \notin dom(\varphi_j)$ . Since  $b \notin dom(\varphi_a) \Rightarrow (a,b) \notin dom(e)$ ,  $(i,b) \notin dom(e) \land (j,b) \notin dom(e)$ , i.e. e(i,b) = e(j,b) = undefined.

**Remark 5.** Notice that, by what you have proved in the previous exercise, it can be deduced that one can obtain a well-defined partial function  $f \in (\mathbb{N}/\sim \times \mathbb{N} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{N})$  by posing f([a], b) = e(a, b).