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## Hybrid Modeling

Hybrid machines $=$ State machines + Dynamic Systems


## Hybrid Modeling: Examples

- Automotive Applications
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## Timed Automata



## Example: Simple light control



## Requirement:

- if Off and press is issued once, then the light switches on;
- if Off and press is issued twice quickly, then the light gets brighter;
- if Light/Bright and press is issued once, then the light switches off;
$\Longrightarrow$ Cannot be achieved with standard automata


## Example: Simple light control



Solution: add real-valued clock x

- x reset at first press
- if next press before x reaches 3 time units, then the light will get brighter;
- otherwise the light is turned off


## Modeling: timing constraints

Finite graph + finite set of (real-valued) clocks

- Vertexes are locations
- Time can elapse there
- Constraints (invariants)

- Edges are switches
- Subject to constraints
- Reset clocks


Meaning of clock value: time elapsed since the last time it was reset.

## Timed Automata

- Locations $I_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots$ (like in standard automata)
- discrete part of the state
- may be implemented by discrete variables
- Switches (discrete transitions like in standard aut.)
- Labels, aka events, actions,... (like in standard aut.)
- used for synchronization

Clocks: $x, y, \ldots \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$

- value: time elapsed since the last time it was
Guards: $(x \bowtie C)$ s.t. $\bowtie \in\{\leq,<, \geq,>\}, C \in \mathbb{N}$
- set of clock comparisons against integers bounds - constrain the execution of the switch
- Resets ( $x:=0$ )
- set of clock assignments to 0

Invariants: $(x \bowtie C)$ s.t. $\bowtie \in\{<,<, \geq,>\}, C \in \mathbb{N}$

- set of clock comparisons against integers bounds
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- may be implemented by discrete variables
- Switches (discrete transitions like in standard aut.)
- Labels, aka events, actions,... (like in standard aut.)
- used for synchronization
- Clocks: $x, y, \ldots \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$
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- set of clock comparisons against integers bounds

- ensure progress


## Timed Automata: States and Transitions

- State: $\left\langle l_{i}, x, y\right\rangle$
- $\left\langle l_{1}, 4,7\right\rangle$ : OK!
- $\left\langle l_{2}, 2,4\right\rangle$ : not OK! (violates invariant in $l_{2}$ )
- Switch: $\left\langle l_{i}, x, y\right\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle l_{j}, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle$
- $\left\langle I_{1}, 4.5,2\right\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle I_{2}, 4.5,0\right\rangle:$ OK!
- $\left\langle l_{1}, 6,2\right\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle l_{2}, 6,0\right\rangle$ : not OK! (violates invar. in $I_{1}$ )
- $\left\langle l_{1}, 3,2\right\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle l_{2}, 3,0\right\rangle$ : not OK! (violates guard \& invar. in $I_{2}$ )
- $\left\langle I_{1}, 4.5,2\right\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle I_{2}, 4.5,2\right\rangle$ : not OK! (violates reset)
- $\left\langle l_{1}, 4,2\right\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle l_{2}, 4,0\right\rangle$ : not OK! (violates invar. in $I_{2}$ )
- Wait (time elapse): $\left\langle I_{i}, x, y\right\rangle \xrightarrow{\delta}\left\langle I_{i}, x+\delta, y+\delta\right\rangle$
- $\left\langle I_{1}, 3,0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{2}\left\langle I_{1}, 5,2\right\rangle:$ OK!
- $\left\langle l_{1}, 3,0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{3}\left\langle I_{1}, 6,3\right\rangle$ : not OK! (violates invar. in $I_{1}$ )



## Timed Automata: Formal Syntax

Timed Automaton $\left\langle L, L^{0}, \Sigma, X, \Phi(X), E\right\rangle$

- L: Set of locations
- $L^{0} \subseteq L$ : Set of initial locations
- $\Sigma$ : Set of labels
- $X$ : Set of clocks
- $\Phi(X)$ : Set of invariants



## Clock constraints and clock interpretations

- Grammar of clock constraints:

$$
\varphi::=x \leq C|x<C| x \geq C|x>C| \varphi \wedge \varphi
$$

s.t. $C$ positive integer values.
$\Longrightarrow$ allow only comparison of a clock with a constant

- clock interpretation: $\nu$

$$
X=\langle x, y, z\rangle, \quad \nu=\langle 1.0,1.5,0\rangle
$$

- clock interpretation $\nu$ after $\delta$ time: $\nu+\delta$

$$
\delta=0.2, \quad \nu+\delta=\langle 1.2,1.7,0.2\rangle
$$

- clock interpretation $\nu$ after reset $\lambda: \nu[\lambda]$

$$
\lambda=\{y\}, \nu[y:=0]=\langle 1.0,0,0\rangle
$$

A state for a timed automaton is a pair $\langle I, \nu\rangle$, where $/$ is a location and $\nu$ is a clock interpretation


## Remark: why integer constants in clock constraints?

The constant in clock constraints are assumed to be integer w.l.o.g.:

- if rationals, multiply them for their greatest common denominator, and change the time unit accordingly
- in practice, multiply by $10^{k}$ (resp $2^{k}$ ), $k$ being the number of precision digits (resp. bits), and change the time unit accordingly Ex: $1.345,0.78,102.32$ seconds
$\Longrightarrow 1,345,780,102,320$ milliseconds


## Example



- clocks $\{x, y\}$ can be set/reset independently
- $x$ is reset to 0 from $s_{0}$ to $s_{1}$ on $a$
- switches $b$ and $c$ happen within 1 time-unit from a because of constraints in $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$
- delay between $b$ and the following $d$ is $>2$
- no explicit bounds on time difference between event $c-d$


## Timed Automata: Semantics

Semantics of A defined in terms of a (infinite) transition system

$$
S_{A} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle Q, Q^{0}, \rightarrow, \Sigma\right\rangle
$$

- Q: $\{\langle I, \nu\rangle\}$ s.t. I location and $\nu$ clock evaluation
- $Q^{0}:\{\langle I, \nu\rangle\}$ s.t. $I \in L^{0}$ location and $\nu(X)=0$
- $\rightarrow$ :
- state change due to location switch
- state change due to time elapse
- $\Sigma$ : set of labels of $\Sigma \cup \mathbb{Q}^{+}$


## State change in transition system



Initial State

- $\langle q, 0\rangle$
- Initial state


## State change in transition system



Time elapse

- $\langle q, 0\rangle \xrightarrow{1.2}\langle q, 1.2\rangle$
- state change due to elapse of time


## State change in transition system



Time Elapse, Switch

- $\langle q, 0\rangle \xrightarrow{1.2}\langle q, 1.2\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle q^{\prime}, 1.2\right\rangle$ "wait $\delta$; switch;"


## State change in transition system



Time Elapse, Switch and their Concatenation

- $\langle q, 0\rangle \xrightarrow{1.2}\langle q, 1.2\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle q^{\prime}, 1.2\right\rangle$ "wait $\delta$; switch;"
$\Longrightarrow\langle q, 0\rangle \xrightarrow{1.2+a}\left\langle q^{\prime}, 1.2\right\rangle$ "wait $\delta$ and switch;"


## Example



- Switch may be turned on whenever at least 2 time units has elapsed since last "turn off"
- Light automatically switches off after 9 time units.


## Example execution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\text { off, } 0,0\rangle \xrightarrow{3.5}\langle\text { off, 3.5, 3.5 }\rangle \xrightarrow{\text { push }}\langle\text { on, } 0,0\rangle \xrightarrow{3.14}\langle\text { on, 3.14, 3.14 }\rangle \\
& \text { push }
\end{aligned} \text { on, 0, 3.14〉 } \xrightarrow{3}\langle\text { on, 3, 6.14 } \xrightarrow{2.86}\langle\text { on, 5.86, 9 }\rangle \xrightarrow{\text { click }}\langle\text { off, 0, } 9\rangle
$$

## Remark: Non-Zenoness

## Beware of Zeno! (paradox)



- When the invariant is violated some edge must be enabled
- Automata should admit the possibility of time to diverge



## Combination of Timed Automata

- Complex system = product of interacting systems
- Let $A_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle L_{1}, L_{1}^{0}, \Sigma_{1}, X_{1}, \Phi_{1}\left(X_{1}\right), E_{1}\right\rangle$,
$A_{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle L_{2}, L_{2}^{0}, \Sigma_{2}, X_{2}, \Phi_{2}\left(X_{2}\right), E_{2}\right\rangle$
- Product: $A_{1} \| A_{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}$
$\left\langle L_{1} \times L_{2}, L_{1}^{0} \times L_{2}^{0}, \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}, X_{1} \cup X_{2}, \Phi_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) \cup \Phi_{2}\left(X_{2}\right), E_{1} \| E_{2}\right\rangle$
- Transition iff:
- Label a belongs to both alphabets $\Longrightarrow$ synchronized blocking synchronization: a-labeled switches cannot be shot alone
- Label a only in the alphabet of $A_{1} \Longrightarrow$ asynchronized
- Label a only in the alphabet of $A_{2} \Longrightarrow$ asynchronized


## Transition Product

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{\text { def }}\{a, b\} \\
& \Sigma_{2} \xlongequal{\text { def }}\{a, c\}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Transition Product: Example



## Example: Train-gate controller [Alur CAV'99]



Train


Gate


Controller
Desired property: $G\left(s_{2} \rightarrow t_{2}\right)$

## Train-gate controller: Product
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## Reachability Analysis

- Verification of safety requirement: reachability problem
- Input: a timed automaton A and a set of target locations $L^{F} \subseteq L$
- Problem: Determining whether $L^{F}$ is reachable in a timed automaton A
- A location I of $A$ is reachable if some state $q$ with location component $/$ is a reachable state of the transition system $S_{A}$


## Timed/hybrid Systems: problem

## Problem

The system $S_{A}$ associated to A has infinitely-many states \& symbols.

- Is finite state analysis possible?
- Is reachability problem decidable?



## Idea: Finite Partitioning

## Goal

Partition the state space into finitely-many equivalence classes, so that equivalent states exhibit (bi)similar behaviors


## Reachability analysis



## Timed Vs Time-Abstract Relations

## Idea

Infinite transition system associated with a timed/hybrid automaton A:

- $S_{A}$ : Labels on continuous steps are delays in $\mathbb{Q}^{+}$
- $U_{A}$ (time-abstract): actual delays are suppressed $\Longrightarrow$ all continuous steps have same label
- from "wait $\delta$ and switch" to "wait (sometime) and switch"


## Time-abstract transition system $U_{A}$

$U_{A}$ (time-abstract): actual delays are suppressed

- Only change due to location switch stated explicitly
- Cut system to finitely many labels
- $U_{A}$ (instead of $S_{A}$ ) allows for capturing untimed properties (e.g., reachability, safety)


## Example

A: ("wait $\delta$; switch;")
$\left\langle I_{0}, 0,0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{1.2}\left\langle I_{0}, 1.2,1.2\right\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle I_{1}, 0,1.2\right\rangle \xrightarrow{0.7}\left\langle I_{1}, 0.7,1.9\right\rangle \xrightarrow{b}$
$\left\langle l_{2}, 0.7,0\right\rangle$
$S_{A}$ : ("wait $\delta$ and switch;")
$\left\langle I_{0}, 0,0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{1.2+a}\left\langle I_{1}, 0,1.2\right\rangle \xrightarrow{0.7+b}\left\langle I_{2}, 0.7,0\right\rangle$
$U_{A}$ : ("wait (sometime) and switch;")
$\left\langle I_{0}, 0,0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle I_{1}, 0,1.2\right\rangle \xrightarrow{b}\left\langle I_{2}, 0.7,0\right\rangle$

## Stable quotients



Idea: Collapse states which are equivalent modulo "wait \& switch"

- Cut to finitely many states
- Stable equivalence relation
- Quotient of $U_{A}=$ transition system $\left[U_{A}\right]$


## $L^{F}$-sensitive equivalence relation



All equivalent states in a class belong to either $L^{F}$ or not $L^{F}$

- E.g.: states with different labels cannot be equivalent


## Stable Quotient: Intuitive example

## Task: plan trip from DISI to VR train station

"take the next \#5 bus to TN train station and then the 6pm train to VR"

- Constraints:
- It is 5.18 pm
- Train to VR leaves at TN train station at 6.00pm
- it takes 3 minutes to walk from DISI to BUS stop
- Bus \#5 passes 5.20 pm or at 5.40 pm
- Bus \#5 takes 15 minutes to TN train station
- it takes 2 minutes to walk from BUS stop to TN train station
- Time-Abstract plan $\left(U_{A}\right)$ :
"walk to bus stop; take 5.40 \#5 bus to TN train-station stop; walk to train station; take the 6pm train to VR"
- Actual (implicit) plan (A):
"wait $\delta_{1}$; walk to bus stop; wait $\delta_{2}$; take 5.40 \#5 bus to TN train-station stop; wait $\delta_{3}$ at bus stop; walk to train station; wait $\delta_{4}$; take the 6 pm train to VR" where $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}=19 \mathrm{~min}$ and $\delta_{3}+\delta_{4}=3 \mathrm{~min}$
- All executions with distinct values of $\delta_{i}$ are bisimilar
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## Region Equivalence over clock interpretation

## Preliminary definitions \& terminology

Given a clock $x$ :

- $\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the integral part of $x$ (ex: $\lfloor 3.7\rfloor=3$ )
- $\operatorname{fr}(\mathrm{x})$ is the fractional part of $x(\mathrm{ex}: \operatorname{fr}(3.7)=0.7)$
- $C_{x}$ is the maximum constant occurring in clock constraints $x \bowtie C_{x}$


## Region Equivalence: $\nu \cong \nu^{\prime}$

Given a timed automaton $A$, two clock interpretations $\nu, \nu^{\prime}$ are region equivalent ( $\nu \cong \nu^{\prime}$ ) iff all the following conditions hold:
C1: For every clock $\boldsymbol{x}$, either $\lfloor\nu(x)\rfloor=\left\lfloor\nu^{\prime}(x)\right\rfloor$ or $\lfloor\nu(x)\rfloor,\left\lfloor\nu^{\prime}(x)\right\rfloor \geq C_{x}$
C2: For every clock pair $x, y$ s.t. $\nu(x), \nu^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{x}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu(y), \nu^{\prime}(y) \leq C_{y}, \\
& \operatorname{fr}(\nu(x)) \leq \operatorname{fr}(\nu(y)) \text { iff } \operatorname{fr}\left(\nu^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})\right) \leq \operatorname{fr}\left(\nu^{\prime}(\mathrm{y})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

C3: For every clock $x$ s.t. $\nu(x), \nu^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{x}$ $\operatorname{fr}(\nu(\mathrm{x}))=0$ iff $\operatorname{fr}\left(\nu^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})\right)=0$

## Conditions:



C1: For every clock $x$, either
C2: For every clock pair $x, y$ s.t. $\nu(x), \nu^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{x}$ and $\nu(y), \nu^{\prime}(y) \leq C_{y}$, $\operatorname{fr}(\nu(x)) \leq \operatorname{fr}(\nu(y))$ iff $\operatorname{fr}\left(\nu^{\prime}(x)\right) \leq \operatorname{fr}\left(\nu^{\prime}(y)\right)$

C3: For every clock $x$ s.t. $\nu(x), \nu^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{x}$, fr $(\nu(x))=0$ iff $f r\left(\nu^{\prime}(x)\right)=0$

## Conditions: C1



C1: For every clock $x$, either $\lfloor\nu(x)\rfloor=\left\lfloor\nu^{\prime}(x)\right\rfloor$ or $\lfloor\nu(x)\rfloor,\left\lfloor\nu^{\prime}(x)\right\rfloor \geq C_{x}$ C2: For every clock pair $x, y$ s.t. $\nu(x), \nu^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{x}$ and $\nu(y), \nu^{\prime}(y) \leq C_{y}$,

## Conditions: C1 + C2



C1: For every clock $x$, either $\lfloor\nu(x)\rfloor=\left\lfloor\nu^{\prime}(x)\right\rfloor$ or $\lfloor\nu(x)\rfloor,\left\lfloor\nu^{\prime}(x)\right\rfloor \geq C_{x}$
C2: For every clock pair $x, y$ s.t. $\nu(x), \nu^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{x}$ and $\nu(y), \nu^{\prime}(y) \leq C_{y}$, $\operatorname{fr}(\nu(\mathrm{x})) \leq \operatorname{fr}(\nu(\mathrm{y}))$ iff $\operatorname{fr}\left(\nu^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})\right) \leq \operatorname{fr}\left(\nu^{\prime}(\mathrm{y})\right)$

## Conditions: C1 + C2 + C3



C1: For every clock $x$, either $\lfloor\nu(x)\rfloor=\left\lfloor\nu^{\prime}(x)\right\rfloor$ or $\lfloor\nu(x)\rfloor,\left\lfloor\nu^{\prime}(x)\right\rfloor \geq C_{x}$
C2: For every clock pair $x, y$ s.t. $\nu(x), \nu^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{x}$ and $\nu(y), \nu^{\prime}(y) \leq C_{y}$, $\operatorname{fr}(\nu(\mathrm{x})) \leq \operatorname{fr}(\nu(\mathrm{y}))$ iff $\operatorname{fr}\left(\nu^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})\right) \leq \operatorname{fr}\left(\nu^{\prime}(\mathrm{y})\right)$
C3: For every clock $x$ s.t. $\nu(x), \nu^{\prime}(x) \leq C_{x}, \operatorname{fr}(\nu(x))=0$ iff fr $\left(\nu^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})\right)=0$

## Regions, intuitive idea:



Intuition: $\nu \cong \nu^{\prime}$ iff they satisfy the same set of constraints in the form

$$
x_{i}<c, x_{i}>c, x_{i}=c, x_{i}-x_{j}<c, x_{i}-x_{j}>c, x_{i}-x_{j}=c
$$

s.t. $c \leq C_{x_{i}}$

## Region Operations



## Properties of Regions

- The region equivalence relation $\cong$ is a time-abstract bisimulation:
- Action transitions: if $\nu \cong \mu$ and $\langle I, \nu\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle I^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for some $I^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}$, then there exists $\mu^{\prime}$ s.t. $\nu^{\prime} \cong \mu^{\prime}$ and $\langle I, \mu\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle I^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right\rangle$
- Wait transitions: if $\nu \cong \mu$, then for every $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$there exists $\delta^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$s.t. $\nu+\delta \cong \mu+\delta^{\prime}$
$\Longrightarrow$ If $\nu \cong \mu$, then $\langle I, \nu\rangle$ and $\langle I, \mu\rangle$ satisfy the same temporal-logic formulas


## Time-abstract Bisimulation in Regions
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## Time-abstract Bisimulation in Regions



## Number of Clock Regions

- Clock region: equivalence class of clock interpretations
- Number of clock regions upper-bounded by

$$
k!\cdot 2^{k} \cdot \Pi_{x \in X}\left(2 \cdot C_{X}+2\right), \text { s.t. } k \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\|X\|
$$

- finite!
- exponential in the number of clocks
- grows with the values of $C_{x}$


## Example

- 2 clocks x,y, $C_{x}=2, C_{y}=1$
- 8 open regions
- 14 open line segments
- 6 corner points
$\Longrightarrow 28$ regions

$$
<2 \cdot 2^{2} \cdot(2 \cdot 2+2) \cdot(2 \cdot 1+2)=192
$$



## Region automaton

- Equivalent states $=$ identical location $+\cong$-equivalent evaluations
- Equivalent Classes (regions): finite, stable, $L^{F}$-sensitive
- $R(A)$ : Region automaton of $A$
- States: $\langle I, r(A)\rangle$ s.t. $r(A)$ regions of $A$
$\Longrightarrow$ Finite state automaton!
- Reachability problem $\left\langle A, L^{F}\right\rangle \Longrightarrow$ Reachability problem $\left\langle R(A), L^{F}\right\rangle$
$\Longrightarrow$ Reachability in timed automata reduced to that in finite automata!


## Example: Region graph of a simple timed automata


(a)


May be further reduced (e.g., collapsing B, C, D into one state)

## Complexity of Reasoning with Timed Automata

## Reachability in Timed Automata

- Decidable!
- Linear with number of locations
- Exponential in the number of clocks
- Grows with the values of $C_{x}$
- Overall, PSPACE-Complete


## Language-containment with Timed Automata

Undecidable!
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## Zone Automata

- Collapse regions by convex unions of clock regions
- Clock Zone $\varphi$ : set/conjunction of clock constraints in the form $\left(x_{i} \bowtie c\right),\left(x_{i}-x_{j} \bowtie c\right), \bowtie \in\{>,<,=, \geq, \leq\}, c \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $\varphi$ is a convex set in the k-dimensional euclidean space
- possibly unbounded
$\Longrightarrow$ Contains all possible relationship for all clock value in a set
- Symbolic state: $\langle I, \varphi\rangle$
- I: location
- $\varphi$ : clock zone



## Zone Automata

## Definition: Zone Automaton

- Given a Timed Automaton $A \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle L, L^{0}, \Sigma, X, \Phi(X), E\right\rangle$, the Zone Automaton $Z(A)$ is a transition system $\left\langle Q, Q^{0}, \Sigma, \rightarrow\right\rangle$ s.t.
- $Q$ : set of all symbolic states of A (a symbolic state is $\langle I, \varphi\rangle$ )
- $Q^{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{\langle I,[X:=0]\rangle \mid I \in L^{0}\right\}$
- $\Sigma$ : set of labels/events in $A$
- $\rightarrow$ : set of "wait\&switch" symbolic transitions, in the form:
$\langle I, \varphi\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle I^{\prime}, \operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e)\right\rangle$
$\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e)$ : successor of $\varphi$ after (waiting and) executing the switch $e \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle I, a, \psi, \lambda, l^{\prime}\right\rangle$
- $\operatorname{succ}(\langle I, \varphi\rangle, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle I^{\prime}, \operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e)\right\rangle$


## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions

## Definition: $\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e)$

- Let $e \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle I, a, \psi, \lambda, I^{\prime}\right\rangle$, and $\phi, \phi^{\prime}$ the invariants in $I, I^{\prime}$
- Then

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(((\varphi \wedge \phi) \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)[\lambda:=0]
$$

- $\wedge$ : standard conjunction/intersection
- $\Uparrow$ : projection to infinity: $\psi \Uparrow \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{\nu+\delta \mid \nu \in \psi, \delta \in[0,+\infty)\}$
- $[\lambda:=0]$ : reset projection: $\psi[\lambda:=0] \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{\nu[\lambda:=0] \mid \nu \in \psi\}$
- note: $\varphi$ is considered "immediately before entering $l$ "


## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values before entering the location
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location
- Proiection to infinity: values allowed to enter the location, after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time, from which the switch can be
 shot
- Reset projection $\lambda$ : values ..., after reset Final!

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\operatorname{del}}{=}(((\varphi \wedge \phi) \uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)[\lambda:=0]
$$

## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values before entering the location
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location
- Proiection to infinity: values allowed to enter the location, after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time, from which the switch can be
 shot
- Reset projection $\lambda$ : values... , after reset Final!
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## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values before entering the location
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$
- Projection to infinity: values allowed to enter the location, after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time, from which the switch car be
 shot
- Reset projection $\lambda$ : values... , after reset Final!

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\operatorname{sed}}{=}((\varphi \wedge \phi))
$$

## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values before entering the location
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location
- Projection to infinity: values allowed to enter the location, after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with a uard $\psi:$ values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time, from which the switch can be
 shot
- Reset projection $\lambda$ : values... , after reset
$\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{a d x}{=}(((\varphi \wedge \phi))$


## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)
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## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values before entering the location
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## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)
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- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location
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- Intersection with guard $\psi$

- Reset projection $\lambda$ : values... , after reset
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## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)
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## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values before entering the location
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location
- Projection to infinity: values allowed to enter the location, after waiting unbounded time
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## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values before entering the location
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location
- Projection to infinity: values allowed to enter the location, after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time, from which the switch can be shot
- Reset projection $\lambda$ : values ..., after reset

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, \boldsymbol{e}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(((\varphi \wedge \phi) \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)[\lambda:=0]
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## Zone Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values before entering the location
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location
- Projection to infinity: values allowed to enter the location, after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : values allowed to enter the location, after waiting a legal amount of time, from which the switch can be shot
- Reset projection $\lambda$ : values ..., after reset
$\Longrightarrow$ Final!

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(((\varphi \wedge \phi) \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)[\lambda:=0]
$$

## Example: Zone Automata, Symbolic Transitions

- Initial zone: $(x \geq 0) \wedge(x \leq 2) \wedge$
$(y \geq 0) \wedge(y \leq 3) \wedge(y-x \geq-1) \wedge(y-x \leq 2)$
- Intersection with invariant $\phi:(y \geq 1) \wedge(y \leq 5)$
- Projection to infinity:

- Intersection with invariant $\phi:(y \geq 1) \wedge(y \leq 5)$
- Intersection with guard $\psi:(y \geq 4)$

- Reset projection $\lambda \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{y:=0\}$


## Example: Zone Automata, Symbolic Transitions

- Initial zone: $(x \geq 0) \wedge(x \leq 2) \wedge$

$$
(y \geq 0) \wedge(y \leq 3) \wedge(y-x \geq-1) \wedge(y-x \leq 2)
$$

- Projection to infinity:
- Intersection with invariant $\phi:(y \geq 1) \wedge(y \leq 5)$

- Reset projection $\lambda \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{y:=0\}$


## Example: Zone Automata, Symbolic Transitions

- Initial zone: $(x \geq 0) \wedge(x \leq 2) \wedge$

$$
(y \geq 0) \wedge(y \leq 3) \wedge(y-x \geq-1) \wedge(y-x \leq 2)
$$

- Intersection with invariant $\phi:(y \geq 1) \wedge(y \leq 5)$


## - Projection to infinity:
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## Example: Zone Automata, Symbolic Transitions

- Initial zone: $(x \geq 0) \wedge(x \leq 2) \wedge$
$(y \geq 0) \wedge(y \leq 3) \wedge(y-x \geq-1) \wedge(y-x \leq 2)$
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$\Longrightarrow$ Final!


## Remark on $\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e)$

- In the above definition of $\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, \boldsymbol{e}), \varphi$ is considered "immediately before entering l":

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(((\varphi \wedge \phi) \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)[\lambda:=0]
$$

- Alternative definition of $\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, \boldsymbol{e}), \varphi$ is considered "immediately after entering l":

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)[\lambda:=0] \wedge \phi^{\prime}\right)
$$

- no initial intersection with the invariant $\phi$ of source location / (here $\varphi$ is assumed to be already the result of such intersection)
- final intersection with the invariant $\phi^{\prime}$ of target location $I^{\prime}$


## Symbolic Reachability Analysis

1: function Reachable $\left(A, L^{F}\right) \quad / / A \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle L, L^{0}, \Sigma, X, \Phi(X), E\right\rangle$
2: Reachable $=\emptyset$
3: Frontier $=\left\{\left\langle I_{i},\{X=0\}\right\rangle \mid I_{i} \in L^{0}\right\}$
4: while (Frontier $\neq \emptyset$ ) do
5: extract $\langle I, \varphi\rangle$ from Frontier
6: $\quad$ if $\left(I \in L^{F}\right.$ and $\left.\varphi \neq \perp\right)$ then
7: return True
8: $\quad$ end if
9: $\quad$ if $\left(\nexists\left\langle I, \varphi^{\prime}\right\rangle \in\right.$ Reachable s.t. $\left.\varphi \subseteq \varphi^{\prime}\right)$ then
10: add $\langle I, \varphi\rangle$ to Reachable
11:
12:
13:
14: end if
15: end while
16: return False

## Canonical Data-structures for Zones: DBMs

## Difference-bound Matrices (DBMs)

- Matrix representation of constraints
- bounds on a single clock
- differences between 2 clocks
- Reduced form computed by all-pairs shortest path algorithm (e.g. Floyd-Warshall)
- Reduced DBM is canonical: equivalent sets of constraints produce the same reduced DBM
- Operations s.a reset, time-successor, inclusion, intersection are efficient
$\Longrightarrow$ Popular choice in timed-automata-based tools


## Difference-bound matrices, DBMs

- DBM: matrix $(k+1) \times(k+1), k$ being the number of clocks
- added an implicit fake variable $x_{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 0$ s.t. $x_{i} \bowtie c \Longrightarrow x_{i}-x_{0} \bowtie c$
- each element is a pair (value, $\{0,1\}$ ), s.t " $\{0,1\}$ " means " $\{<, \leq\}$ "


## Example:

( $0 \leq x_{1}$ )
$\wedge\left(0<x_{2}\right)$
$\wedge\left(x_{1}<2\right)$
$\wedge\left(x_{2}<1\right)$
$\wedge\left(x_{1}-x_{2} \geq 0\right)$
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$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\left(0 \leq x_{1}\right) & \wedge\left(0<x_{2}\right) & \wedge\left(x_{1}<2\right) & \wedge\left(x_{2}<1\right) & \wedge\left(x_{1}-x_{2} \geq 0\right) \\
\left(x_{0}-x_{1} \leq 0\right) & \wedge\left(x_{0}-x_{2}<0\right) & \wedge\left(x_{1}-x_{0}<2\right) & \wedge\left(x_{2}-x_{0}<1\right) & \wedge\left(x_{2}-x_{1} \leq 0\right)
\end{array}
$$
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\end{array}
$$



## Difference-bound matrices, DBMs (cont.)

- Use all-pairs shortest paths, check DBM
- idea: given $x_{i}-x_{j} \bowtie c, x_{i}-x_{k} \bowtie c_{1}$ and $x_{k}-x_{j} \bowtie c_{2}$ s.t. $\bowtie \in\{\leq,<\}$,
then $c$ is updated with $c_{1}+c_{2}$ if $c_{1}+c_{2}<c$
- Satisfiable (no negative loops) $\Longrightarrow$ a non-empty clock zone
- Canonical: matrices with tightest possible constraints
- Canonical DBMs represent clock zones:
equivalent sets of constraints $\Longleftrightarrow$ same reduced DBM

|  | Matrix $D$ |  |  | Matrix $D^{\prime}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | $\infty$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,1)$ |
| 1 | $(0,0)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $2,0)$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $(2,0)$ | $(0,1)$ |
| 2 | $(1,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $\infty$ | $(1,0)$ | $(0,1)$ |

## Canonical Data-structures for Zones: DBMs

## When are two sets of constraints equivalent?

D1 $\begin{aligned} & x<=1 \\ & y-x<=2 \\ & z-y<=2 \\ & z<=9\end{aligned}$
Graph


D2 $\begin{aligned} & x<=1 \\ & y-x<=2 \\ & y<=3 \\ & z-y<=2 \\ & z<=7\end{aligned}$


## Complexity Issues

- In theory:
- Zone automaton might be exponentially bigger than the region automaton
- In practice:
- Fewer reachable vertices $\Longrightarrow$ performances much improved


## Timed Automata: summary

- Only continuous variables are timers
- Invariants and Guards: $x \bowtie$ const, $\bowtie \in\{<,>, \leq, \geq\}$
- Actions: $x:=0$
- Reachability is decidable
- Clustering of regions into zones desirable in practice
- Tools: Uppaal, Kronos, RED ...
- Symbolic representation: matrices


## Decidable Problems with Timed Automata

- Model checking branching-time properties of timed automata
- Reachability in rectangular automata
- Timed bisimilarity: are two given timed automata bisimilar?
- Optimization: Compute shortest paths (e.g. minimum time reachability) in timed automata with costs on locations and edges
- Controller synthesis: Computing winning strategies in timed automata with controllable and uncontrollable transitions
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## Hybrid Automata



## Hybrid Automata

- Locations, Switches, Labels (like in standard aut.)
- Continuous variables: $X \stackrel{\text { cel }}{=}\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}$
- value evolves with time
- e.g., distance, speed, pressure, temperature,
- Guards: $g(X) \geq 0$
- sets of inequalities (equalities) on functions on $X$
- constrain the execution of the switch
- Jump Transformations $J\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$
- discrete transformation on the values of $X$
- Invariants: $X \in \operatorname{In} v_{l}(X)$
- set of invariant constraints on $X$
- ensure progress
- Continuous Flow: $\frac{d X}{d t} \in$ flow $_{l}(X)$
- set of degree-1 differential (in)equalities
- describe continuous dynamics
- Initial: $X \in \operatorname{Init},(X)$
- initial conditions $\left(I_{\text {nit }}(X)=\perp\right.$ iff $\left.I \notin L^{0}\right)$
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## Hybrid Automata $A=\left\langle L, L^{0}, X, \Sigma, \Phi(X), E\right\rangle$

- L: Set of locations,
- $L^{0} \in L$ : Set of initial locations (s.t. Init $_{/}(X)=\perp$ iff $I \notin L_{0}$ )
- $X$ : Set of $k$ continuous variables
- $\Phi(X)$ : Set of Constraints on $X$
- $\Sigma$ : Set of synchronization labels (alphabet)
- $E$ : Set of edges
- State space: $L \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$,
- state: $\langle I, \psi\rangle$ s.t. $I \in L$ and $\psi \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$
- region $\psi$ : subset of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$
- For each location I:
- Initial states: region $\operatorname{Init}_{( }(X)$
- Invariant: region $\operatorname{Inv}_{l}(X)$
- Continuous dynamics: $\frac{d X}{d t} \in$ flow $_{l}(X)$
- For each edge $e$ from location $/$ to location $I^{\prime}$
- Guard: region $g(X) \geq 0$
- Update relation "Jump" $J\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ over $\mathbb{R}^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$
- Synchronization label $a \in \Sigma$ (communication information)


## Remark: Degree of flow $_{l}(X)$

- Continuous dynamics described w.l.o.g. with sets of degree-1 differential (in)equalities flow $_{l}(X)$
- Sets/conjunctions of higher-degree differential (in)equalities can be reduced to degree 1 by renaming
- Ex:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(a_{1} \frac{d^{2} s}{d t^{2}}+a_{2} \frac{d s}{d t}+a_{3} s+a_{4} \bowtie 0\right) \\
\Downarrow \\
\left(v=\frac{d s}{d t}\right) \wedge\left(a_{1} \frac{d v}{d t}+a_{2} v+a_{3} s+a_{4} \bowtie 0\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## (Finite) Executions of Hybrid Automata

- State: pair $\langle I, X\rangle$ such that $X \in \operatorname{Inv}_{l}(X)$
- Initialization: $\langle I, X\rangle$ such that $X \in \operatorname{Init}_{/}(X)$
- Two types of state updates (transitions)
- Discrete switches: $\langle I, X\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle I^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right\rangle$ if there there is an a-labeled edge e from $/$ to $l^{\prime}$ s.t.
- $X, X^{\prime}$ satisfy $\operatorname{Inv}_{I}(X)$ and $\operatorname{In} v_{\prime \prime}(X)$ respectively
- $X$ satisfies the guard of $e$ (i.e. $g(X) \geq 0$ ) and
- $\left\langle X, X^{\prime}\right\rangle$ satisfies the jump condition of $e$ (i.e., $\left\langle X, X^{\prime}\right\rangle \in J\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ )
- Continuous flows: $\langle I, X\rangle \xrightarrow{f}\left\langle I, X^{\prime}\right\rangle$ $f(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle f_{0}(t), \ldots, f_{k}(t)\right\rangle:[0, \delta] \longmapsto \mathbb{R}^{k}$ is a continuous function s.t.
- $f(0)=X$
- $f(\delta)=X^{\prime}$
- for every $t \in[0, \delta], f(t) \in \operatorname{Inv}(X)$
- for every $t \in[0, \delta], \frac{d t(t)}{d t} \in \operatorname{flow}_{1}(X)$


## Example: Gate for a railroad controller



Notation: "dh" shortcut for " $\frac{d h}{d t}$ "
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## General Symbolic-Reachability Schema

```
1: R = I(X)
2: while (True) do
3: if (R intersects F) then
4: return True
5: else
6: if (Image (R)\subseteqR) then
7: return False
8: else
9: }\quadR=R\cupImage(R
10: end if
11: end if
12: end while
```

- I: initial; F: Final; R: Reachable; Image(R): successors of R
- need a data type to representt state sets (regions)
- Termination may or may not be guaranteed


## Symbolic Representations

- Necessary operations on Regions
- Union
- Intersection
- Negation
- Projection
- Renaming
- Equality/containment test
- Emptiness test
- Different choices for different classes of problems
- BDDs for Boolean variables in hardware verification
- DBMs in Timed automata
- Polyhedra in Linear Hybrid Automata


## Reachability for Hybrid Systems

- Same algorithm works in principle
- Problem: What is a suitable representation of regions?
- Region: subset of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$
- Main problem: handling continuous dynamics
- Precise solutions available for restricted continuous dynamics
- Timed automata
- Multi-rate \& Rectangular Hybrid Automata (reduced to Timed aut.)
- Linear Hybrid Automata
- Even for linear systems, over-approximations of reachable set needed


## Reachability Analysis for Dynamical Systems

- Goal: Given an initial region, compute whether a bad state can be reached
- Key step: compute Reach(X) for a given set $X$ under $\frac{d X}{d t}=f(X)$


Notation: (hereafter we often use " $d X$ " or " $\dot{X}$ " as a shortcut of " $d X$ "
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## Simple Hybrid Automata: Multi-Rate and Rectangular

Two simple forms of Hybrid Automata

- Multi-Rate Automata
- Rectangular Automata
- Idea: can be reduced to Timed Automata
- typically used as over-approximations of complex hybrid automata


## Multi-rate Automata

- Modest extension of timed automata
- Dynamics of the form $\frac{d X}{d t}=$ const
s.t. the rate of of each variable is the same in all locations
- Guards and invariants: $x<$ const, $x>$ const
- Resets: $x:=$ const
- Simple translation to timed automata by shifting and scaling:
- if $x_{i}:=d_{i}$ then rename it with a fresh var $v_{i}$ s.t. $v_{i}+d_{i}=x_{i}$
- if $\frac{d x_{i}}{d t}=c_{i}$, then rename it with a fresh var $u_{i}$ s.t. $c_{i} \cdot u_{i}=x_{i}$
- shift \& rescale constants in constraints accordingly



## Rectangular Automata (simplified)

- More interesting extension of timed automata
- Dynamics of the form $\frac{d X}{d t} \in$ [const1, const2] ( $\dot{x} \in$ [const1, const2]) s.t. the rate of each variable is the same in all locations
- Guards and invariants: $x<$ const, $x>$ const
- Jumps: $x:=$ const
- Translation to multi-rate automata (hints). For each $x$ :
- Introduce $x_{M}, x_{m}$ describing the greatest/least possible $x$ values
- flow: substitute $\dot{x}<c_{u}$ with $\dot{x}_{M}=c_{u}$ and $\dot{x}>c_{l}$ with $\dot{x}_{m}=c_{l}$
- invariants: substitute $\operatorname{Inv}_{l}(x)$ with $\operatorname{Inv}_{l}\left(x_{M}\right), \operatorname{Inv}_{l}\left(x_{m}\right)$
- guards: substitute $x>c$ with $x_{M}>c$, add jump $x_{m}:=c$ (if none) substitute $x<c$ with $x_{m}<c$, add jump $x_{M}:=c$ (if none)
- jump: if $x:=c$, then both $x_{M}:=c$ and $x_{m}:=c$



## Example: Gate for a railroad controller
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## Linear Hybrid Automata

- Polyhedron $\varphi$ : set/conjunction of linear inequalities on $X$ in the form $(A \cdot X \geq B)$, s.t. $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ for some $m$.
- $\varphi$ is a convex set in the k -dimensional euclidean space
- possibly unbounded
$\Longrightarrow$ Contains all possible values for all variables in a set
- Symbolic state: $\langle I, \varphi\rangle$
- I: location
- $\varphi$ : polyhedron (generalization of zone automata)



## Linear Hybrid Automata $A=\left\langle L, L^{0}, X, \Sigma, \Phi(X), E\right\rangle$

- State space: $L \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$,
- state: $\langle I, \psi\rangle$ s.t. $I \in L$ and $\psi \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$
- polyhedron $\psi$ : subset of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ in the form $A \cdot X \geq B$
- For each edge $e$ from location $/$ to location $I^{\prime}$
- Guard: region $(A \cdot X \geq B)$ : polyhedron on $X$
- Update relation "Jump" $J\left(X, X^{\prime}\right): X^{\prime}:=T \cdot X, T \in \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$
- Synchronization label $a \in \Sigma$ (communication information)
- For each location I:
- Initial states: region Init $_{l}(X)$ : polyhedron on $X$
- Invariant: region $\operatorname{Inv}(X)$ : polyhedron on $X$
- Continuous dynamics flow $l_{l}(X)$ : polyhedron on $\frac{d X}{d t}$


## Continuous Dynamics

Time-invariant, state-independent dynamics specified by a convex polyhedron constraining first derivatives
Es: $\frac{d x}{d t} \geq 3, \frac{d x}{d t}=\frac{d y}{d t}, 2.1 \frac{d x}{d t}-3.5 \frac{d y}{d t}+1.7 \frac{d z}{d t} \geq 3.1, \ldots$

## Example: Gate for a railroad controller



## Reachability Computation: Key Steps

- Compute "discrete" successors of $\langle I, \psi\rangle$
- Compute "continuous" successor of $\langle I, \psi\rangle$
- Check if $\psi$ intersects with "bad" region
- Check if newly-found $\psi$ is covered by already-visited polyhedra $\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{n}$ (expensive!)


## Computing Discrete Successors of $\langle I, \psi\rangle$

- Intersect $\psi$ with the guard $\phi$
$\Longrightarrow$ result is a polyhedron
- Apply linear transformation of $J$ to the result $\Longrightarrow$ result is a polyhedron
- Intersect with the invariant of target location $I^{\prime}$
$\Longrightarrow$ result is a polyhedron


## Computing Time Successor

- Consider maximum and minimum rates between derivatives (external vertices in the flow polyhedron)


## - Apply these extremal rates for computing the projection to infinity (to be intersected with invariant)


$\frac{\frac{d y}{d t}}{d t}$ st max, $\frac{d y}{d x}=$
$=m a x_{x, y} \frac{d y}{d x}$
$\frac{\frac{y}{y}}{x}$ and $\min _{x, y} \frac{d y}{d x}=\min _{x, y} \frac{\frac{d y}{d t}}{\frac{d x}{d t}}$



## Computing Time Successor

- Consider maximum and minimum rates between derivatives (external vertices in the flow polyhedron)
- Apply these extremal rates for computing the projection to infinity (to be intersected with invariant)
- Hint: $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{\frac{d y}{d t}}{\frac{d x}{d t}}$, s.t. $\max _{x, y} \frac{d y}{d x}=\max _{x, y} \frac{\frac{d y}{d t}}{\frac{d x}{d t}}$ and $\min _{x, y} \frac{d y}{d x}=\min _{x, y} \frac{\frac{d y}{d t}}{\frac{d x}{d t}}$



## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions

## Definition: $\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e)$

- Let $e \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle I, a, \psi, J, I^{\prime}\right\rangle$, and $\phi, \phi^{\prime}$ the invariants in $I, I^{\prime}$
- Then

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J(((\varphi \wedge \phi) \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)
$$

( $\varphi$ immediately before entering the location)

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi) \wedge \phi^{\prime}
$$

( $\varphi$ immediately after entering the location):

- $\wedge$ : standard conjunction/intersection
- $\Uparrow$ : continuous successor $\psi \Uparrow$
- J: Jump transformation $J(X) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} T \cdot X$
- note: $\varphi$ is considered "immediately after entering l"


## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\theta$ : ... waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : ... which the switch can be shot
- Jump J: ..., after jump
- Intersection with invariant $\phi^{\prime}:$...
 values allowed to enter location $I^{\prime}$
$\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, \boldsymbol{e}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi) \wedge \phi^{\prime}$


## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $d$ : ... waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard which the switch can be shot

values allowed to enter location $I^{\prime}$

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, \boldsymbol{e}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)
$$

## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity
- Intersection with invariant $\phi: \ldots$ waiting a legal amount of time

values allowed to enter location $I^{\prime}$

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, \boldsymbol{e}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi) \wedge \phi^{\prime}
$$

## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi: .$. waiting a legal amount of time - Intersection with guard which the switch can be shot
 values allowed to enter location $I^{\prime}$
$\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow$


## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$
- Intersection with guard which the switch can be shot

- Intersection with invariant $\phi^{\prime}$ : ...

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi)
$$

## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : ... waiting a legal amount of time
 values allowed to enter location $I^{\prime}$

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi)
$$

## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : ... waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$
 values allowed to enter location $l^{\prime}$

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, \boldsymbol{e}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)
$$

## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : ... waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : ... from which the switch can be shot

values allowed to enter location $l^{\prime}$

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, \boldsymbol{e}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)
$$

## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : ... waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : ... from which the switch can be shot
- Jump J
values allowed to enter location $I^{\prime}$

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, \boldsymbol{e}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)
$$

## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : ... waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : ... from which the switch can be shot
- Jump J: ..., after jump
values allowed to enter location $l^{\prime}$

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi)
$$

## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : ... waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : ... from which the switch can be shot
- Jump J: ..., after jump
- Intersection with invariant $\phi^{\prime}$



## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : ... waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : ... from which the switch can be shot
- Jump J: ..., after jump
- Intersection with invariant $\phi^{\prime}$ : ...
 values allowed to enter location $I^{\prime \prime}$

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi) \wedge \phi^{\prime}
$$

## Linear Hybrid Automata: Symbolic Transitions (cont.)

- Initial zone: values allowed to enter location /
- Projection to infinity: ... after waiting unbounded time
- Intersection with invariant $\phi$ : ... waiting a legal amount of time
- Intersection with guard $\psi$ : ... from which the switch can be shot
- Jump J: ..., after jump
- Intersection with invariant $\phi^{\prime}$ : ...
 values allowed to enter location $I^{\prime}$
Fina!!

$$
\operatorname{succ}(\varphi, e) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} J((\varphi \Uparrow \wedge \phi) \wedge \psi) \wedge \phi^{\prime}
$$

## Symbolic Reachability Analysis

1: function Reachable $(A, F)$
$/ / A \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle L, L^{0}, \Sigma, X, \Phi(X), E\right\rangle, F \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{\left\langle I_{i}, \phi_{i}\right\rangle\right\}_{i}$
2: Reachable $=\emptyset$
3: Frontier $=\left\{\left\langle I, \operatorname{Init}_{/}(X)\right\rangle \mid I \in L^{0}\right\}$
4: while (Frontier $\neq \emptyset$ ) do
5: extract $\langle I, \varphi\rangle$ from Frontier
6: $\quad$ if $((\varphi \wedge \phi) \neq \perp$ for some $\langle l, \phi\rangle \in F)$ then
7: return True
8: $\quad$ end if
9: $\quad$ if $\left(\nexists\left\langle I, \varphi^{\prime}\right\rangle \in\right.$ Reachable s.t. $\left.\varphi \subseteq \varphi^{\prime}\right)$ then
10: add $\langle I, \varphi\rangle$ to Reachable
11: $\quad$ for $e \in$ outcoming $(I)$ do
12:
13:
14: end if
15: end while
16: return False

## Summary: Linear Hybrid Automata

- Strategy implemented in HyTech
- Core computation: manipulation of polyhedra
- Bottlenecks
- proliferation of polyhedra (unions)
- computing with high-dimension polyhedra
- Many case studies


## Outline

(4) Motivations
(2) Timed systems: Modeling and Semantics

- Timed automata

3 Symbolic Reachability for Timed Systems

- Making the state space finite
- Region automata
- Zone automata
(4) Hybrid Systems: Modeling and Semantics
- Hybrid automata

5. Symbolic Reachability for Hybrid Systems

- Multi-Rate and Rectangular Hybrid Automata
- Linear Hybrid Automata
(6) Exercises


## Ex: Execution of a Timed System

Consider only the following piece of a timed automaton A, $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ being clocks.

(a) In general, what is the minimum amount of time from an occurrence of event $b$ and the subsequent occurrence of the event $a$ ? [ Solution: 1 time unit. ]
(b) Write a legal execution from state $\left\langle L_{1}, 0.0,2.0\right\rangle$ to state $\left\langle L_{1}, 0.0,3.0\right\rangle$. [ Solution:
$\left\langle L_{1}, 0.0,2.0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{1.0}\left\langle L_{1}, 1.0,3.0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle L_{2}, 1.0,3.0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{0.0}\left\langle L_{2}, 1.0,3.0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{b}$ $\left.\left\langle L_{1}, 0.0,3.0\right\rangle\right]$
(c) Is it possible to have a legal execution in which switches $e_{2}, e_{1}, e_{2}$ are shot consecutively (possibly interleaved by time elapses), without being interleaved by other switches? If yes, write one such execution. If not, explain why. [ Solution:
Yes: $\left\langle L_{2}, \ldots, 2.0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{b}\left\langle L_{1}, 0.0,2.0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{1.0}\left\langle L_{1}, 1.0,3.0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{a}\left\langle L_{2}, 1.0,3.0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{0.0}$
$\left\langle L_{2}, 1.0,3.0\right\rangle \xrightarrow{b}\left\langle L_{1}, 0.0,3.0\right\rangle$
Note: if the guard of $e_{2}$ were strictly greater than 2 , this would not be possible. ]

## Ex: Timed Automata: Regions

Consider the following timed automaton A.


Considere the correponding Region automaton R(A). For each of the following pairs of states of $A$, say if the two states belong to the same region.

- $(2.5,3.7)$
(a) $s_{0}=\left(L_{1}, 2.5,3.2\right), s_{1}=\left(L_{1}, 2.5,3.7\right)$ [ Solution: yes ]
(b) $s_{0}=\left(L_{1}, 1.5,2.2\right), s_{1}=\left(L_{1}, 1.5,2.7\right)$ [ Solution: no ]
(c) $s_{0}=\left(L_{2}, 0.5,1.4\right), s_{1}=\left(L_{2}, 0.5,1.0\right)$ [ Solution: no ]
(d) $s_{0}=\left(L_{2}, 1.7,0.5\right), s_{1}=\left(L_{2}, 1.5,0.1\right)$ [ Solution: yes ]



## Ex: Timed Automata: Zones

Consider the following switch $e$ in a timed automaton, $x$ and $y$ being clocks:

and let $Z_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle L_{1}, \varphi\right\rangle$ s.t $\varphi \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(x \geq 2) \wedge(x \leq 3) \wedge(y \geq 2) \wedge(y \leq 5) \wedge(y-x \leq 2)$.
Compute $\operatorname{succ}\left(Z_{1}, e\right)$, drawing the process on the cartesian space $\langle x, y\rangle$. [ Solution: The solution is $\operatorname{succ}\left(Z_{1}, e\right)=\left\langle Z_{2}, \perp\right\rangle$. In fact, the zone reached by waiting in $L_{1}$ has empty intersection with the guard, as displayed in figure:


## Hybrid Automata

A railway-crossing gate, whose dynamics is represented by the hybrid automaton in the figure, receives from a controller two possible input signals \{lower, raise\}. ( $\theta$, in degrees, represents the angle between the bar and the ground.)
When the gate is open the controller receives a signal "incoming" when a train is incoming, it waits a fixed amount of time $\Delta t$, then it sends the gate the lower order. It is known that an incoming train takes an amount of time within the interval $[70,100]$ time units to get from the remote sensor to the gate.
Compute the maximum amount of time $\Delta t$ which guarantees that the train does not reach the gate before the bar is completely lowered, and briefly explain why.


## Hybrid Automata

[ Solution: $\Delta t$ is 60 time units. In fact, the maximum value of $\Delta t$ the controller can afford waiting is given by the minimum time the train may take to reach the gate (70), minus the maximum time taken by the bar to lower, that is, the time taken to lower the angle from 90 to 0 at the lowest absolute speed ( $90 /|-9|)$. Overall, we have thus $\Delta t=70-90 /(|-9|)=60$. ]

## Difference Bound Matrices

Consider the zone:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(x_{1} \leq 3\right) \wedge\left(x_{2} \leq 2\right) \wedge\left(x_{3} \leq 5\right) \wedge \\
& \left(x_{1}-x_{3} \leq 2\right) \wedge\left(x_{2}-x_{1} \leq-2\right) \wedge\left(x_{3}-x_{1} \leq 3\right) \wedge\left(x_{3}-x_{2} \leq 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Compute the corresponding DBM
(b) Compute the reduced DBM

## Difference Bound Matrices

[ Solution: $\varphi \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(x_{1} \leq 3\right) \wedge\left(x_{2} \leq 2\right) \wedge\left(x_{3} \leq 5\right) \wedge$
$\left(x_{1}-x_{3} \leq 2\right) \wedge\left(x_{2}-x_{1} \leq-2\right) \wedge\left(x_{3}-x_{1} \leq 3\right) \wedge\left(x_{3}-x_{2} \leq 1\right)$
Initial DBM:
Reduced DBM:

|  | $x_{0}$ | $x_{1}$ | $\chi_{2}$ | $\chi_{3}$ |  | $x_{0}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $\chi_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{0}$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $x_{0}$ | $\langle 0, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ |
| $x_{1}$ | $\langle 3, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle 2, \leq\rangle$ | $x_{1}$ | $\langle 3, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle 0, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle 3, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle 2, \leq\rangle$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $\langle 2, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle-2, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $x_{2}$ | $\langle 1, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle-2, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle 0, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle 0, \leq\rangle$ |
| $x_{3}$ | $\langle 5, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle 3, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle 1, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle\infty, \leq\rangle$ | $x_{3}$ | $\langle 2, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle-1, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle 1, \leq\rangle$ | $\langle 0, \leq\rangle$ |

]

