# Computability Assignment Year 2012/13 - Number 5

Please keep this file anonymous: do not write your name inside this file.

More information about assignments at http://disi.unitn.it/~zunino/teaching/computability/assignments

Please do not submit a file containing only the answers; edit this file, instead, filling the answer sections.

#### 1 Question

Prove by induction that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}. 9^k - 2^k$  is a multiple of 7. Follow the steps outlined below.

- 1. Prove that, if k=0, then  $9^0-2^0$  is a multiple of 7. This is the basis of the induction.
- 2. Now, suppose that for a generic natural number n, it is true that  $9^n 2^n$  is a multiple of 7. By only using this inductive hypothesis, prove that  $9^{n+1} 2^{n+1}$  is a multiple of 7. To do so, use the identity:

$$9^{n+1} - 2^{n+1} = 9^{n+1} - 9^n \cdot 2 + 9^n \cdot 2 - 2^{n+1}$$

and a clever factorization of the right-hand side of the equality. Remember that, at some point, you are expected to use the *inductive hypothesis*.

#### 1.1 Answer

- 1. Proof of the induction basis:  $9^0 2^0 = 1 1 = 0 = 7 \cdot 0$
- 2. Proof of the Induction Hypothesis  $9^{n+1}-2^{n+1}=9^{n+1}-9^n\cdot 2+9^n\cdot 2-2^{n+1}\\=9^n(9-2)+2(9^n-2^n)$  for inductive hypothesis  $9^n-2^n$  is a multiple of 7 and therefore  $\exists m\in\mathbb{N}. \forall n\in\mathbb{N}. 7\cdot m=9^n-2^n\\=9^n(7)+2\cdot 7\cdot m$

```
=7(9^n+2\cdot m)
```

### 2 Preliminaries

Let P(k) be the property " $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . max(n, m) = k implies n = m". The following is a proof by induction that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}.P(k)$ .

- 1. Basis of the induction: if max(n, m) = 0 then n = m = 0, as we wanted.
- 2. Inductive step: suppose that P(k) is true for a generic natural number k; we want to prove that this implies P(k+1), i.e. that for all natural numbers n,m such that  $\max(n,m)=k+1,\ n=m$ . So, let  $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $\max(n,m)=k+1$ . Then  $\max(n-1,m-1)=\max(n,m)-1=k$ . By the induction hypothesis, it follows that n-1=m-1, and therefore n=m. This proves P(k+1), so the induction step is complete.

## 3 Question

Is the above proof correct? If not, can you tell what is wrong with it?

#### 3.1 Answer

Write your answer here.