Computability Assignment Year 2012/13 - Number 10

Please keep this file anonymous: do not write your name inside this file.

More information about assignments at http://disi.unitn.it/~zunino/teaching/computability/assignments Please do not submit a file containing only the answers; edit this file, instead, filling the answer sections.

1 Question

Prove that the set

$$A = \{n \mid \phi_n(5+n) = 7\}$$

is \mathcal{RE} .

1.1 Answer

The set is semi-recursive, indeed the semi-characteristic function for A is

$$\tilde{\chi}_A = \begin{cases} 1 & \phi_n(5+n) = 7\\ undefined & ow \end{cases}$$

Sum and comparison (with a potentially undefined operand) are semirecursive, and $\phi_n(m)$ is also semirecursive.

(RZ: not really a proof, it relies on A being RE)

2 Question

Prove that the set A defined above is **not** recursive, following the sketch below:

- 1. Prove that $g(n, x) = 7 \cdot \tilde{\chi}_{\mathsf{K}}(n)$ is a recursive partial function.
- 2. Prove that $f(n) = \# \left(\lambda x. \begin{cases} 7 & \text{if } n \in \mathsf{K} \\ undefined & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right)$ is a recursive total function.

- 3. Prove that $\chi_{\mathsf{K}}(n) = \chi_A(f(n))$ for all n. (If $n \in \mathsf{K}$ then ... If $n \notin \mathsf{K}$ then ...)
- 4. Prove that if A were recursive, then the set K would be recursive as well.
- 5. Conclude that A can not be recursive.

2.1 Answer

- 1. $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathsf{K}}(n)$ is a recursive partial function. The additional multiplication poses no problems to the recursivity of the new function
- 2. f is recursive, indeed it can be implemented in this way:

```
Func<int , int > f(int n)
{
    return x =>
        {
            var dummy = eval1(n, x); // If this is invocation is undefined, this
            return 7;
        };
}
```

The returned function can be successfully assembled because of the s-m-n theorem.

- 3. If $n \in K$ then the program produced by f will return 7, and the characteristic function will return 1. Otherwise, it will loop forever (*undefined*), and the characteristic function will return 0.
- 4. Since we proved that, given n, the two characteristic functions do exist and behave in the same way, a verifier for A would imply that a verifier for K would also exist.
- 5. We already know that K is not recursive, so the assumption that A is recursive is incorrect.

3 Question

Prove whether the set \overline{A} is \mathcal{RE} , with A as defined above.

3.1 Answer

If a semiverifier for \overline{A} existed, it would be possible to create a complete verifier for A (using the semiverifier for A), but we already know that A is not recursive.