## Computability Assignment Year 2012/13 - Number 8

Please keep this file anonymous: do not write your name inside this file.

More information about assignments at http://disi.unitn.it/ $\sim$ zunino/teaching/computability/assignments Please do not submit a file containing only the answers; edit this

file, instead, filling the answer sections.

#### Question 1

Prove that the following set is **not**  $\lambda$ -definable.

$$A = \{ \#M \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \ M^{\square}n^{\square} =_{\beta\eta} {}^{\square}5^{\square} \}$$

#### 1.1 Answer

Let's Apply Rice: 1)# $M \in AandM =_{\beta\eta} N$  implies that  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}. M^{r}n^{r} =_{\beta\eta} [5^{r}andN^{r}n^{r}] =_{\beta\eta}$ [5] then  $\#N \in A$  so A is semantically closed  $2) \ \#(K \ \llbracket 5 \ \rrbracket) \in A$ 3)  $\# \Omega \notin A$ For these reasons A is not  $\lambda$ - defineable

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Question

Prove that the following set is semantically closed. Then, prove that it is  $\lambda$ definable.

$$A = \{ \#M \mid \forall N \in \Lambda. \ N M =_{\beta\eta} \mathbf{I} \}$$

#### 2.1Answer

 $\#M \in A \text{ and } M =_{\beta\eta} N' \text{ implies that } \forall N \in \Lambda. NM =_{\beta\eta} I \implies NN' =_{\beta\eta} I$ , so A is semantically closed

(RZ: so..?)

# Note.

The following exercise is harder. Feel free to skip it.

### 3 Question

Prove whether the following set is  $\lambda$ -definable.

$$A = \{ \#M \mid M^{\sqcap}M^{\sqcap} =_{\beta\eta} M \}$$

(Note: there is at least one simple solution to this. You do not need to try huge formulae for this.)

### 3.1 Answer

Write your answer here.