# Course "Automated Reasoning" TEST

Roberto Sebastiani DISI, Università di Trento, Italy

June  $10^{th},\,2022$ 

857976918

[COPY WITH SOLUTIONS]

Let  $\varphi$  be a generic Boolean formula, and let  $\varphi_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} CNF(\varphi)$ , s.c. CNF() is the "classic" CNF conversion. Let  $|\varphi|$  and  $|\varphi_1|$  denote the size of  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi_1$  respectively.

For each of the following sentences, say if it is true or false.

- (a) If a DAG representation of formulas is used, then  $|\varphi_1|$  is in worst-case polynomial in size wrt.  $|\varphi|$ . [Solution: False.  $|\varphi_1|$  may grow exponentially wrt.  $|\varphi|$ , regardless the usage of DAG representations.]
- (b) If  $\varphi$  contains no  $\leftrightarrow$ 's, then  $|\varphi_1|$  is in worst-case polynomial in size wrt.  $|\varphi|$ . [Solution: False.  $|\varphi_1|$  may grow exponentially wrt.  $|\varphi|$ , regardless the absence of  $\leftrightarrow$ 's.]
- (c) If  $\varphi$  is valid, then  $\varphi_1$  is valid. [Solution: True.]
- (d) If  $\varphi_1$  is valid, then  $\varphi$  is valid. [Solution: True]

# $\mathbf{2}$

Consider the following Kripke Model M:



For each of the following facts, say if it is true or false in LTL.

- (a)  $M \models \mathbf{F}p$ [ Solution: false ] (b)  $M \models \mathbf{G} \neg p$
- [Solution: false]
- (c)  $M \models \mathbf{GF} \neg p$ [ Solution: false ]
- (d)  $M \models \mathbf{G}(p \lor q)$ [ Solution: true ]

Consider the following Kripke Model M:



For each of the following facts, say if it is true or false in CTL.

- (a)  $M \models \mathbf{EG}q$ [ Solution: true ]
- (b)  $M \models \mathbf{AF}p$ [ Solution: false ]
- (c)  $M \models \mathbf{AF} \neg q$ [ Solution: false ]
- $\begin{array}{c} (d) \ M \models (\mathbf{AGAF} \neg q) \\ [ \ \mathbf{Solution:} \ false \ ] \end{array}$

## $\mathbf{4}$

For each of the following fact regarding Buchi automata, say if it true or false.

(a) The following BA represents  $\mathbf{FG}q$ :



(b) The following BA represents  $\mathbf{FG}q$ :



[Solution: False. It accepts every execution.]

(c) The following BA represents  $p\mathbf{U}q$ :



(d) The following BA represents  $p\mathbf{U}q$ :



#### $\mathbf{5}$

Consider the following two  $\mathcal{DL}$  formulas:  $\varphi_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x_2 - x_1 \leq -6) \land (x_3 - x_2 \leq 5) \land (x_5 - x_4 \leq -4) \land (x_6 - x_5 \leq -7) \land (x_8 - x_7 \leq 4)$  $\varphi_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x_4 - x_3 \leq 3) \land (x_7 - x_6 \leq -1) \land (x_1 - x_8 \leq 5)$ 

For each of the following facts, say if it is true or false

- (a) The following is a  $\mathcal{DL}$  interpolant of  $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle$  $(x_3 - x_1 \leq -1) \wedge (x_6 - x_4 \leq -11)$ [ Solution: false ]
- (b) The following is a  $\mathcal{LRA}$  interpolant of  $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle$ :  $(x_3 - x_1 + x_6 - x_4 + x_8 - x_7 \leq -8)$ [ Solution: true ]
- (c) The following is a  $\mathcal{DL}$  interpolant of  $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle$ :  $(x_3 - x_1 \leq -1) \wedge (x_6 - x_4 \leq -11) \wedge (x_8 - x_7 \leq 4)$ [ Solution: true ]
- (d) The following is a  $\mathcal{DL}$  interpolant of  $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle$   $(x_2 - x_1 \leq -6) \land (x_3 - x_2 \leq 5) \land (x_5 - x_4 \leq -4) \land (x_6 - x_5 \leq -7) \land$   $(x_4 - x_3 \leq 3) \land (x_7 - x_6 \leq -1) \land (x_1 - x_8 \leq 5) \land (x_8 - x_7 \leq 4)$ [ Solution: false ]



[ Solution:

Consider the following Boolean formula  $\varphi$ :

$$\neg(((A_9 \rightarrow A_8) \land (\neg A_7 \rightarrow \neg A_4)) \lor ((\neg A_5 \rightarrow \neg A_6) \land (\neg A_7 \rightarrow A_8)))$$

1. Compute the Negative Normal Form of  $\varphi$ , called  $\varphi'$ . [Solution:

$$\begin{array}{l} & \varphi \\ \Rightarrow & \neg(((A_9 \to A_8) \land (\neg A_7 \to \neg A_4)) \lor ((\neg A_5 \to \neg A_6) \land (\neg A_7 \to A_8))) \\ \Rightarrow & (\neg((A_9 \to A_8) \land (\neg A_7 \to \neg A_4)) \land \neg((\neg A_5 \to \neg A_6) \land (\neg A_7 \to A_8))) \\ \Rightarrow & ((\neg(A_9 \to A_8) \lor \neg(\neg A_7 \to \neg A_4)) \land (\neg(\neg A_5 \to \neg A_6) \lor \neg(\neg A_7 \to A_8))) \\ \Rightarrow & (((A_9 \land \neg A_8) \lor (\neg A_7 \land A_4)) \land ((\neg A_5 \land A_6) \lor (\neg A_7 \land \neg A_8))) \\ = & \varphi' \end{array}$$

- 2. For each of the following sentences, only one is true. Say which one.
  - (a)  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  are equivalent. [ Solution: True ]
  - (b)  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  are not necessarily equivalent.  $\varphi'$  has a model if and only  $\varphi$  has a model. [Solution: False]
  - (c) There is no relation between the satisfiablity of  $\varphi$  and that of  $\varphi'$ . [Solution: False]

Let

$$\varphi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} A_{3} \lor & A_{6} \lor & A_{8} \right) \land \\ \left( A_{5} \lor & A_{7} \lor & A_{8} \right) \land \\ \left( \neg A_{4} \lor \neg A_{6} \lor \neg A_{8} \right) \land \\ \left( \neg A_{6} \lor & A_{7} \lor \neg A_{8} \right) \land \\ \left( \neg A_{3} \lor & A_{6} \lor & A_{9} \right) \land \\ \left( \neg A_{3} \lor & A_{6} \lor \neg A_{9} \right) \land \\ \left( A_{3} \lor & A_{4} \lor \neg A_{5} \right) \land \\ \left( A_{5} \lor & A_{8} \lor \neg A_{9} \right) \land \\ \left( A_{6} \lor & A_{4} \lor \neg A_{7} \right) \land \\ \left( A_{6} \lor & A_{4} \lor \neg A_{7} \right) \land \\ \left( A_{5} \lor & A_{8} \lor \neg A_{1} \right) \land \\ \left( \neg A_{4} \lor \neg A_{7} \lor \neg A_{9} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

Using the variable ordering:

"  $A_1$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$ ,  $A_5$ ,  $A_6$ ,  $A_7$ ,  $A_8$ ,  $A_9$ ",

draw the OBDD corresponding to the formula  $\varphi'$  defined as:

$$\varphi' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists A_2.\varphi.$$

[Solution: Trivial, because  $\varphi$  is in the form "( $A_2 \leftrightarrow \psi$ )", Thus:

$$\varphi' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists A_2.(A_2 \leftrightarrow \psi) \\ = ((A_2 \leftrightarrow \psi)[A_2 := \top]) \lor ((A_2 \leftrightarrow \psi)[A_2 := \bot]) \\ = \psi \\ = \top \lor \neg \psi$$

which corresponds to the following OBDD:

]

Consider the following implication graph:



 $A_{12}$  being the most recent decision literal. Write the conflict clauses generated by

- (a) the decision conflict analysis criterion
- (b) the last UIP conflict analysis criterion
- (c) the 1st UIP conflict analysis criterion

[ Solution:



- (a) Decision clause:  $\neg A_{12} \lor A_2 \lor A_4 \lor \neg A_3 \lor \neg A_5$
- (b) Last UIP clause:  $\neg A_{12} \lor \neg A_{11} \lor A_4 \lor \neg A_3 \lor \neg A_5$
- (c) 1st UIP clause:  $\neg A_{13} \lor \neg A_3 \lor \neg A_5$

Consider the following pair of  $SMT(\mathcal{LRA})$  sets of literals:

$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ (0 \le -3x_1 - 5x_2 + 1), (0 \le x_1 + x_2) \}$$
  
$$B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ (0 \le 3x_3 - 2x_1 - 3), (0 \le x_1 - 2x_3 + 1) \}.$$

(a) Write a proof P of  $\mathcal{LRA}$ -unsatisfiablity of  $A \wedge B$ 

[Solution: A proof of unsatisfiability P for  $A \wedge B$  is the following:

$$\frac{(0 \le -3x_1 - 5x_2 + 1) \quad (0 \le x_1 + x_2)}{\text{COMB} \quad (0 \le 2x_1 + 1) \text{ with } c. \ 1 \text{ and } 5} \quad \frac{(0 \le 3x_3 - 2x_1 - 3) \quad (0 \le x_1 - 2x_3 + 1)}{\text{COMB} \quad (0 \le -x_1 - 3) \text{ with } c. \ 2 \text{ and } 3}$$

(b) From such a proof, compute a  $\mathcal{LRA}$ -interpolant for  $\langle A, B \rangle$  using McMillan's technique.

[Solution: An interpolant  $\langle A, B \rangle$  is the following:

$$\frac{(0 \le -3x_1 - 5x_2 + 1) \quad (0 \le x_1 + x_2)}{\text{COMB} \quad (0 \le 2x_1 + 1) \text{ with } c. \ 1 \text{ and } 5} \quad \frac{(0 \le 0) \quad (0 \le 0)}{\text{COMB} \quad (0 \le 0) \text{ with } c. \ 2 \text{ and } 3}$$

Thus, the interpolant obtained is  $(0 \le 2x_1 + 1)$ .

Consider the LTL formula  $\varphi \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} p \lor q$ , where p, q are atomic propositions. (Notice: <u>LTL</u> formula!) Compute the corresponding Generalized Büchi Automaton.

[ Solution:

 $\varphi$  is already in DNF, the two disjuncts corresponding to two initial states:

 $S_1 \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \langle \{p\}, \{\top\}, \{p \lor q, p\} \rangle$ 

 $S_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \{q\}, \{\top\}, \{p \lor q, q\} \rangle.$ Then the expansion of their next part gives the "true state":

 $s_3 \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \langle \{\top\}, \{\top\}, \{\top\}. \rangle$ 

Since there is no until formula, there is only one group of accepting states including all states. Thus, the resulting Büchi Automaton is the following:

