Computability Assignment Year 2012/13 - Number 2

Please keep this file anonymous: do not write your name inside this file. More information about assignments at http://disi.unitn.it/~zunino/teaching/computability/assignments

# 1 Question

In this exercise, p(x) and q(x) will be two unary properties over natural numbers, and P and Q will denote the sets  $P = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : p(x) \text{ holds}\}$  and  $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : q(x) \text{ holds}\}$ . If possible, for each of the cases below find two properties p(x) and q(x) such that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $p(x) \Rightarrow q(x)$  and

- 1.  $P \subset Q$  (strict inclusion);
- 2.  $Q \subset P$  (strict inclusion);
- 3.  $P \setminus Q \neq \emptyset;$
- 4.  $Q \setminus P \neq \emptyset$ .

If for some of the above cases it's impossible to find such properties, provide a brief explanation of why is it so.

#### 1.1 Answer

- 1.  $p(x) = 2^{x+1} P = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : 2^{x+1}\}$  $q(x) = 2x Q = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : 2x\}$
- 2. it is not possible. We proceed by contradiction Suppose that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $p(x) \Rightarrow q(x) \land Q \subset P$ . This means that if we take any  $x \in P$ , for the definition of P, p(x) holds and for  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $p(x) \Rightarrow q(x)$ we have that q(x) holds and  $x \in Q$ . Therefore  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N} . x \in P \implies x \in Q$ . But this contradicts  $Q \subset P$

3. it is not possible.

 $P \setminus Q \neq \emptyset \implies \exists p \in P : p \notin Q \implies \exists x \in \mathbb{N}. p(x) \land \neg q(x) \implies \exists x \in \mathbb{N}. \neg \neg (p(x) \land \neg q(x)) \implies \exists x \in \mathbb{N}. \neg (\neg p(x) \land q(x)) \implies \exists x \in \mathbb{N}. \neg (p(x) \land q(x)) \implies \forall x \in \mathbb{N}. p(x) \implies q(x) \text{ which is a contradiction.}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} 4. \ p(x) = x > 2 & P = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : \ x > 2\} \\ q(x) = x > 1 & Q = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : \ x > 1\} \\ Q \backslash P = \{2\} \end{array}$ 

### 2 Preliminaries

Given an infinite sequence of sets  $(A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ , we define  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcap \{A_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{x \mid \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \ x \in A_i\}$  and  $\bigcap_{i=0}^k A_i = \bigcap \{A_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \ \land \ i \leq k\} = A_0 \cap A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_k$ .

## 3 Question

Assume  $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  to be an infinite sequence of sets of natural numbers, satisfying

 $\mathbb{N} \supseteq A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq A_3 \cdots (*)$ 

For each property  $p_i$  shown below, state whether

- the hypothesis (\*) is sufficient to conclude that  $p_i$  holds; or
- the hypothesis (\*) is sufficient to conclude that  $p_i$  does not hold; or
- the hypothesis (\*) is not sufficient to conclude anything about the truth of  $p_i$ .

Justify your answers (briefly).

- 1.  $p_1: \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. A_k = \bigcap_{i=0}^k A_i;$
- 2.  $p_2$ : if  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $A_i$  is finite, then there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_j = A_{j+1}$ ;

3.  $p_3$ : for all *i*, if  $A_i$  is finite, then  $A_i = A_{i+1}$ ;

4.  $p_4$ : if  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $A_i \neq A_{i+1}$ , then  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i = \emptyset$ ;

- 5.  $p_5$ : if  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $A_i$  is finite, then  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$  is finite;
- 6.  $p_6$ : if  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $A_i$  is infinite, then  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$  is finite;
- 7.  $p_7$ : if  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $A_i$  is infinite, then  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$  is infinite.

#### 3.1 Answer

- 1. the hypothesis (\*) is sufficient to conclude that  $p_1$  holds We proceed by induction.
  - k = 0 $A_0 = \bigcap_{i=0}^0 A_i = A_0$
  - inductive step Suppose  $p_1 holds$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  k = k + 1  $A_{k+1} = \bigcap_{i=0}^{k+1} A_i = (\bigcap_{i=0}^k A_i) \bigcap A_{k+1} = A_k \bigcap A_{k+1}$ since  $A_k \supseteq A_{k+1} \implies A_k \bigcap A_{k+1} = A_{k+1}$
- 2. the hypothesis (\*) is sufficient to conclude that  $p_2$  holds Suppose by contradiction  $\neg(\exists j \in \mathbb{N} : A_j = A_{j+1}) \implies \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. A_j \neq A_{j+1}$

from this and the assumption (\*) we have  $\mathbb{N} \supset \cdots \supset A_j \supset A_{j+1} \supset \cdots$ 

We have an infinite sequence of finite sets with strictly decreasing cardinality. Since the cardinality of  $A_0$  is finite, at some point we have a set with 0-cardinality.

Therefore we will have  $A_j = \emptyset$  and  $A_{j+1} = \emptyset$  and thus  $A_j = A_{j+1}$  which is a contradiction.

- 3. the hypothesis (\*) is not sufficient to conclude anything about the truth of  $p_3$ .
  - TRUE:  $A_0 = \emptyset$ ,  $A_1 = \emptyset$
  - FALSE:  $A_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, A_1 = \{0, 1, 2\}$
- 4. the hypothesis (\*) is not sufficient to conclude anything about the truth of  $p_4$ .
  - If A<sub>i</sub> is finite, A<sub>i</sub> ≠ A<sub>i+1</sub> is false because of what we have prove in p2. Hence for implication p4 is true.
  - If  $A_i$  is infinite we find a counter-example that makes p4 false:  $A_0 = Even \cup \{1\}, \ A_1 = Even \cup \{1\} \setminus \{0\}, \ A_2 = Even \cup \{1\} \setminus \{0, 2\}, \dots$  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i = 1$
- 5. the hypothesis (\*) is sufficient to conclude that  $p_5$  holds We have an infinite sequence of finite sets with strictly decreasing cardinality. Since the cardinality of  $A_0$  is finite, at some point we have a set with 0-cardinality. Therefore the resulting intersection will be an empty set which is finite.
- 6. the hypothesis (\*) is not sufficient to conclude anything about the truth of  $p_6$

- FALSE: if we take  $\mathbb{N} \supseteq A_0 = A_1 = A_2 = \dots \implies \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i = A_0 = A_1 = \dots$  is infinite
- TRUE: if if we take  $\mathbb{N} \supset A_0 \supset A_1 \supset A_2 \supset ... \implies \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$  is finite
- 7. the hypothesis (\*) is not sufficient to conclude anything about the truth of  $p_7$ 
  - TRUE: if we take  $\mathbb{N} \supseteq A_0 = A_1 = A_2 = \dots \implies \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i = A_0 = A_1 = \dots$  is infinite
  - FALSE: if if we take  $\mathbb{N} \supset A_0 \supset A_1 \supset A_2 \supset ... \implies \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$  is infinite. This is false because of the counter-example seen in p4:  $A_0 = Even \cup \{1\}, A_1 = Even \cup \{1\} \setminus \{0\}, A_2 = Even \cup \{1\} \setminus \{0, 2\}, ...$  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i = 1$