Questionnaires

Unit 7

Learning outcomes

- Understand when/how to use questionnaires in interaction design
 - Surveys
 - Satisfaction questionnaires (post use)
- Learn how to prepare them
 - Different types of scales
 - Questions wording
- Learn about the most common types of questionnaire used in user research
 - Usabilty
 - User experiencer

Questionnaires

- Predefined set of questions in a predetermined order
- Provide data that can be analysed to identify patterns and relationships within the answers
- Questionnaires may be:
 - Self-Administrated (the respondent answer on their own)
 - Administrated by the researcher

Questionnaire

- Qualitative vs. quantitative data; self-report

 opinions, beliefs, attitudes, behaviour
 - bias -> social desirability often there is an expectation that one answer is preferred

<u>questionnaire_score = true_score + error</u>

only as good as the questions asked

Source of Errors

- Systematic (bad design of the questionnaire)
- Random (this is not a problem in large sample)
- Use different items and techniques to address the same concept (average)
- Triangulation

Psychometric characteristics

- Reliability (reproducibility factor):
 - test-retest reliability: stability over time
 - internal reliability: stability over items
- Effective range in the scale (sensibility):
 - scale must be chosen according to variable peculiarities.
- Validity:

- measures must reflect what it is investigated.

Surveys

- Systematic extraction of data from and about a population of people (or events) in a standardised & systematic manner
- The extraction of patterns from the responses from a sample enables statements and inferences about the whole population
- Sampling issues (random sample is often the best choice, but at times unfeasible)
- Requirements elicitation / adoption studies

Snow-ball sample

- Self-selected sample
- Shopping Web Sites
- Chat Sites
- Personal Sites
- Fan Sites
- Such sites are selected on the basis of convenience rather than for any in depth investigation

Scales of measurement

- Nominal data (nominal or categorical data)
 - Identity.
 - gender, (1= male; 2= female), ethnicity
 - Numbers are meaningless
 - Ordinal data (ordered data)
 - identity + magnitude (socioeconomic class)
 - Student ranks (1 = no pass; 2 = pass; 3 = merit; 4 = distinction)
 - Numbers reflect an order but arithmetical operations are limited
- Interval data (scores data)
 - identity + magnitude + equal intervals (evaluation scales)
 - I feel
 - Happy 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Unhappy
 - This lecture is boring
 - strongly agree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly disagree
- Ratio data (scores data)
 - identity + magnitude + equal intervals + a true zero (number of bugs)
 - There is a true zero
 - Age, height, annual turnover

Questionnaire design

- Questionnaires must be properly designed to elicit the answers you want from the respondent
- Answers should be valid and meet the researchers' need
- Each question has to effectively elicit an answer that contributes to addressing the overall research question

Questionnaire design

- Iterative approach
 - Establish the purpose of the questionnaire
 - what information is sought? how would you analyze the results? what would you do with your analysis?
 - determine the audience you want to reach
 - · determine how would you will deliver the questionnaire
 - Define thematic areas
 - IT experience, attitudes toward technology, user satisfaction
 - Compose and pilot the items
 - wording issues, response biases
 - select scales (precision; effort needed to decide on a response)
 - do not ask questions whose answers you will not use!
 - Write instructions
 - Pilot

Pilot

- How long did it take to complete?
- Were the instructions clear?
- Were any questions ambiguous?
- Were any questions objectionable?
- Was the layout clear and easy to follow?
- Were any topics omitted?

Questions

- **Brief**: ideally 20 words or less, providing the question is still understandable
- Relevant:
 - each question should be relevant to the overall questionnaire and its purpose
 - each word within the question must be relevant to the overall (research) question you want to ask

Questions (2)

- Avoid 'and' questions
 - The web-site is interesting and appealing
 - The web site is interesting
 - The web site is appealing
 - Avoid 'negative' questions
 - The web site design is not appealing
 - The web site design is ugly

Practical guidelines

- Don't use jargon or abbreviations
- Keep questions simple and as short as possible
- Don't use vague terms: be precise.
- Avoid 'loaded' or 'leading' questions that hint at the answer you want to hear
- Avoid "AND" questions: asking more than one question
- Avoid 'double-negative' questions
- Use common concepts
- Take care over questions that involve memory/recall

Practical guidelines

- Hypothetical questions need to be worded especially carefully. Are they really needed? Can the question be misinterpreted?
- Take care when covering embarrassing or sensitive issues.
- Avoid using negative words or implicit negatives as this might bias your responses.
- Avoid 'presumption' questions: do not assume that everyone has the same standards.
- Watch out for prestige bias in the question: social bias happen even if the responses are anonymous,

Styles of Questions

- Open-ended questions
 - asks for unprompted opinions
 - good for general subjective information
 - but difficult to analyze rigorously

"Can you suggest any improvements to the interface?"

Closed questions

- Restrict responses by supplying alternative answers
- easy to analyze
- watch out for hard to interpret responses!

Do you use com O often	puters at work: O sometimes	O rarely
VS		
In your typical w	ork day, do you use c	computers:
O over 4 hrs a	day	
O between 2 a	nd 4 hrs daily	
O between 1ar	nd 2 hrs daily	
O less than 1 h	nr a day	

Multi-choice

Respondents offered a choice of explicit responses

How do you most often get help with the system? (tick one)

- O on-line manual
- O paper manual
- ask a colleague

Which types of software have you used? (tick all that apply)

- O word processor
- 🥑 data base
- O spreadsheet
- compiler

Ranked

- respondent places an ordering on items in a list
- useful to indicate preferences
- forced choice
- Limit the number of items

Rank the usefulness of these methods of issuing a command (1 most useful, 2 next most useful..., 0 if not used

- ___2__ command line
- ___1__ menu selection
- <u>3</u> control key accelerator

Likert Scales

- User judge <u>a specific statement</u> on a numeric scale
- usually corresponds with agreement or disagreement with a statement

The characters on the computer screen are hard to read12345Strongly agree agreeneutraldisagreestrongly disagree

Combination

- Combining open-ended and closed questions
 - get specific response, but allows room for user's opinion

It is easy to recover from mistakes:

disagree agree 1 (2) 3 4 5

comment: the undo facility is really helpful

Semantic differential scale

- Bi-polar attitudes about a concept
- pair of adjectives

The look and feel of the web-site is



Appearance

- Make the questionnaire attractive
- Use space generously; avoid a cramped, untidy appearance
- Make headings and instructions
 clear
- Make sure the method of answering is obvious
- Don't split a question between two pages
- Number all questions

- Take care over question order. Generally start with broad, straightforward ones and include more complicated, specific or sensitive ones later
- The questions should proceed in a logical manner (group by thematic areas)
- End questionnaire with a "Thank you" and give a clear deadline for responses.

Usability Questionnaire

SUS – System Usability Scale

- 10-item Likert-scale: overview of satisfaction with software
- Developed by <u>John Brooke</u>
- Freely available for use providing acknowledgement is made of the source.

SUS

Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	\circ	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
\circ	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
	Strongly Disagree O	Strongly Disagree O O O O	Strongly Disagree · O O O	Strongly Disagree Image: Constraint of the second of the sec

<u>QUIS</u>

- Questionnaire for User Interaction
 Satisfaction
 - Measures attitude towards different interface factors (screen factors, terminology and system feedback, learning factors, system capabilities, technical manuals, on-line tutorials, multimedia, voice recognition, virtual environments, internet access, and software installation)
 - http://www.cs.umd.edu/hcil/quis/

Overall Reaction to the Website		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		NA
1.	terrible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	wonderful	0
2.	difficult	0	\circ	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	0	0	\circ	\circ	easy	0
З.	frustrating	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	satisfying	0
4.	dull	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	stimulating	0
5.	rigid	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	flexible	0
Web Page		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		NA
6. Reading characters on the page	hard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	easy	0
7. Organization of information	confusing	0	\circ	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	0	very clear	0
8. Sequence of pages	confusing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	very clear	0
Terminology and Website Information		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		NA
9. Use of terms throughout website	inconsistent	0	0	\circ	0	\circ	0	0	0	0	0	consistent	0
10. Terminology is intuitive	never	0	\circ	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	0	always	0
11. Position of messages on screen	inconsistent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	consistent	0
12. Prompts for input	confusing	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	$^{\circ}$	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	clear	0
13. Website informs about its progress	never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	always	0
14. Error messages	unhelpful	0	\circ	\circ	0	0	\circ	0	0	0	0	helpful	0

Learning		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		NA
15. Learning to use the website	difficult	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	easy	0
16. Exploring new features by trial and error	difficult	\circ	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	0	0	\circ	\circ	0	easy	\bigcirc
17. Performing tasks is straightforward	never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	always	0
18. Help messages on the screen	unhelpful	\circ	\circ	0	0	\circ	0	0	0	\circ	0	helpful	\circ
19. Supplemental reference materials	confusing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\circ	clear	\circ
Website Capabilities		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		NA
Website Capabilities 20. Website speed	too slow	0	1	2 ()	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	fast enough	NA
Website Capabilities 20. Website speed 21. Website reliability	too slow unreliable	0 〇	1 〇	2 0	3 〇	4 〇	5 〇	6 0	7 0	8 〇	9 0	fast enough reliable	NA O
Website Capabilities 20. Website speed 21. Website reliability 22. Sounds associated with this website	too slow unreliable detracts value	0 () () ()	1 0 0	2 0 0	3 0 0	4 ○ ○	5 0 0	6 0 0	7 0 0	8 0 0	9 0 0	fast enough reliable adds value	NA () () ()
Website Capabilities 20. Website speed 21. Website reliability 22. Sounds associated with this website 23. Correcting your mistakes	too slow unreliable detracts value difficult	0 ○ ○	1 0 0	2 0 0	3 0 0	4 ○ ○	5 0 0	6 0 0	7 0 0	8 0 0	9 0 0	fast enough reliable adds value easy	NA () () () ()

Italian version

- Stefano FEDERICI, Simone BORSCI, Fabio MELONI
- Le misure dell'usabilità: Studio sulle caratteristiche psicometriche del QUIS e del SUMI nella versione italiana Giornale di Psicologia (2009), Volume 3, Numero 2 (Giugno)

PVA

- Perceived Visual Aesthetic scale Lavie and Trackitnsky
- Two dimension of aesthetics
 - Classical Aesthetics
 - Expressive Aesthetics

PVA

🗯 Grab File Edit Capture Window Help		-		-		_			88 C	🕽 🕄 🕸 🛜 🜒 Fri 10):22 Q
000				Preview Su	rvey						\bigcirc
C X http://app.sgizmo.com/preview_survey.php?id=192987 L http://app.sgizmo.com/preview_survey.php?id=192987 http://app.sgizmo.c									☆▼) (<mark>} (</mark> Google		٩
Aost Visited - Getting Started Latest Headlines 최 HCI2010 최 HCI2010 최 HCI2010 최 HCI2010 최	Neurodys: Project										
SurveyGizmo - Project: Overview 😧 📄 Preview Survey 😢 🕂											
REVIEW: User Experience	_	_	_	_	_	_				Refresh View Page 1	<u> </u>
	rience										
1. Please uso	e the following adject	tives to evalu	uate the websit	te *							
	Stro	ongly /	Moderately	Slightly	Neutral	Slightly	Moderately	Strongly			
	disa	igree	disagree	disagree	0	agree	agree	agree			
Edsy to ha	t on site	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Communi		-	0	0	0	0	0	0			
desirable	image (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Convenier	nt use (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Represent things	ts likeable (0	0	Θ	0	0	0	Θ			
Original de	esign (0	0	Θ	0	0	0	Θ			
Use of spe	ecial effects (0	\odot	0	0	0	0	0			
Creative of	design (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Sophistica	ited design (0	0	Θ	0	0	0	0			
Fascinatin	ıg design (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Clear desi	ign (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Easy to use	e (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Site provid	des reliable	~	0	0	0	0	0	0			
informatio	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Symmetric	c design (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Site conta mistakes	iins no (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Positive m	essage	0	0	Θ	0	0	0	0			
	design (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Creates p	ositive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
ossociatio	ins (U	0	Θ	0	Θ	0	0			
Fits person	nality (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Pleasant c	design (0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
one 2 Pleasura	ble Interaction										zotero //
								10			

Factors

- <u>Usability</u>: Easy to navigate, Convenient use, Easy to use, Easy orientation
- <u>Expressive aesthetics</u>: Original design, Use of special effects, Creative design, Sophisticated design, Fascinating design
- <u>Classical aesthetics</u>: Clear design, Clean design, Symmetric design, Aesthetic design, Pleasant design
- <u>Service quality</u>: Can count on site, Site provides reliable information, Site contains no mistakes
- <u>Symbolism</u>: Communicates desirable image, Represents likeable things, Positive message about user, Creates positive associations, Fits personality

Information Quality

- Info. provided is useful
- Website conveys important info.
- The content is of good quality
- The level of detail is good
- Right amount content provided
- The information reported is well-documented and researched
- The site reports up to date info.
- The content of the website is relevant
- De Angeli et al. 2007

Interface Quality Scale

		Factor	
	Content	Usability	Pleasure
The level of detail of the content is good	.816	171	.140
The right amount of content is provided	.709	.076	023
The content is relevant	.559	.099	103
The content is of good quality	.502	.119	.124
The website is easy to use	.023	.912	079
I feel in control when I am using this website	027	.807	.033
The website requires little effort to use	030	.763	.058
Using the website is effective	.221	.638	.091
I feel pleasure interacting with the website	165	.038	.923
The website is pleasurable to look at	.070	055	.737
The website has design features I like	.145	020	.692
The website evokes positive feelings	.020	.097	.641

Desirability Tool Kit

- Developed by Microsoft
- Example of use and tools
- http://www.userfocus.co.uk/articles/satisfa ction.html

Desirability Kit

Accessible	Desirable	Gets in the way	Patronizing	Stressful
Appealing	Easy to use	Hard to use	Personal	Time-consumi
Attractive	Efficient	High quality	Predictable	Time-saving
Busy	Empowering	Inconsistent	Relevant	Too technical
Collaborative	Exciting	Intimidating	Reliable	Trustworthy
Complex	Familiar	Inviting	Rigid	Uncontrollable
Comprehensive	Fast	Motivating	Simplistic	Unconventiona
Confusing	Flexible	Not valuable	Slow	Unpredictable
Connected	Fresh	Organized	Sophisticated	Usable
Consistent	Frustrating	Overbearing	Stimulating	Useful
Customizable	Fun	Overwhelming	Straight Forward	Valuable

Example data



PrEmo

- Emotional responses elicited are difficult to measure because
 - their nature is subtle (low intensity)
 - they are often mixed (more than one emotional response at the same time)
- Instead of words, use animated cartoon characters
- Evaluation does not become a rational process

PreEmo

"To which extent do the feelings expressed by the characters correspond with your own feelings towards the stimulus?"



© SusaGroup - For demonstration only - Available under license - www.susagroup.com

http://www.premo-online.com/en/how-does-it-work/