

SPIN: Introduction and Examples *

Alessandra Giordani

`agiordani@disi.unitn.it`

`http://disi.unitn.it/~agiordani`

Formal Methods Lab Class, September 28, 2014



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI
TRENTO

*These slides are derived from those by Stefano Tonetta, Alberto Griggio, Silvia Tomasi, Thi Thieu Hoa Le for FM lab 2011/13

1 Introduction

2 PROMELA examples

- Hello world!
- Producers/Consumers

The SPIN (= Simple Promela Interpreter) model checker

- Tool for formal verification of distributed and concurrent systems (e.g. operating systems, data communications protocols).
 - Developed at Bell Labs.
 - In 2002, recognized by the ACM with *Software System Award* (like Unix, TeX, Smalltalk, Postscript, TCP/IP, Tcl/Tk).
 - Automated tools convert programs written in Java or in C into SPIN models.
- The modelling language is called PROMELA.
- SPIN has a graphical user interface, ISPIN.
- Materials:
 - Homepage: <http://spinroot.com/spin/whatispin.html>
 - Manual: <http://spinroot.com/spin/Man/index.html>

PROMELA (= Protocol/Process Meta Language)

- PROMELA is suitable to describe concurrent systems:
 - dynamic creation of concurrent processes.
 - (synchronous/asynchronous) communication via message channels.
- Programs written in PROMELA can be executed/simulated.
- **Simulation** shows one execution.
 - *random*, *interactive* or *guided*.
 - not useful for finding bugs!
- **Verification** checks every execution looking for a counterexample.
 - *exhaustive* or *approximate* verification of correctness properties.
 - a counterexample is a computation that violates a correct property.

Basic commands

- To simulate a program:
`spin system.pml`
- Interactively:
`spin -i system.pml`
- To generate a verifier (pan.c):
`spin -a system.pml`
- To run a guided simulation:
`spin -t model.pml`
- To run ISPIN:
`ispin model.pml`

Useful commands:

- To see available options: `spin --`
- To display processes moves at each simulation step: `spin -p system.pml`
- To display values of global variables: `spin -g system.pml`
- To display values of local variables: `spin -I -p system.pml`

1 Introduction

2 PROMELA examples

- Hello world!
- Producers/Consumers

Hello world!

```
active proctype main()
{
    printf("hello world\n")
}
```

- **active** instantiates one process of the type that follows.
- **proctype** denotes that *main* is a process type.
- *main* identifies the process type, it's not a keyword.
- Note that ';' is missing after **printf**:
 - ';' is a statement separator, not a statement terminator.

Hello world! Alternative

```
init {  
    printf("hello world\n")  
}
```

- **init** is a process that initializes the system.
- Initially just the initial process is executed.

Hello world! Alternative

```
init {  
    printf("hello world\n")  
}
```

- **init** is a process that initializes the system.
- Initially just the initial process is executed.

Simulation:

```
> spin hello.pml  
    hello world  
1 process created
```

- One process was created to simulate the execution of the model.

Producers/Consumers

```
mtype = { P, C };
mtype turn = P;
active proctype producer(){
    do
        :: (turn == P) ->
            printf("Produce\n");
            turn = C
    od
}
active proctype consumer(){
    do
        :: (turn == C) ->
            printf("Consume\n");
            turn = P
    od
}
```

Producers/Consumers (Language Details)

- **mtype** defines symbolic values
(similar to an enum declaration in a C program).
- *turn* is a global variable.
- **do ... od** (do-statement) defines a loop.
- Every option of the loop must start with '::<'.
- (*turn* == P) is the guard of the option.

- A *break/goto* statement can break the loop.
- \rightarrow and ; are equivalent
(\rightarrow indicates a causal relation between successive statements).
- If all guards are false, then the process blocks
(no statement can be executed).
- If multiple guards are true, we get non-determinism.

The producer's definition is equivalent to:

```
active proctype producer()
{
again:  if
        :: (turn == P) ->
            printf("Produce\n");
            turn = C
        fi;
        goto again
}
```

- goto transfers control to the statement labeled by again.

Also equivalent to:

```
active proctype producer()
{
again:  if
        :: (turn == P) ->
            printf("Produce\n");
            turn = C
        :: else -> goto again
fi;
goto again
}
```

- else is only executable if all other options are not executable.

Also equivalent to:

```
active proctype producer()
{
again:  (turn == P) ->
        printf("Produce\n");
        turn = C;
        goto again
}
```

Also equivalent to:

```
active proctype producer()
{
again:  (turn == P) ->
        printf("Produce\n");
        turn = C;
        goto again
}
```

- If the boolean expression does not hold, execution blocks until it does.

Producers/Consumers

Simulation:

```
> spin prodcons.pml | more
```

```
Produce
```

```
    Consume
```

```
Produce
```

```
    Consume
```

```
Produce
```

```
    Consume
```

```
Produce
```

```
    Consume
```

```
Produce
```

```
    Consume
```

```
...
```


Producers/Consumers Extended

We can extend the example to more processes for each type:

```
active [2] proctype producer {...}
```

The alternation is no more guaranteed. Simulation:

```
> spin prodcons2_flaw.pml | more
    Produce
                Consume
        Consume
    Produce
                Consume
        Produce
Produce
                Consume
...

```

Producers/Consumers Extended

Reason:

```
> spin -i prodcons2_flaw.pml
Select a statement
choice 3: proc 1 (producer) prodcons2_flaw.pml:7 (state 4) [((turn==P))]
choice 4: proc 0 (producer) prodcons2_flaw.pml:7 (state 4) [((turn==P))]
Select [1-4]: 3
Select a statement
choice 3: proc 1 (producer) prodcons2_flaw.pml:9 (state 2) [printf('Produce\\n')]
choice 4: proc 0 (producer) prodcons2_flaw.pml:7 (state 4) [((turn==P))]
Select [1-4]: 3
        Produce
Select a statement
choice 3: proc 1 (producer) prodcons2_flaw.pml:10 (state 3) [turn = C]
choice 4: proc 0 (producer) prodcons2_flaw.pml:7 (state 4) [((turn==P))]
Select [1-4]: 4
Select a statement
choice 3: proc 1 (producer) prodcons2_flaw.pml:10 (state 3) [turn = C]
choice 4: proc 0 (producer) prodcons2_flaw.pml:9 (state 2) [printf('Produce\\n')]
Select [1-4]:
```

Problem: Both processes can pass the guard (`turn == P`) and execute `printf("Produce")` before `turn` is set to `C`.

Producers/Consumers Extended

A correct declaration for the producer:

```
active [2] proctype producer()
{
    do
        :: request(turn, P, N) -> // if turn==P then turn=N
           printf("P%d\n", _pid);
           assert(who == _pid); // "who" is producing
           release(turn, C) // turn=C
    od
}
```

- `assert` aborts the program if the expression is false (i.e. zero), otherwise it is just passed.
- `_pid` is a predefined, local, read-only variable of type `pid` that stores the instantiation number of the executing process.

Producers/Consumers Extended

Definition of request:

```
inline request(x, y, z) {  
    atomic { x == y -> x = z; who = _pid }  
}
```

- **inline** functions like C macros.
 - their body is directly pasted into the body of a prototype at each point of invocation.
- **atomic**: when it starts, the process will keep running until all steps will complete.
 - no interleaving with statements of other processes!
- The executability of the atomic sequence is determined by the first statement.
 - i.e. if $x==y$ is true then the atomic block is executed.

Producers/Consumers Extended

File prodcons2.pml:

```
mtype = { P, C, N };
```

```
mtype turn = P;
```

```
pid    who;
```

```
inline request(x, y, z) {  
    atomic { x == y -> x = z; who = _pid }  
}
```

```
inline release(x, y) {  
    atomic { x = y; who = 0 }  
}
```

```
...
```

Producers/Consumers Extended

Simulation:

```
> spin prodcons2.pml | more
```

P1

C3

P0

C3

P1

C3

P1

C2

P0

C3

P1

...

Simulation can detect errors:

```
> spin false.pml
spin: line 1 "false.pml", Error: assertion violated
spin: text of failed assertion: assert(0)
#processes: 1
  1:   proc 0 (:init:) line 1 "false.pml" (state 1)
1 process created
```

However, simulation cannot prove that errors do not exist!

Producers/Consumers Extended

To prove that the assertions cannot be violated, we generate a verifier:

```
> spin -a prodcons2.pml
```

```
> gcc -o pan pan.c
```

```
> ./pan
```

```
...
```

```
Full statespace search for:
```

```
    never claim                - (none specified)
```

```
    assertion violations      +
```

```
    acceptance   cycles      - (not selected)
```

```
    invalid end states       +
```

```
State-vector 28 byte, depth reached 7, errors: 0
```

```
...
```


Producers/Consumers Extended

Back to the flawed Producers/Consumers

```
mtype = { P, C };

mtype turn = P;

int msgs;

active [2] proctype producer()
{
    do
        :: (turn == P) ->
            printf("Produce\n");
            msgs++;
            turn = C
    od
}

active [2] proctype consumer()
{
    do
        :: (turn == C) ->
            printf("Consume\n");
            msgs--;
            turn = P
    od
}

active proctype monitor() {
    assert(msgs >= 0 && msgs <= 1)
}
```

```
> spin -a prodcons2_flaw.pml && gcc -o pan pan.c && ./pan
```

Producers/Consumers Extended (Trail File)

Trail File

prodcons2_flaw.pml.trail contains SPIN's transition markers corresponding to the contents of the stack of transitions leading to error states

Meaning:

- Step number in execution trace
- Id of the process moved in the current step
- Id of the transition taken in the current step

```
-4:-4:-4  
1:1:0  
2:1:1  
3:1:2  
4:1:3  
5:3:8  
6:3:9  
7:3:10  
8:2:8  
9:2:9  
10:3:11  
11:2:10  
12:4:16
```

```
> spin -t -p prodcons2_flaw.pml
```

```
> ./pan
pan: assertion violated ((x!=0)) (at depth 11)
pan: wrote model.pml.trail
```

Assertion Violation

- SPIN has found a execution trace that violates the assertion
- the generated trace is 11 steps long and it is contained in `model.pml.trail`

(Spin Version 6.0.1 -- 16 December 2010)
+ Partial Order Reduction

Meaning

- 1 Version of Spin that generated the verifier
- 2 Optimized search technique

C Pan's Output Format

Full statespace search for:

never-claim	-	(none specified)
assertion violations	+	
acceptance cycles	-	(not selected)
invalid endstates	+	

Meaning

- 1 Type of search: exhaustive search (Bitstate search for approx.)
- 2 No never claim was used for this run
- 3 The search checked for violations of user specified assertions
- 4 The search did not check for the presence of acceptance or non-progress cycles
- 5 The search checked for invalid endstates (i.e., for absence of deadlocks)

```
State-vector 32 byte, depth reached 13, errors: 0
```

Meaning

- 1 The complete description of a global system state required 32 bytes of memory (per state).
- 2 The longest depth-first search path contained 13 transitions from the initial system state.
 - `./pan -mN` set max search depth to N steps
- 3 No errors were found in this search.

C Pan's Output Format

74 states, stored

30 states, matched

104 transitions (= stored+matched)

1 atomic steps

1.533 memory usage (Mbyte)

Meaning

- 1 A total of 74 unique global system states were stored in the statespace.
- 2 In 30 cases the search returned to a previously visited state in the search tree.
- 3 A total of 104 transitions were explored in the search.
- 4 One of the transitions was part of an atomic sequence.
- 5 Total memory usage was 1.533 Megabytes,

C Pan's Output Format

```
unreached in proctype ProcA
    line 7, state 8, "Gaap = 4"
    (1 of 13 states)
unreached in proctype :init:
    line 21, state 14, "Gaap = 3"
    (1 of 19 states)
```

Meaning

A listing of the state numbers and approximate line numbers for the basic statements in the specification that were not reached \Rightarrow since this is a full statespace search, these transitions are effectively unreachable (dead code).

C Pan's Output Format

```
error: max search depth too small
```

Meaning

It indicates that search was truncated by depth-bound (i.e. the depth bound prevented it from searching the complete statespace).

- `./pan -m50`
sets a bound on the depth of the search

Nota Bene

When the search is bounded, SPIN will not be exploring part of the system statespace, and the omitted part may contain property violations that you want to detect \Rightarrow you cannot assume that the system has no violations!