### **Support vector machines**

### In a nutshell

- Linear classifiers selecting hyperplane maximizing separation margin between classes (*large margin classifiers*)
- Solution only depends on a small subset of training examples (support vectors)
- Sound generalization theory (bounds or error based on margin)
- Can be easily extended to nonlinear separation (*kernel machines*)

## Maximum margin classifier



# Maximum margin classifier

## **Classifier margin**

• Given a training set D, a classifier *confidence margin* is:

$$\rho = \min_{(\boldsymbol{x}, y) \in \mathcal{D}} y f(\boldsymbol{x})$$

• It is the minimal confidence margin (for predicting the true label) among training examples

• A classifier *geometric margin* is:

$$\frac{\rho}{||\boldsymbol{w}||} = \min_{(\boldsymbol{x},y)\in\mathcal{D}} \frac{yf(\boldsymbol{x})}{||\boldsymbol{w}||}$$

## Maximum margin classifier

### **Canonical hyperplane**

• There is an infinite number of equivalent formulation for the same hyperplane:

• The *canonical hyperplane* is the hyperplane having confidence margin equal to 1:

$$\rho = \min_{(\boldsymbol{x}, y) \in \mathcal{D}} y f(\boldsymbol{x}) = 1$$

• Its geometric margin is:

$$\frac{\rho}{||\boldsymbol{w}||} = \frac{1}{||\boldsymbol{w}||}$$

Maximum margin classifier



**Theorem 1** (Margin Error Bound). Consider the set of decision functions  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{sign} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$  with  $||\mathbf{w}|| \leq \Lambda$  and  $||\mathbf{x}|| \leq R$ , for some  $R, \Lambda > 0$ . Moreover, let  $\rho > 0$  and  $\nu$  denote the fraction of training examples with margin smaller than  $\rho/||\mathbf{w}||$ , referred to as the margin error.

For all distributions P generating the data, with probability at least  $1 - \delta$  over the drawing of the m training patterns, and for any  $\rho > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , the probability that a test pattern drawn from P will be misclassified is

bound from above by

$$\nu + \sqrt{\frac{c}{m} \left(\frac{R^2 \Lambda^2}{\rho^2} \ln^2 m + \ln(1/\delta)\right)}.$$

Here, c is a universal constant.

# Hard margin SVM Margin Error Bound: interpretation

$$\nu + \sqrt{\frac{c}{m} \left(\frac{R^2 \Lambda^2}{\rho^2} \ln^2 m + \ln(1/\delta)\right)}.$$

The probability of test error depends on (among other components):

- number of margin errors  $\nu$  (examples with margin smaller than  $\rho/||\boldsymbol{w}||$ )
- number of training examples (error depends on  $\sqrt{\frac{\ln^2 m}{m}}$ )
- size of the margin (error depends on  $1/\rho^2$ )

# *Note* If $\rho$ is fixed to 1 (canonical hyperplane), maximizing margin corresponds to minimizing ||w||

# Hard margin SVM

# Learning problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\boldsymbol{w},w_0} & \quad \frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}||^2\\ \text{subject to:} & & \\ & & \\ & & y_i(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i + w_0) \geq 1\\ & & \forall (\boldsymbol{x}_i,y_i) \in \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

Note

- constraints guarantee that all points are correctly classified (plus canonical form)
- minimization corresponds to maximizing the (squared) margin
- quadratic optimization problem (objective is quadratic, points satisfying constraints form a convex set)

## Hard margin SVM

Learning problem

Note

- constraints guarantee that all points are correctly classified (plus canonical form)
- minimization corresponds to maximizing the (squared) margin
- quadratic optimization problem (objective is quadratic, points satisfying constraints form a convex set)

#### **Digression: constrained optimization**

#### Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) approach

- A constrained optimization problem can be addressed by converting it into an *unconstrained* problem with the same solution
- Let's have a constrained optimization problem as:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_z & f(z) \\ \text{subject to:} \\ & g_i(z) \geq 0 \; \forall i \end{array}$$

• Let's introduce a non-negative variable  $\alpha_i \ge 0$  (called Lagrange multiplier) for each constraint and rewrite the optimization problem as (Lagrangian):

$$\min_{z} \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \geq 0} f(z) - \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} g_{i}(z)$$

#### **Digression: constrained optimization**

#### Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) approach

$$\min_{z} \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \ge 0} f(z) - \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} g_{i}(z)$$

The optimal solutions  $z^*$  for this problem are the same as the optimal solutions for the original (constrained) problem:

- If for a given z' at least one constraint is *not* satisfied, i.e.  $g_i(z') < 0$  for some *i*, maximizing over  $\alpha_i$  leads to an infinite value (not a minimum, unless there is no non-infinite minimum)
- If all constraints are satisfied (i.e.  $g_i(z') \ge 0$  for all *i*), maximization over the  $\alpha$  will set all elements of the summation to zero, so that z' is a solution of  $\min_z f(z)$ .

#### Hard margin SVM Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) approach

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\boldsymbol{w},w_0} & \quad \frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}||^2\\ \text{subject to:} & & \\ & \quad y_i(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i + w_0) \geq 1\\ & \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{x}_i,y_i) \in \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

• The constraints can be included in the minimization using Lagrange multipliers  $\alpha_i \ge 0$  ( $m = |\mathcal{D}|$ ):

$$L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) - 1)$$

• The Lagrangian is minimized wrt  $\mathbf{w}, w_0$  and maximized wrt  $\alpha_i$  (solution is a saddle point)

# **Dual formulation**

$$L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) - 1)$$

• Vanishing derivatives wrt primal variables we get:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_0} L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i$$

# Hard margin SVM Dual formulation

• Substituting in the Lagrangian we get:

$$\frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (y_i (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) - 1) =$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j - \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j -$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j = L(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$$

• which is to be maximized wrt the dual variables  $\alpha$ 

# Hard margin SVM

# **Dual formulation**

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j$$
  
subject to 
$$\alpha_i \ge 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i = 0$$

- The resulting maximization problem including the constraints
- Still a quadratic optimization problem

Note

- The dual formulation has simpler contraints (box), easier to solve
- The primal formulation has d + 1 variables (number of features +1):

$$\min_{oldsymbol{w},w_0}rac{1}{2}||oldsymbol{w}||^2$$

• The dual formulation has *m* variables (number of training examples):

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j$$

• One can choose the primal formulation if it has much less variables (problem dependent)

#### Hard margin SVM

## **Decision function**

• Substituting  $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i$  in the decision function we get:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x} + w_0$$

- The decision function is linear combination of dot products between training points and the test point
- dot product is kind of *similarity* between points
- Weights of the combination are  $\alpha_i y_i$ : large  $\alpha_i$  implies large contribution towards class  $y_i$  (times the similarity)

#### Hard margin SVM

#### Karush-Khun-Tucker conditions (KKT)

$$L(\mathbf{w}, w_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) - 1)$$

• At the saddle point it holds that for all *i*:

$$\alpha_i(y_i(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}_i + w_0) - 1) = 0$$

• Thus, either the example does not contribute to the final f(x):

$$\alpha_i = 0$$

• or the example stays on the minimal confidence hyperplane from the decision one:

$$y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) = 1$$



#### Support vectors

- points staying on the minimal confidence hyperplanes are called support vectors
- All other points do not contribute to the final decision function (i.e. they could be removed from the training set)
- SVM are *sparse* i.e. they typically have few support vectors

## Hard margin SVM

### **Decision function bias**

- The bias  $w_0$  can be computed from the KKT conditions
- Given an arbitrary support vector  $\mathbf{x}_i$  (with  $\alpha_i > 0$ ) the KKT conditions imply:

$$y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) = 1$$
  
$$y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + y_i w_0 = 1$$
  
$$w_0 = \frac{1 - y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i}{y_i}$$

• For robustness, the bias is usually averaged over all support vectors

# Soft margin SVM



Soft margin SVM Slack variables

$$\min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{X}, w_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \, \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \qquad \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$
  
subject to  
$$y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) \ge 1 - \xi_i \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$
$$\xi_i \ge 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

- A slack variable  $\xi_i$  represents the penalty for example  $x_i$  not satisfying the margin constraint
- The sum of the slacks is minimized together to the inverse margin
- The regularization parameter  $C \geq 0$  trades-off data fitting and size of the margin

### Soft margin SVM

### **Regularization theory**

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{X}, w_0\in\mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \ell(y_i, f(\mathbf{x}_i))$$

- Regularized loss minimization problem
- The loss term accounts for error minimization
- The margin maximization term accounts for regularization i.e. solutions with larger margin are preferred

#### Note

- Regularization is a standard approach to prevent overfitting
- It corresponds to a prior for simpler (more regular, smoother) solutions

### Soft margin SVM



## Hinge loss

$$\ell(y_i, f(\mathbf{x}_i)) = |1 - y_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)|_+ = |1 - y_i (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0)|_+$$

- $|z|_{+} = z$  if z > 0 and 0 otherwise (positive part)
- it corresponds to the slack variable  $\xi_i$  (violation of margin costraint)
- all examples not violating margin costraint have zero loss (sparse set of support vectors)

# Soft margin SVM

# Lagrangian

$$L = C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i + \frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}||^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i (y_i(\boldsymbol{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) - 1 + \xi_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \xi_i$$

- where  $\alpha_i \ge 0$  and  $\beta_i \ge 0$
- Vanishing derivatives wrt primal variables we get:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_0} L = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}} L = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i \mathbf{x}_i$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} L = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad C - \alpha_i - \beta_i = 0$$

# Soft margin SVM Dual formulation

• Substituting in the Lagrangian we get

$$C\sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{i} + \frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}||^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} (y_{i}(\boldsymbol{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}_{i} + w_{0}) - 1 + \xi_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_{i}\xi_{i} =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_{i} \underbrace{(C - \alpha_{i} - \beta_{i})}_{=0} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{j} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{j} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y_{i} w_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} y_{i} y_{j} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{j} = L(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$$

## Soft margin SVM

**Dual formulation** 

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j$$
  
subject to  $0 \le \alpha_i \le C \quad i = 1, \dots, m$ 
$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y_i = 0$$

• The box constraint for  $\alpha_i$  comes from  $C - \alpha_i - \beta_i = 0$  (and the fact that both  $\alpha_i \ge 0$  and  $\beta_i \ge 0$ )

### Soft margin SVM

### Karush-Khun-Tucker conditions (KKT)

$$L = C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i + \frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}||^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i (y_i (\boldsymbol{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) - 1 + \xi_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \xi_i$$

• At the saddle point it holds that for all *i*:

$$\alpha_i(y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) - 1 + \xi_i) = 0$$
  
$$\beta_i \xi_i = 0$$

• Thus, support vectors ( $\alpha_i > 0$ ) are examples for which  $(y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + w_0) \le 1$ 

# Soft margin SVM Support Vectors

$$\alpha_i(y_i(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}_i + w_0) - 1 + \xi_i) = 0$$
  
$$\beta_i\xi_i = 0$$

- If  $\alpha_i < C, C \alpha_i \beta_i = 0$  and  $\beta_i \xi_i = 0$  imply that  $\xi_i = 0$ 
  - These are called *unbound* SV ( $(y_i(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}_i + w_0) = 1$ , they stay on the confidence one hyperplane
- If  $\alpha_i = C$  (bound SV) then  $\xi_i$  can be greater the zero, in which case the SV are margin errors

## **Support vectors**



Large-scale SVM learning Stochastic gradient descent

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{X}}\frac{\lambda}{2}||\mathbf{w}||^2 + \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m |1 - y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle|_+$$

• Objective for a single example  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$ :

$$E(\mathbf{w}; (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)) = \frac{\lambda}{2} ||\mathbf{w}||^2 + |1 - y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle|_+$$

• Subgradient:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} E(\mathbf{w}; (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)) = \lambda \mathbf{w} - \mathbb{1}[y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle < 1] y_i \mathbf{x}_i$$

#### Large-scale SVM learning

Note

• Indicator function

$$\mathbb{1}[y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle < 1] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_i \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• The subgradient of a function f at a point  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is any vector v such that for any  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) \ge \boldsymbol{v}^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$$

#### Large-scale SVM learning

#### Pseudocode (pegasus)

- 1. Initialize  $\mathbf{w}_1 = 0$
- 2. for t = 1 to T:
  - (a) Randomly choose  $(\mathbf{x}_{i_t}, y_{i_t})$  from  $\mathcal{D}$
  - (b) Set  $\eta_t = \frac{1}{\lambda t}$
  - (c) Update w:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t - \eta_t \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} E(\mathbf{w}; (\mathbf{x}_{i_t}, y_{i_t}))$$

3. Return  $\mathbf{w}_{T+1}$ 

#### Note

The choice of the learning rate allows to bound the runtime for an  $\epsilon$ -accurate solution to  $\mathcal{O}(d/\lambda\epsilon)$  with d maximum number of non-zero features in an example.

#### References

#### Biblio

- C. Burges, A tutorial on support vector machines for pattern recognition, Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 2(2), 121-167, 1998.
- S. Shalev-Shwartz et al., *Pegasos: primal estimated sub-gradient solver for SVM*, Mathematical Programming, 127(1), 3-30, 2011.

#### Software

- svm module in scikit-learn http://scikit-learn.org/stable/index.html
- libsvm http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm/
- svmlight http://svmlight.joachims.org/

## APPENDIX

Appendix Additional reference material

### Large-scale SVM learning

#### **Dual version**

• It is easy to show that:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \frac{1}{\lambda t} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \mathbb{1}[y_{i_t} \langle \mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{x}_{i_t} \rangle < 1] y_{i_t} \mathbf{x}_{i_t}$$

• We can represent  $\mathbf{w}_{t+1}$  implicitly by storing in vector  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{t+1}$  the number of times each example was selected and had a non-zero loss, i.e.:

$$\alpha_{t+1}[j] = |\{t' \le t : i_{t'} = j \land y_j \langle \mathbf{w}_{t'}, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle < 1\}|$$

## Large-scale SVM learning

## Pseudocode (pegasus dual)

- 1. Initialize  $\alpha_1 = 0$
- 2. for t = 1 to T:
  - (a) Randomly choose  $(\mathbf{x}_{i_t}, y_{i_t})$  from  $\mathcal{D}$
  - (b) Set  $\alpha_{t+1} = \alpha_t$
  - (c) If  $y_{i_t} \frac{1}{\lambda t} \sum_{j=1}^t \alpha_t[j] y_j \langle \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_{i_t} \rangle < 1$ i.  $\alpha_{t+1}[i_t] = \alpha_{t+1}[i_t] + 1$
- 3. Return  $\alpha_{T+1}$

Note

This will be useful when combined with kernels.