

# Computability Assignment

## Year 2012/13 - Number 5

Please keep this file anonymous: do not write your name inside this file.

More information about assignments at <http://disi.unitn.it/~zunino/teaching/computability/assignments>

**Please do not submit a file containing only the answers; edit this file, instead, filling the answer sections.**

### 1 Question

Prove by induction that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}. 9^k - 2^k$  is a multiple of 7. Follow the steps outlined below.

1. Prove that, if  $k = 0$ , then  $9^0 - 2^0$  is a multiple of 7. This is the basis of the induction.
2. Now, suppose that for a *generic* natural number  $n$ , it is true that  $9^n - 2^n$  is a multiple of 7. By only using this *inductive hypothesis*, prove that  $9^{n+1} - 2^{n+1}$  is a multiple of 7. To do so, use the identity:

$$9^{n+1} - 2^{n+1} = 9^{n+1} - 9^n \cdot 2 + 9^n \cdot 2 - 2^{n+1}$$

and a clever factorization of the right-hand side of the equality. Remember that, at some point, you are expected to use the *inductive hypothesis*.

#### 1.1 Answer

We can prove that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}. 9^k - 2^k$  is a multiple of 7 by induction:

1) The base case is  $k = 0$  and we have  $9^0 - 2^0 = 1 - 1 = 0$  and 0 is obviously divisible by 7.

2) We assume that  $(9^n - 2^n)$  is divisible by 7 and we have to prove that also  $(9^{n+1} - 2^{n+1})$  is divisible by 7.

So we write  $9^{n+1} - 2^{n+1} = 9^{n+1} - 9 \cdot 2 + 9^n \cdot 2 - 2^{n+1} = 9^n(9 - 2) + 2(9^n - 2^n) = 9^n \cdot 7 + 2 \cdot 7 \cdot c = 7 \cdot (9^n + 2 \cdot c)$ .

We use the inductive hp when we say  $(9^n - 2^n) = 7 \cdot c$ .

So we have shown that  $(9^{n+1} - 2^{n+1})$  is divisible by 7.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $P(k)$  be the property “ $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}. \max(n, m) = k$  implies  $n = m$ ”. The following is a proof by induction that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}. P(k)$ .

1. Basis of the induction: if  $\max(n, m) = 0$  then  $n = m = 0$ , as we wanted.
2. Inductive step: suppose that  $P(k)$  is true for a generic natural number  $k$ ; we want to prove that this implies  $P(k + 1)$ , i.e. that for all natural numbers  $n, m$  such that  $\max(n, m) = k + 1$ ,  $n = m$ . So, let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $\max(n, m) = k + 1$ . Then  $\max(n - 1, m - 1) = \max(n, m) - 1 = k$ . By the induction hypothesis, it follows that  $n - 1 = m - 1$ , and therefore  $n = m$ . This proves  $P(k + 1)$ , so the induction step is complete.

## 3 Question

Is the above proof correct? If not, can you tell what is wrong with it?

### 3.1 Answer

$P(k)$  be the property “ $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}. \max(n, m) = k$  implies  $n = m$ ”.

This is false. To prove that we can do a counterexample: we choose  $k = 1$  and  $m = 0, n = 1$ . We satisfies  $\max(n, m) = 1$ , but  $n \neq m$ .

In the proof we saw above the error is that it isn't true that  $n - 1 = m - 1$ , in our counterexample we have  $0 - 1 \neq 1 - 1$ .