

Course “Automated Reasoning”
TEST

Roberto Sebastiani
DISI, Università di Trento, Italy

June 17th, 2021

Name (please print):

769857918

Surname (please print):

1

Consider the propositional semantics of “ $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ ” (“ α implies β ”).

For each of the following facts, say whether it is true or false under the standard interpretation of “even”, “odd”, “Rome”, “Florence”, “Tunisi”, “Bangkok”, “Asia”, “Africa”, “is”, “is in”, and of the propositions “[...]” built on top of them.

(a) [5 is even] \rightarrow [Rome is in Asia].

(b) [5 is odd] \rightarrow [Florence is in Italy].

(c) [5 is odd] \rightarrow [Rome is in Asia].

(d) [Rome is in Asia] \rightarrow [5 is odd]


[SCORING [0...100]:

- +25pts for each correct answer
- -25pts for each incorrect answer
- 0pts for each unanswered question

]

2

Given the following OBDD, with the ordering $\{ A_5, A_1, A_3, A_2, A_4 \}$,



for each of the following Boolean formulas, say whether the OBDD represents it or not.

- (a) $(\neg A_5 \rightarrow (\neg A_1 \rightarrow (\neg A_3 \rightarrow (\neg A_2 \rightarrow A_4))))$
- (b) $(A_2 \vee A_1 \vee A_5 \vee A_3 \vee A_4)$
- (c) $(A_3 \wedge A_5 \wedge A_4 \wedge A_1 \wedge A_2)$
- (d) $(A_5 \rightarrow (A_1 \rightarrow (A_3 \rightarrow (A_2 \rightarrow \neg A_4))))$

[SCORING [0...100]:

- +25pts for each correct answer
- -25pts for each incorrect answer
- 0pts for each unanswered question

]

3

Consider the following two \mathcal{DL} formulas:

$$\varphi_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x_2 - x_1 \leq 5) \wedge (x_3 - x_2 \leq -6) \wedge (x_5 - x_4 \leq -4) \wedge (x_6 - x_5 \leq -7) \wedge (x_8 - x_7 \leq 4)$$

$$\varphi_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x_4 - x_3 \leq 3) \wedge (x_7 - x_6 \leq -1) \wedge (x_1 - x_8 \leq 5)$$

For each of the following facts, say if it is true or false

(a) The following is a \mathcal{DL} interpolant of $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle$:

$$(x_3 - x_1 \leq -1) \wedge (x_6 - x_4 \leq -11) \wedge (x_8 - x_7 \leq 4)$$

(b) The following is a \mathcal{LRA} interpolant of $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle$:

$$(x_3 - x_1 + x_6 - x_4 + x_8 - x_7 \leq -8)$$

(c) The following is a \mathcal{DL} interpolant of $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle$

$$(x_2 - x_1 \leq 5) \wedge (x_3 - x_2 \leq -6) \wedge (x_5 - x_4 \leq -4) \wedge (x_6 - x_5 \leq -7) \wedge (x_4 - x_3 \leq 3) \wedge (x_7 - x_6 \leq -1) \wedge (x_1 - x_8 \leq 5) \wedge (x_8 - x_7 \leq 4)$$

(d) The following is a \mathcal{DL} interpolant of $\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle$

$$(x_3 - x_1 \leq -1) \wedge (x_6 - x_4 \leq -11)$$


[SCORING [0...100]:

- +25pts for each correct answer
- -25pts for each incorrect answer
- 0pts for each unanswered question

]

4

Consider the following Kripke Model M :



For each of the following facts, say if it is true or false in LTL.

- (a) $M \models \mathbf{GF}p$
- (b) $M \models \mathbf{FG}\neg p$
- (c) $M \models p\mathbf{U}q$
- (d) $M \models (\mathbf{GF}\neg p \wedge \mathbf{GF}\neg q) \rightarrow p$

[SCORING [0...100]:


- +25pts for each correct answer
- -25pts for each incorrect answer
- 0pts for each unanswered question

]


5

For each of the following fact regarding Buchi automata, say if it true or false.


(a) The following BA represents $\mathbf{FG}q$:




(b) The following BA represents $\mathbf{FG}q$:



(c) The following BA represents $p\mathbf{U}q$:



(d) The following BA represents $p\mathbf{U}q$:



[SCORING [0...100]:

- +25pts for each correct answer
- -25pts for each incorrect answer
- 0pts for each unanswered question

]

6

Consider the following propositional formula φ :

$$((\neg A_5 \wedge A_1) \vee (A_7 \wedge A_2) \vee (\neg A_3 \wedge \neg A_1) \vee (A_4 \wedge \neg A_2))$$

1. Using the *improved* CNF_{label} conversion, produce the CNF formula $CNF_{label}(\varphi)$.
2. For each of the following sentences, only one is true. Say which one.
 - (a) φ and $CNF_{label}(\varphi)$ are equivalent.
 - (b) φ and $CNF_{label}(\varphi)$ are not necessarily equivalent. $CNF_{label}(\varphi)$ has a model if and only if φ has a model.
 - (c) There is no relation between the satisfiability of φ and that of $CNF_{label}(\varphi)$.

[SCORING: [0...100], 75pts for correct answer 1, 25pts for correct answer 2. No penalties for wrong answers..]

7

Consider the following piece of a much bigger formula, which has been fed to a CDCL SAT solver:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_1 &: \neg A_9 \vee A_{12} \vee \neg A_1 \\
 c_2 &: A_9 \vee \neg A_7 \vee \neg A_3 \\
 c_3 &: \neg A_{11} \vee A_5 \vee A_2 \\
 c_4 &: \neg A_{10} \vee \neg A_{12} \vee A_{11} \\
 c_5 &: \neg A_{11} \vee A_6 \vee A_4 \\
 c_6 &: \neg A_9 \vee A_{10} \vee \neg A_1 \\
 c_7 &: A_9 \vee A_8 \vee \neg A_3 \\
 c_8 &: \neg A_5 \vee \neg A_6 \\
 c_9 &: A_7 \vee \neg A_8 \vee A_{13} \\
 &\dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose the solver has decided, in order, the following literals (possibly interleaved by others not occurring in the above clauses):

$$\{\dots, A_1, \dots, \neg A_2, \dots, \neg A_4, \dots, A_3, \dots, \neg A_{13}, \dots, A_9\}$$

- (a) List the sequence of unit-propagations following after the last decision, each literal tagged (in square brackets) by its antecedent clause
- (b) Derive the conflict clause via conflict analysis by means of the 1st-UIP technique
- (c) Using the 1st-UIP backjumping strategy, update the list of literals above after the backjumping step and the unit-propagation of the UIP

[SCORING: [0...100], 25 points each for correct answers to (a) and (c), 50 points for correct answer to (b). No penalties for wrong answers..]

8

Consider the following SMT formula in the theory of linear arithmetic on the rationals (\mathcal{LRA}).

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = & \{(v_1 - v_2 \leq 3) \vee A_2\} \wedge \\ & \{\underline{\neg(2v_3 + v_4 \geq 5)} \vee \underline{\neg(v_1 - v_3 \leq 6)} \vee \neg A_1\} \wedge \\ & \{A_1 \vee (v_1 - v_2 \leq 3)\} \wedge \\ & \{\underline{(v_2 - v_4 \leq 6)} \vee (v_5 = 5 - 3v_4) \vee \neg A_1\} \wedge \\ & \{\underline{\neg(v_2 - v_3 > 2)} \vee A_1\} \wedge \\ & \{\underline{\neg A_2} \vee (v_1 - v_5 \leq 1)\} \wedge \\ & \{A_1 \vee \underline{(v_3 = v_5 + 6)} \vee A_2\} \end{aligned}$$

and consider the partial truth assignment μ given by the underlined literals above:

$$\{\underline{\neg(v_2 - v_3 > 2)}, \neg A_2, \neg(v_1 - v_3 \leq 6), (v_2 - v_4 \leq 6), (v_3 = v_5 + 6)\}.$$

1. Does (the Boolean abstraction of) μ propositionally satisfy (the Boolean abstraction of) φ ?
2. Is μ satisfiable in \mathcal{LRA} ?
 - (a) If no, find a minimal conflict set for μ and the corresponding conflict clause C .
 - (b) If yes, show one unassigned literal which can be deduced from μ , and show the corresponding deduction clause C .

[SCORING: [0...100], 25 points correct answers to (a), 75 points for correct answer to (b). No penalties for wrong answers..]

9

For each of the following FOL formulas, compute its CNF-ization.

Use symbols C_1, C_2, C_3, \dots for Skolem constants and symbols F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots for Skolem functions.

(a) $\exists x. \forall y. \exists z. \text{RepairsWith}(x, y, z)$

(b) $(\exists x. \forall y. \exists z. \text{RepairsWith}(x, y, z)) \rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. \exists z. \text{AskToRepair}(x, y, z))$


(c) $\forall x. (\forall y. \text{Cares}(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z. \text{IsLovedBy}(x, z))$

(d) $\forall x. (\exists y. \text{Cares}(x, y) \rightarrow \forall z. \text{IsLovedBy}(x, z))$

[SCORING: [0..100], 25 pts for each correct answer, no penalties for wrong answers.]

10

Given the following generalized Büchi automaton $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta, I, FT \rangle$, $\{a, b\}$ being labels, with two sets of accepting states $FT \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{F1, F2\}$ s.t. $F1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{s2\}, F2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{s1\}$:



convert it into an equivalent plain Büchi automaton.

[SCORING: [0...100], 100 pts for a correct answer. No penalties for a wrong answer.]