## Discrete random variables

## Probability mass function

Given a discrete random variable $X$ taking values in $\mathcal{X}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$, its probability mass function $P: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow$ $[0,1]$ is defined as:

$$
P\left(v_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left[X=v_{i}\right]
$$

and satisfies the following conditions:

- $P(x) \geq 0$
- $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x)=1$


## Probability distributions

## Bernoulli distribution

- Two possible values (outcomes): 1 (success), 0 (failure).
- Parameters: $p$ probability of success.
- Probability mass function:

$$
P(x ; p)= \begin{cases}p & \text { if } x=1 \\ 1-p & \text { if } x=0\end{cases}
$$

Example: tossing a coin

- Head (success) and tail (failure) possible outcomes
- $p$ is probability of head


## Probability distributions

## Multinomial distribution (one sample)

- Models the probability of a certain outcome for an event with $m$ possible outcomes $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$
- Parameters: $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$ probability of each outcome
- Probability mass function:

$$
P\left(v_{i} ; p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right)=p_{i}
$$

Tossing a dice

- $m$ is the number of faces
- $p_{i}$ is probability of obtaining face $i$


## Continuouos random variables

## Probability density function

Instead of the probability of a specific value of $X$, we model the probability that $x$ falls in an interval $(a, b)$ :

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[x \in(a, b)]=\int_{a}^{b} p(x) d x
$$

Properties:

- $p(x) \geq 0$
- $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) d x=1$


## Note

The probability of a specific value $x_{0}$ is given by:

$$
p\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \operatorname{Pr}\left[x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{0}+\epsilon\right)\right]
$$

## Probability distributions

## Gaussian (or normal) distribution

- Bell-shaped curve.
- Parameters: $\mu$ mean, $\sigma^{2}$ variance.
- Probability density function:

$$
p(x ; \mu, \sigma)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \exp -\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}
$$



- Standard normal distribution: $N(0,1)$
- Standardization of a normal distribution $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$

$$
z=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}
$$

## Conditional probabilities

conditional probability probability of $x$ once $y$ is observed

$$
P(x \mid y)=\frac{P(x, y)}{P(y)}
$$

statistical independence variables $X$ and $Y$ are statistical independent iff

$$
P(x, y)=P(x) P(y)
$$

implying:

$$
P(x \mid y)=P(x) \quad P(y \mid x)=P(y)
$$

## Basic rules

law of total probability The marginal distribution of a variable is obtained from a joint distribution summing over all possible values of the other variable (sum rule)

$$
P(x)=\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P(x, y) \quad P(y)=\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x, y)
$$

product rule conditional probability definition implies that

$$
P(x, y)=P(x \mid y) P(y)=P(y \mid x) P(x)
$$

Bayes' rule

$$
P(y \mid x)=\frac{P(x \mid y) P(y)}{P(x)}
$$

## Playing with probabilities

## Use rules!

- Basic rules allow to model a certain probability given knowledge of some related ones
- All our manipulations will be applications of the three basic rules
- Basic rules apply to any number of varables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(y) & = & & \sum_{x} \sum_{z} P(x, y, z) \\
& = & & \text { (sum rule) } \\
& = & \sum_{x} \sum_{z} P(y \mid x, z) P(x, z) & \text { (product rule) } \\
& & \sum_{x} \sum_{z} \frac{P(x \mid y, z) P(y \mid z) P(x, z)}{P(x \mid z)} & \text { (Bayes rule) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Playing with probabilities

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(y \mid x, z) & =\frac{P(x, z \mid y) P(y)}{P(x, z)} \quad \text { (Bayes rule) } \\
& =\frac{P(x, z \mid y) P(y)}{P(x \mid z) P(z)} \quad \text { (product rule) } \\
& =\frac{P(x \mid z, y) P(z \mid y) P(y)}{P(x \mid z) P(z)} \quad \text { (product rule) } \\
& =\frac{P(x \mid z, y) P(z, y)}{P(x \mid z) P(z)} \quad \text { (product rule) } \\
& =\frac{P(x \mid z, y) P(y \mid z) P(z)}{P(x \mid z) P(z)} \quad \text { (product rule) } \\
& =\frac{P(x \mid z, y) P(y \mid z)}{P(x \mid z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Graphical models

## Why

- All probabilistic inference and learning amount at repeated applications of the sum and product rules
- Probabilistic graphical models are graphical representations of the qualitative aspects of probability distributions allowing to:
- visualize the structure of a probabilistic model in a simple and intuitive way
- discover properties of the model, such as conditional independencies, by inspecting the graph
- express complex computations for inference and learning in terms of graphical manipulations
- represent multiple probability distributions with the same graph, abstracting from their quantitative aspects (e.g. discrete vs continuous distributions)


## Bayesian Networks (BN)

## BN Semantics

- A BN structure $(\mathcal{G})$ is a directed graphical model
- Each node represents a random variable $x_{i}$
- Each edge represents a direct dependency between two variables


The structure encodes these independence assumptions:

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\ell}(\mathcal{G})=\left\{\forall i x_{i} \perp \text { NonDescendants }_{x_{i}} \mid \text { Parents }_{x_{i}}\right\}
$$

each variable is independent of its non-descendants given its parents

## Bayesian Networks

## Graphs and Distributions

- Let $p$ be a joint distribution over variables $\mathcal{X}$
- Let $\mathcal{I}(p)$ be the set of independence assertions holding in $p$
- $\mathcal{G}$ in as independency map (I-map) for $p$ if $p$ satisfies the local independences in $\mathcal{G}$ :

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\ell}(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(p)
$$



Note
The reverse is not necessarily true: there can be independences in $p$ that are not modelled by $\mathcal{G}$.

Bayesian Networks
Factorization

- We say that $p$ factorizes according to $\mathcal{G}$ if:

$$
p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} p\left(x_{i} \mid P a_{x_{i}}\right)
$$

- If $\mathcal{G}$ is an I-map for $p$, then $p$ factorizes according to $\mathcal{G}$
- If $p$ factorizes according to $\mathcal{G}$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is an I-map for $p$


Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}\right)= & p\left(x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{2}\right) p\left(x_{3}\right) p\left(x_{4} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \\
& p\left(x_{5} \mid x_{1}, x_{3}\right) p\left(x_{6} \mid x_{4}\right) p\left(x_{7} \mid x_{4}, x_{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bayesian Networks

## Definition

A Bayesian Network is a pair $(\mathcal{G}, p)$ where $p$ factorizes over $\mathcal{G}$ and it is represented as a set of conditional probability distributions (cpd) associated with the nodes of $\mathcal{G}$.

## Factorized Probability

$$
p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} p\left(x_{i} \mid P a_{x_{i}}\right)
$$

## Bayesian Networks

Example: toy regulatory network

- Genes $A$ and $B$ have independent prior probabilities
- Gene $C$ can be enhanced by both $A$ and $B$

| gene | value | $\mathrm{P}($ value $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | active | 0.3 |
| A | inactive | 0.7 |


| gene | value | $\mathrm{P}($ value $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | active | 0.3 |
| B | inactive | 0.7 |



|  | A |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | active |  | inactive |  |
|  | active | inactive | active | inactive |
| C active | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| C inactive | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 |

## Conditional independence

## Introduction

- Two variables $a, b$ are conditionally independent (written $a \Perp b \mid \emptyset$ ) if:

$$
p(a, b)=p(a) p(b)
$$

- Two variables $a, b$ are conditionally independent given $c$ (written $a \Perp b \mid c$ ) if:

$$
p(a, b \mid c)=p(a \mid c) p(b \mid c)
$$

- Independency assumptions can be verified by repeated applications of sum and product rules
- Graphical models allow to directly verify them through the $d$-separation criterion


## d-separation

## Tail-to-tail

- Joint distribution:

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(a \mid c) p(b \mid c) p(c)
$$

- $a$ and $b$ are not conditionally independent (written $a \Pi b \mid \emptyset$ ):

$$
p(a, b)=\sum_{c} p(a \mid c) p(b \mid c) p(c) \neq p(a) p(b)
$$



- $a$ and $b$ are conditionally independent given $c$ :

$$
p(a, b \mid c)=\frac{p(a, b, c)}{p(c)}=p(a \mid c) p(b \mid c)
$$



- $c$ is tail-to-tail wrt to the path $a \rightarrow b$ as it is connected to the tails of the two arrows


## d-separation

## Head-to-tail

- Joint distribution:

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(b \mid c) p(c \mid a) p(a)=p(b \mid c) p(a \mid c) p(c)
$$

- $a$ and $b$ are not conditionally independent:

$$
p(a, b)=p(a) \sum_{c} p(b \mid c) p(c \mid a) \neq p(a) p(b)
$$



- $a$ and $b$ are conditionally independent given $c$ :

$$
p(a, b \mid c)=\frac{p(b \mid c) p(a \mid c) p(c)}{p(c)}=p(b \mid c) p(a \mid c)
$$



- $c$ is head-to-tail wrt to the path $a \rightarrow b$ as it is connected to the head of an arrow and to the tail of the other one


## d-separation

## Head-to-head

- Joint distribution:

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(c \mid a, b) p(a) p(b)
$$

- $a$ and $b$ are conditionally independent:

$$
p(a, b)=\sum_{c} p(c \mid a, b) p(a) p(b)=p(a) p(b)
$$



- $a$ and $b$ are not conditionally independent given $c$ :

$$
p(a, b \mid c)=\frac{p(c \mid a, b) p(a) p(b)}{p(c)} \neq p(a \mid c) p(b \mid c)
$$



- $c$ is head-to-head wrt to the path $a \rightarrow b$ as it is connected to the heads of the two arrows


## d-separation



## General Head-to-head

- Let a descendant of a node $x$ be any node which can be reached from $x$ with a path following the direction of the arrows
- A head-to-head node $c$ unblocks the dependency path between its parents if either itself or any of its descendants receives evidence


## General d-separation criterion

## d-separation definition

- Given a generic Bayesian network
- Given $A, B, C$ arbitrary nonintersecting sets of nodes
- The sets $A$ and $B$ are $d$-separated by $C$ if:
- All paths from any node in $A$ to any node in $B$ are blocked
- A path is blocked if it includes at least one node s.t. either:
- the arrows on the path meet tail-to-tail or head-to-tail at the node and it is in $C$, or
- the arrows on the path meet head-to-head at the node and neither it nor any of its descendants is in $C$
$d$-separation implies conditional independency
The sets $A$ and $B$ are independent given $C(A \Perp B \mid C)$ if they are d-separated by $C$.


## Example of general d-separation

$a \prod b \mid c$

- Nodes $a$ and $b$ are not d-separated by $c$ :
- Node $f$ is tail-to-tail and not observed
- Node $e$ is head-to-head and its child $c$ is observed

$a \Perp b \mid f$
- Nodes $a$ and $b$ are d-separated by $f$ :
- Node $f$ is tail-to-tail and observed



## Inference in graphical models

## Description

- Assume we have evidence $\mathbf{e}$ on the state of a subset of variables in the model $\boldsymbol{E}$
- Inference amounts at computing the posterior probability of a subset $\boldsymbol{X}$ of the non-observed variables given the observations:

$$
p(\boldsymbol{X} \mid \boldsymbol{E}=\mathbf{e})
$$

Note

- When we need to distinguish between variables and their values, we will indicate random variables with uppercase letters, and their values with lowercase ones.


## Inference in graphical models

## Efficiency

- We can always compute the posterior probability as the ratio of two joint probabilities:

$$
p(\boldsymbol{X} \mid \boldsymbol{E}=\mathbf{e})=\frac{p(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{E}=\mathbf{e})}{p(\boldsymbol{E}=\mathbf{e})}
$$

- The problem consists of estimating such joint probabilities when dealing with a large number of variables
- Directly working on the full joint probabilities requires time exponential in the number of variables
- For instance, if all $N$ variables are discrete and take one of $K$ possible values, a joint probability table has $K^{N}$ entries
- We would like to exploit the structure in graphical models to do inference more efficiently.


## Example with head-to-head connection <br> A toy regulatory network

- Genes $A$ and $B$ have independent prior probabilities:

| gene | value | $\mathrm{P}($ value $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | active | 0.3 |
| A | inactive | 0.7 |


| gene | value | P (value) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | active | 0.3 |
| B | inactive | 0.7 |



- Gene $C$ can be enhanced by both $A$ and $B$ :

|  | A |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | active |  | inactive |  |
|  | active | inactive | active | inactive |
| C active | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| C inactive | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 |

Example with head-to-head connection
Probability of $A$ active (1)

- Prior:

$$
P(A=1)=1-P(A=0)=0.3
$$

- Posterior after observing active $C$ :


Note
The probability that $A$ is active increases from observing that its regulated gene $C$ is active

## Example with head-to-head connection

Derivation

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(C=1 \mid A=1) & =\sum_{B \in\{0,1\}} P(C=1, B \mid A=1) \\
& =\sum_{B \in\{0,1\}} P(C=1 \mid B, A=1) P(B \mid A=1) \\
& =\sum_{B \in\{0,1\}} P(C=1 \mid B, A=1) P(B) \\
P(C=1) & =\sum_{B \in\{0,1\}} \sum_{A \in\{0,1\}} P(C=1, B, A) \\
& =\sum_{B \in\{0,1\}} \sum_{A \in\{0,1\}} P(C=1 \mid B, A) P(B) P(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example with head-to-head connection

## Probability of $A$ active

- Posterior after observing that $B$ is also active:

$$
P(A=1 \mid C=1, B=1)=
$$



Note

- The probability that $A$ is active decreases after observing that $B$ is also active
- The $B$ condition explains away the observation that $C$ is active
- The probability is still greater than the prior one (0.3), because the $C$ active observation still gives some evidence in favour of an active $A$


## Inference

## Finding the most probable configuration

- Given a joint probability distribution $p(\mathbf{x})$
- We wish to find the configuration for variables $\mathbf{x}$ having the highest probability:

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\max }=\operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x})
$$

for which the probability is:

$$
p\left(\mathbf{x}^{\max }\right)=\max _{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x})
$$

Note

- We want the configuration which is jointly maximal for all variables
- We cannot simply compute $p\left(x_{i}\right)$ for each $i$ and maximize it


## Learning Bayesian Networks

## Parameter estimation

- We assume the structure of the model is given
- We are given a dataset of examples $\mathcal{D}=\{\mathbf{x}(1), \ldots, \mathbf{x}(N)\}$
- Each example $\mathbf{x}(i)$ is a configuration for all (complete data) or some (incomplete data) variables in the model
- We need to estimate the parameters of the model (conditional probability distributions) from the data


## Learning Bayesian Networks

## Simple case: complete data

- When training data are complete, we can estimate parameters simply by frequencies:

1. Consider each conditional probability table (CPT) separately
2. For each configuration of the variables, insert the number of times it occurred in the data
3. Normalize each column to sum to one

## Learning Bayesian Networks

## Example

- Training examples as $(A, B, C)$ tuples:
(act, act, act), (act, inact, act),
(act,inact, act), (act,inact,inact),
(inact, act, act), (inact, act, inact),
(inact, inact, inact), (inact, inact, inact),
(inact,inact,inact),(inact,inact,inact),
(inact,inact,inact),(inact, inact,inact).

- Fill CPTs with counts
- Normalize counts columnwise

| gene | value | counts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | active | 4 |
| A | inactive | 8 |
| gene | value | counts |
| B | active | 3 |
| B | inactive | 9 |
| gene | value | counts |
| A | active | $4 / 12$ |
| A | inactive | $8 / 12$ |
| gene | value | counts |
| B | active | $3 / 12$ |
| B | inactive | $9 / 12$ |
| gene | value | counts |
| A | active | 0.33 |
| A | inactive | 0.67 |
| gene | value | counts |
| B | active | 0.25 |
| B | inactive | 0.75 |

## Learning Bayesian Networks

## Example

- Training examples as $(A, B, C)$ tuples:
(act, act, act), (act, inact, act),
(act,inact, act), (act,inact,inact),
(inact, act, act), (inact, act, inact),
(inact, inact, inact), (inact, inact, inact),
(inact,inact,inact),(inact,inact,inact),
(inact,inact,inact), (inact, inact, inact).

- Fill CPTs with counts

|  |  | A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | active |  | inactive |  |
|  |  | B |  | B |  |
|  |  | active | inactive | active | inactive |
| C | active | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| C | inactive | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |

## Learning Bayesian Networks

## Example

- Training examples as $(A, B, C)$ tuples:

[^0](inact,inact,inact),(inact,inact,inact).


- Normalize counts columnwise



## Learning Bayesian Networks

Example

- Training examples as $(A, B, C)$ tuples:

[^1]

- Normalize counts columnwise



## Learning Bayesian Networks

## Adding priors

- The probability of configurations not occurring in training data is zero
- When few data available (always), this can be a too drastic choice
- Insert prior counts as imaginary configurations assumed to have been observed a-priori.
- E.g. one a-priori observation for each possible configuration


## Learning Bayesian Networks

## Example

- Training examples as $(A, B, C)$ tuples:

[^2]

- Fill CPTs with priors as imaginary counts



## Learning Bayesian Networks

## Example

- Training examples as $(A, B, C)$ tuples:
(act, act, act), (act, inact, act),
(act, inact, act), (act, inact, inact),
(inact, act, act), (inact, act, inact),
(inact,inact,inact), (inact, inact, inact),
(inact,inact,inact), (inact, inact, inact),
(inact,inact, inact), (inact, inact, inact).

- Add observed counts



## Learning Bayesian Networks

Example

- Training examples as $(A, B, C)$ tuples:

[^3]

- Normalize counts columnwise



## Learning Bayesian Networks

Example

- Training examples as $(A, B, C)$ tuples:

[^4]

- Normalize counts columnwise



## Learning graphical models

## Incomplete data

- With incomplete data, some of the examples miss evidence on some of the variables
- Counts of occurrences of different configurations cannot be computed if not all data are observed
- We need approximate methods to deal with the problem


## Learning with missing data: Expectation-Maximization

## E-M for Bayesian nets in a nutshell

- Sufficient statistics (counts) cannot be computed (missing data)
- Fill-in missing data inferring them using current parameters (solve inference problem to get expected counts)
- Update parameters according to these expected counts
- Iterate until convergence to improve quality of parameters


## Learning structure of graphical models

## Approaches

constraint-based test conditional independencies on the data and construct a model satisfying them
score-based assign a score to each possible structure, define a search procedure looking for the structure maximizing the score
model-averaging assign a prior probability to each structure, and average prediction over all possible structures weighted by their probabilities (full Bayesian, intractable)


[^0]:    (act, act, act), (act, inact, act),
    (act,inact, act), (act,inact, inact),
    (inact, act, act), (inact, act, inact),
    (inact,inact,inact), (inact, inact, inact),
    (inact,inact,inact),(inact,inact,inact),

[^1]:    (act, act, act), (act,inact, act),
    (act, inact, act), (act, inact, inact),
    (inact, act, act), (inact, act, inact),
    (inact,inact,inact),(inact, inact,inact),
    (inact,inact,inact),(inact,inact,inact),
    (inact,inact, inact), (inact, inact, inact).

[^2]:    (act, act, act), (act, inact, act),
    (act,inact, act), (act,inact,inact),
    (inact, act, act), (inact, act, inact),
    (inact,inact,inact), (inact, inact, inact),
    (inact,inact,inact),(inact,inact,inact),
    (inact, inact, inact), (inact, inact, inact).

[^3]:    (act, act, act), (act,inact, act),
    (act, inact, act), (act, inact, inact),
    (inact, act, act), (inact, act, inact),
    (inact,inact,inact),(inact,inact,inact),
    (inact,inact,inact),(inact,inact,inact),
    (inact,inact,inact), (inact, inact, inact).

[^4]:    (act, act, act), (act,inact, act),
    (act, inact, act), (act, inact, inact),
    (inact, act, act), (inact, act, inact),
    (inact,inact,inact),(inact,inact,inact),
    (inact,inact,inact),(inact,inact,inact),
    (inact,inact, inact), (inact, inact, inact).

