# Computability Assignment Year 2012/13 - Number 7

Please keep this file anonymous: do not write your name inside this file.

More information about assignments at http://disi.unitn.it/~zunino/teaching/computability/assignments Please do not submit a file containing only the answers; edit this file, instead, filling the answer sections.

## 1 Question

Prove that the following set is not  $\lambda$ -definable.

 $A = \{ \#M \mid M \text{ has a } \beta \text{-normal form} \}$ 

(Hint: show that, if A were  $\lambda$ -definable, then also  $K_{\lambda}$  would be  $\lambda$ -definable, hence obtaining a contradiction.)

#### 1.1 Answer

 $\mathsf{K}_{\lambda} = \{ \# M \mid M \ulcorner M \urcorner \text{ has a } \beta \text{-normal form} \}$ 

I suppose it has something to do with the similarity between M and  $M \ulcorner M \urcorner$ , maybe I should ignore an argument, or provide the missing argument to translate one expression into the other, but I can't see the whole picture. I'm sorry.

### 2 Question

Let A be a  $\lambda$ -definable set. Prove that

$$B = (A \cup \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}) \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$$

is also  $\lambda$ -definable.

(Hint: do not reinvent the results we saw in class, just apply them.)

### 2.1 Answer

If A is  $\lambda$ -definable, then there exists a verifier for  $V_A$  for it.

Then we can create a verifier for B in this way:

 $V_B = \lambda x.And \left(Or \left(V_A x\right) \left(V_b x\right)\right) \left(Not \left(V_c x\right)\right)$ 

where  $V_b$  and  $V_c$  are verifiers for  $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$  and  $\{c_1, \cdots, c_m\}$ , respectively. They do exist, because these two sets are finite.

### 3 Question

Let A be a **non**  $\lambda$ -definable set. Prove that

$$B = (A \cup \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}) \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$$

is also **non**  $\lambda$ -definable.

(Hint: prove the contrapositive. That is, prove that if B were  $\lambda$ -definable, then also A would be such.)

#### 3.1 Answer

 $\lambda$ -definability is closed with respect to union, complement and intersection.  $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ and  $\{c_1, \cdots, c_m\}$  are  $\lambda$ -definable, so if B was  $\lambda$ -definable, A would necessarily have to be  $\lambda$ -definable, which is a contraddiction.

(RZ: correct intuition, but I am not convinced you understood how to justify the last step. In an exam, I would assign only partial points for this.)