Using EDF in Linux: SCHED_DEADLINE

Luca Abeni luca.abeni@unitn.it

Using Fixed Priorities in Linux

- SCHED_FIFO and SCHED_RR use fixed priorities
 - They can be used for real-time tasks, to implement RM and DM
 - Real-time tasks have priority over non real-time (SCHED_OTHER) tasks
- The difference between the two policies is visible when more tasks have the same priority
 - In real-time applications, try to avoid multiple tasks with the same priority

Setting the Scheduling Policy

- If pid == 0, then the parameters of the running task are changed
- The only meaningful field of struct sched_param is sched_priority

Problems with Real-Time Priorities

- In general, "regular" (SCHED_OTHER) tasks are scheduled in background respect to real-time ones
- Real-time tasks can / starve other applications
- Example: the following task scheduled at high priority can make a CPU / core unusable

```
void bad_bad_task()
{
    while(1);
}
```

- Real-time computation have to be limited (use real-time priorities only when really needed!)
- Using real-time priorities requires root privileges (or part of them!)

- A "bad" rt task can make a CPU / core unusable...
- ...Linux provides the *real-time throttling* mechanism
 - How does real-time throttling interfere with real-time guarantees?
 - Given a priority assignment, a taskset is guaranteed all the deadlines if no throttling mechanism is used...
 - ...But, what happens in case of throttling?
- Very useful idea, but something more "theoretically founded" might be needed...

- Can EDF (or similar) be supported in Linux?
- Problem: the kernel is not aware of tasks deadlines...
- ...But deadlines are needed to schedule the tasks
 - EDF schedules tasks based on absolute deadlines
- So, a more advanced API is needed...

Real-Time Operating Systems and Middleware

EDF on a real OS

- More advanced API:
 - Assign relative deadlines D_i to the tasks...
 - A *runtime* and a *period* are also needed
- Moreover, $d_{i,j} = r_{i,j} + D_i...$
 - ...However, how can the scheduler know $r_{i,j}$?
 - The scheduler is not aware of jobs...
- To use EDF, the scheduler must know when a job starts / finishes
 - Modify applications, or guess...

Real-Time Operating Systems and Middleware

Tasks and Jobs... And Scheduling Deadlines!

- Applications must be modified to signal the beginning / end of a job (some kind of startjob() / endjob() system call)...
- ...Or the scheduler can assume that a new job arrives each time a task wakes up!
- Alternative:assign dynamic scheduling deadlines
 - Scheduling deadline d_i^s : assigned by the kernel
 - If the scheduling deadline d_i^s matches the absolute deadline $d_{i,j}$ of a job, then the scheduler can respect $d_{i,j}!!!$

CBS: The Basic Idea

- Constant Bandwidth Server (CBS): algorithm to assign a dynamic scheduling deadline d_i^s to a task τ_i
- Based on the *Resource Reservation* paradigm
 - Task τ_i is periodically reserved a maximum runtime Q_i every reservation period P_i
- Temporal isolation between tasks
 - The worst case finishing time for a task does not depend on the other tasks...
 - ...Because the task is guaranteed to receive its reserved time

- Based on CPU reservations (Q_i, P_i)
 - If τ_i tries to execute for more than Q_i every P_i , the algorithm decreases its priority, or throttles it
 - τ_i has the same CPU utilisation of a task with WCET Q_i and period P_i
- Q_i/P_i : fraction of CPU time reserved to τ_i
- EDF on the scheduling deadlines $\Rightarrow \tau_i$ is guaranteed to receive Q_i time units every P_i if $\sum_j Q_j / P_j \le 1!!!$

Real-Time Operating Systems and Middleware

CBS: Schedulability

- EDF \rightarrow easy to guarantee the respect of <u>s</u>cheduling deadlines
 - Only on uni-processor / partitioned systems...
- *M* CPUs/cores with global scheduling: if $\sum_j Q_j / P_j \le M$ each task is guaranteed to receive Q_i every P_i with a maximum delay

- The CBS is based on EDF
 - Assigns scheduling deadlines d_i^s
 - EDF on $d_i^s \Rightarrow$ optimal on UP
- The CBS allows to serve *non periodic tasks*
 - Some reservation-based schedulers have problems with aperiodic job arrivals - due to the (in)famous "deferrable server problem"
 - Explicit support for aperiodic tasks (see the rule for assigning deadlines when a task wakes up)

Allows to support "self-suspending" tasks
 Real-Time Operating Systems and Middleware

CBS: the Algorithm - 1

- Each task τ_i is associated a scheduling deadline d_i^s and a current runtime q_i
 - Both initialised to 0 when the task is created
- When a task wakes up:
 - Check if the current scheduling deadline can be used ($d_i^s > t$ and $q_i/(d_i^s t) < Q_i/P_i$)
 - If not, $d_i^s = t + P_i$, $q_i = Q_i$

Real-Time Operating Systems and Middleware

CBS: the Algorithm - 2

- When τ_i executes for a time δ , $q_i = q_i \delta$
- When $q_i = 0$, τ_i cannot be scheduled (until time d_i^s)

• At time
$$d_i^s$$
, $d_i^s = d_i^s + P_i$ and $q_i = q_i + Q_i$

- New SCHED_DEADLINE scheduling policy
 - Foreground respect to all of the other policies
- Uses the CBS to assign scheduling deadline to SCHED_DEADLINE tasks
 - Assign a (maximum) runtime Q_i and a (reservation) period P_i to every SCHED_DEADLINE task
 - Additional parameter: relative deadline D_i
 - The "check if the current scheduling deadline can be used" rule is used at task wake-up

SCHED_DEADLINE - 2

- Once the CBS has been used to assign scheduling deadlines to tasks...
- ...Use EDF (based on scheduling deadlines) to schedule them
- What about multiple CPUs?
 - Both global EDF and partitioned EDF are possible
 - Configurable through the cpuset mechanism

Using SCHED_DEADLINE

- Linux provides a (non standard) API for using SCHED_DEADLINE, but...
- ...How to dimension the scheduling parameters?
 - (Maximum) runtime Q_i
 - (Reservation) period P_i
- Obviously, it must be

$$\sum_{i} \frac{Q_i}{P_i} \le M$$

• The kernel can do this admission control

Real-Time Operating Systems and Middleware

- Temporal isolation
 - Each task can be guaranteed independently from the others
- SCHED_DEADLINE can be used to serve both hard real-time and soft real-time tasks!
 - The scheduling parameters must be assigned according to the kind of task
 - Hard schedulability property or stochastic analysis

- Hard Schedulability property
 - If Q_i ≥ C_i and P_i ≤ T_i (maximum runtime larger than WCET, and server period smaller than task period)...
 - ...Then the scheduling deadlines are equal to the jobs' deadlines!!!
- All deadlines are guaranteed to be respected (on UP / partitioned systems), or an upper bound for the tardiness is provided (if global scheduling is used)!!!
- Hard real-time tasks need partitioned scheduling!

- What happens if $Q_i < C_i$, or $P_i > T_i$?
 - $\frac{Q_i}{P_i}$ must be larger than $\overline{c_i}/\overline{t_i}$
 - ...Otherwise, $d_i^s \to \infty$ and there will be no control on the task's response times
- Possible to do some stochastic analysis
 - Given $\overline{c_i} < Q_i < C_i$, $T_i = nP_i$, and the probability distributions of execution and inter-arrival times
 - ...It is possible to find the probability distribution of the response times (and the probability to miss a deadline)!

- Tasks' parameters (execution and inter-arrival times) can change during the tasks lifetime... So, how to dimension Q_i and P_i ?
- Short-term variations: CPU reclaiming mechanisms (GRUB, ...)
 - If a job does not consume all of the runtime Q_i , maybe the residual runtime can be used by other tasks...
- Long-term variations: adaptive reservations
 - Generally "slower", can be implemented by a

USER-Space daemon Real-Time Operating Systems and Middleware