

Computability Final Test — 2009-09-03

Reminder: write your name, surname, and student number. Letters x, y, m, n, i range over \mathbb{N} ; A, B, \dots range over subsets of \mathbb{N} ; M, N, O range over Λ . Justify your answers.

Part 1

Exercise 1.

1. Show that the set $A = \{\#M \mid MM =_{\beta\eta} M(\lambda x.xx)\}$ is closed under $\beta\eta$. Then, apply Rice by 1) checking the other hypotheses (\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{I} may be useful here), and 2) stating the conclusion.
2. Show that the set $B = \{\#M \mid MM =_{\beta\eta} (\lambda x.xx)M\}$ is closed under $\beta\eta$. Can we apply Rice here?
3. Show that if V_C is a verifier for $C = \{(\#M)^2 \mid M =_{\beta\eta} \mathbf{I}\}$ then there is a verifier V_D for $D = \{\#M \mid M =_{\beta\eta} \mathbf{I}\}$. Show that, whenever $x \in D$, we have $V_D \ulcorner x \urcorner = \mathbf{T}$ and dually, whenever $x \notin D$, then $V_D \ulcorner x \urcorner = \mathbf{F}$. What can we conclude about C ?
4. Construct M such that $M \ulcorner NO \urcorner = \ulcorner O \urcorner$, for all closed N, O . Then construct P such that $P \ulcorner NO \urcorner = O$, for all closed N, O .
5. Does $\#(MNO) = \#(MN'O)$ imply $\#N = \#N'$?
6. Does $MNO =_{\beta\eta} MN'O$ imply $N =_{\beta\eta} N'$?

Exercise 2. State whether these sets are λ -definable.

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \{\#M \mid \mathbf{O}M =_{\beta\eta} (\lambda x.x)\} \\ F &= \{\#M \mid M\mathbf{T} =_{\beta\eta} M\mathbf{F} \ulcorner M \urcorner\} \\ G &= \{2^{\#M} \cdot 3^{\#N} \mid M =_{\beta\eta} N\} \\ H &= \{\text{pair}(\#M, n) \mid M \ulcorner 5 \urcorner =_{\beta\eta} \ulcorner n \urcorner\} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3. Optional: solve this only if time allows.

Adapt the definition of “ A is a λ -definable set” (Def. 80 in the notes) to define “ A is a λ -semi-definable set” so that its is equivalent to $A \in \mathcal{RE}$. Provide a proof sketch of this fact.

Part 2

Exercise 4.

1. Define two sets A, B such that $A \notin \mathcal{R}$, $B \in \mathcal{R}$, but $A \cup B \in \mathcal{R}$.

2. Apply Rice to the set $A = \{n \mid \phi_n(3) \text{ is even}\}$. Show that it is semantically closed, and define the related set \mathcal{F}_A , check the hypotheses of Rice, and conclude.
3. Show that $\mathcal{K} \leq_m A$, where A is as above.
4. Can we conclude that $A \in \mathcal{RE}$ from the result above?
5. Prove that if $f \notin \mathcal{R}$, then $\text{dom}(f)$ is infinite.
6. A set A is co-finite iff $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$ is finite. Show that co-finite sets belong to \mathcal{RE} .
7. If $\text{dom}(f)$ is finite, can we conclude $f \in \mathcal{R}$? What if instead $\text{dom}(f)$ is co-finite?
8. Consider the set $B = \{n \mid \forall x. \phi_n(x) \text{ either undefined or } > 300 \cdot x\}$. Show that it is semantically closed, and define the related set \mathcal{F}_B .
 - Show that \mathcal{F}_B is not empty.
 - Try to apply Rice-Shapiro in the (\Rightarrow) direction: what can we conclude in this way?
 - Then, try to apply Rice-Shapiro in the (\Leftarrow) direction: what can we conclude in this way?

Exercise 5. Pick five sets from these. State whether the chosen sets belong to \mathcal{R} , $\mathcal{RE} \setminus \mathcal{R}$, or neither.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= \{n \mid \phi_n(\phi_n(5)) = 4\} \\
 B &= \{n \mid \phi_n(5 + n) = 4\} \\
 C &= \{n \mid \text{dom}(\phi_n) = \{2 \cdot m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}\} \\
 D &= \{n \mid \text{ran}(\phi_n) = \{2 \cdot m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}\} \\
 E &= \{2 \cdot n \mid \text{dom}(\phi_n) = \{2 \cdot m \mid m < 100\}\} \\
 F &= \{n \mid \text{ran}(\phi_n) = \{2 \cdot m \mid m < 100\}\} \\
 G &= \{n \mid \text{dom}(\phi_n) \text{ finite or equal to } \mathbb{N}\} \\
 H &= \{n \mid \forall x. \phi_n(x) = \phi_n(x + 1) \text{ (and both defined)}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Nota Bene. Be clear about how you apply the theorems. E.g. if you want to apply Rice-Shapiro, make it clear whether you are using the (\Rightarrow) or the (\Leftarrow) direction.

Exercise 6. Optional: solve this only if time allows. Prove whether there exists a total recursive function g such that

$$\forall x. \left(\text{dom}(\phi_x) \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{K}} \implies g(x) \in \bar{\mathcal{K}} \setminus \text{dom}(\phi_x) \right)$$