

# Computability Final Test — 2011-09-01

## Notes.

- Write your name and matriculation number on each of your sheets.
- Solve no more than four (4) exercises. This will be strictly enforced: including more than 4 answers will result in the immediate failure of the test.
- Significantly wrong answers will result in negative scores.
- Always provide a justification for your answers.
- To achieve higher scores ( $\geq 27$ ) you have to solve the exercise marked with  $\star$  below.

*Reminder:* when equating results of partial functions (as in  $\phi_i(3) = \phi_i(5)$ ), we mean that either 1) both sides of the equation are defined to be the same natural number, or 2) both sides are undefined.

**Exercise 1.** *If possible, define  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  such that the set  $\{h \mid h \subseteq f\}$  contains exactly 8 functions; if impossible, explain why. Similarly, if possible define  $g \in \mathcal{R}$  such that the set  $\{h \mid h \subseteq g\}$  contains exactly 100 functions; if impossible, explain why.*

**Solution (sketch).** Take  $f = \hat{\chi}_{\{0,1,2\}}$ , the semi-characteristic of the set  $D = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Its domain  $D$  contains 3 elements, and the restrictions of  $f$  correspond to the subsets of  $D$  (i.e. they are of the form  $f|_A$  with  $A \subseteq D$ ), so they are  $2^3 = 8$ . It is impossible to  $g$  instead, since 100 is not a power of 2.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.** *State whether  $A = \{i \mid \phi_i(\phi_i(22)) = 7\} \in \mathcal{RE}$ .*

**Solution (sketch).**  $A \in \mathcal{RE}$ . A semi-verifier could simply be

$$S_A = \lambda i. \mathbf{Eq} \ulcorner 7 \urcorner (\mathbf{Eval} \ i (\mathbf{Eval} \ i \ulcorner 22 \urcorner)) \mathbf{I}\Omega$$

The above program can loop on the innermost **Eval**, on the outermost, or on  $\Omega$ . It's easy to consider all these cases and show that indeed  $S_A$  halts exactly on  $A$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 3.** *Let  $Even$  be the set of even naturals. Then, state whether  $B = \{i \mid \text{ran}(\phi_i) \supseteq Even\} \in \mathcal{RE}$ .*

**Solution (sketch).** The set  $B$  is semantically closed (because ...). Let  $f$  such that  $f(n) = n$ . We have that  $f \in F_B$  since  $\text{ran}(f) = \mathbb{N} \supseteq \text{Even}$ . However, any finite restriction  $g$  of  $f$ , having finite domain, also has finite range. Hence, we can't have  $\text{ran}(g) \supseteq \text{Even}$  since  $\text{Even}$  is infinite. So, we conclude that  $B \notin \mathcal{RE}$  by Rice-Shapiro ( $\Rightarrow$ ).  $\square$

**Exercise 4.** Given

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } x \text{ is prime} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

state whether  $C = \{i \mid \phi_i \supseteq f\} \in \mathcal{RE}$ .

**Solution (sketch).** The set  $C$  is semantically closed (because ...). We trivially have that  $f \in F_C$  since  $f \subseteq f$ . However, any finite restriction  $g$  of  $f$ , having finite domain, can not be defined (as 5) on all the primes which are infinite. Hence, it's not possible that  $g \subseteq f$ . So, we conclude that  $C \notin \mathcal{RE}$  by Rice-Shapiro ( $\Rightarrow$ ).  $\square$

**Exercise 5.** Let  $A \odot B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ . Then state whether each of the following properties holds.

- [30% score]  $A, B \in \mathcal{R} \implies A \odot B \in \mathcal{R}$
- [70% score]  $A \in \mathcal{R} \wedge B \in \mathcal{RE} \implies A \odot B \in \mathcal{RE}$

**Solution (sketch).** The first point is true, it's enough to exploit  $V_A, V_B$  and add some logical operators to construct  $V_{A \odot B}$ .

The second point is false, in general. For instance, take  $A = \mathbb{N}$  and  $B = \mathbb{K}$ . Then  $A \odot B = (\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{K}) \cup (\mathbb{K} \setminus \mathbb{N}) = \bar{\mathbb{K}} \cup \emptyset = \bar{\mathbb{K}}$  which is not  $\mathcal{RE}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 6.** State whether  $D = \{2^i \mid \forall x. \phi_i(x) = \phi_i(2 \cdot x)\} \in \mathcal{RE}$ .

**Solution (sketch).**  $D \notin \mathcal{RE}$ . Note in passing that  $D$  can not be said to be semantically closed, because of the  $2^i$ . We use a simple reduction to cope with that.

First, let  $D' = \{i \mid \forall x. \phi_i(x) = \phi_i(2 \cdot x)\}$ . We have  $D' \leq_m D$  with reduction  $h(n) = 2^n$  (recursive total because ...). Then, we prove  $D' \notin \mathcal{RE}$  by Rice-Shapiro ( $\Leftarrow$ ).  $D'$  is semantically closed (because ...). The always undefined function  $g(x) = \text{undefined}$  belongs to  $F_{D'}$ , and it is a finite function. So any recursive extension of it should belong to  $F_{D'}$  as well, but e.g.  $id(x) = x$  does not since for instance for  $x = 3$  we have  $id(3) \neq id(2 \cdot 3)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 7.** Let  $f \in (\mathbb{N} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{N})$  such that

$$\forall g \in (\mathbb{N} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{N}). (g \neq f \wedge g \subseteq f \implies g \in \mathcal{R})$$

Prove that  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Solution (sketch).** If  $f$  is always undefined then we have  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ . Otherwise we have  $f(x) = y \in \mathbb{N}$  for some  $x$ . Let  $g(n) = f(n)$  for all  $n \neq x$ , and  $g(x) = \text{undefined}$ . We have  $g \neq f, g \subseteq f$ , so by hypothesis  $g \in \mathcal{R}$ . However, given an implementation for  $g$  it's easy to construct an implementation for  $f$ , e.g.:

$$F = \lambda n. \mathbf{Eq} \, n \, \ulcorner x \urcorner \ulcorner y \urcorner (G \, n)$$

□

**Exercise 8.** Show whether  $\bar{K} \leq_m \{i \mid \phi_i(i^3 + i^2) = \text{undefined}\} = E$ .

**Solution (sketch).** A reduction could be e.g.

$$h(n) = \#(\lambda x. \phi_n(n))$$

This is recursive total (because ...). It's simple to check that the above is indeed a reduction, since  $\phi_{h(n)}(\langle \text{whatever} \rangle) = \phi_n(n)$  which is undefined iff  $n \in \bar{K}$ . □

**Exercise 9.** Formally prove that there is some  $i$  such that  $\phi_i = \chi_{\{5, i, 2^i\}}$ .

**Solution (sketch).** Start from

$$f(i, n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 5 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = i \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 2^i \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

In other words,  $f(i, n) = \chi_{\{5, i, 2^i\}}(n)$ . Such  $f$  is clearly recursive, so  $f = \phi_x$  for some  $x$ . Take function  $g(i) = s(x, i)$  where  $s$  is from the s-m-n theorem.  $g$  is a recursive total function, hence applying the second recursion theorem we get that for some index  $i$ ,  $\phi_i(n) = \phi_{g(i)}(n) = \phi_{s(x, i)}(n) = \phi_x(i, n) = f(i, n) = \chi_{\{5, i, 2^i\}}(n)$  which is the desired property.

An alternative, essentially equivalent, way is to start from  $h(i) = \#(\lambda n. \chi_{\{5, i, 2^i\}}(n))$  and apply the second recursion theorem to  $h$ . □

**Exercise 10.** ★ Find  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  such that

$$\neg ( \{i \mid \exists x. f(x, i) = 0\} \leq_m \{i \mid \forall x. f(x, i) = 0\} )$$

**Solution (sketch).** Take

$$f(x, i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 0, i \in \mathbb{K} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

We have  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  (because...). Also,  $\{i \mid \forall x. f(x, i) = 0\} = \emptyset$  because  $f(0, i) = 1$ . Also,  $\{i \mid \exists x. f(x, i) = 0\} = \mathbb{K}$  because  $f(x, i) = 0$  only when  $i \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $x > 0$ . So we indeed have  $\neg(\mathbb{K} \leq_m \emptyset)$ . □