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Dynamic Priorities

- RM and DM are optimal *fixed priority* assignments
- Maybe we can improve schedulability by using *dynamic priorities*?
  - Fixed priority scheduling: a task $\tau$ always has the same priority
  - Dynamic priority scheduling: $\tau$’s priority can change during time...
  - Assumption: priorities change from job to job (a job $J_{i,j}$ always has the same priority $p_{h,k}$)
Earliest Deadline First

- Dynamic task priority / fixed job priority
  - Task $\tau_i$’s priority can change $\rightarrow p_i$ is not constant
  - Job $J_{i,j}$’s priority does not change $\rightarrow p_{i,j}$ is constant

- Simplest idea: give priority to tasks with the earliest absolute deadline: $d_{i,j} < d_{h,k} \Rightarrow p_{i,j} > p_{h,k}$

- WARNING: absolute deadline, not relative deadline!

- Earliest Deadline First (EDF)
  - DM $\rightarrow$ relative deadlines; EDF $\rightarrow$ absolute deadlines
Yes we can! (of course)

- Consider a system of periodic tasks with relative deadline equal to the period.
- The system is schedulable with EDF if and only if

\[
\sum_i \frac{C_i}{T_i} \leq 1
\]

- \( U_{lub} = 1 \) !!! Optimal algorithm!!!

- If \( D_i \neq T_i \):

- TDA or RTA can be used... But can be complex!
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Is EDF so Wonderful?

- First answer would be “yes”
- But it is not so well supported by mainline OS (or even RTOS)...
  - Why???
- Up to some time ago, no widely used RTOS provided EDF
  - But things are rapidly changing!
  - A scheduling policy based on EDF is in mainline Linux since 3.14!!!