1. Classical Categorial Grammar

- ▶ Aim: To build a language recognition device.
- ▶ Who: Lesniewski (1929), Ajdukiewicz (1935), Bar-Hillel (1953).
- ▶ How: Linguistic strings are seen as the result of function applications starting from the categories assigned to lexicon items.
- ▶ Language: Given a set of basic categories ATOM, the set of categories CAT is the smallest set such that:
 - \triangleright if $X \in \mathsf{ATOM}$, then $X \in \mathsf{CAT}$;
 - \triangleright if $X, Y \in \mathsf{ATOM}$, then $X/Y, Y \setminus X \in \mathsf{CAT}$
- ▶ Rules: The above categories can be composed by means of functional application rules

$$\frac{X/Y \quad Y}{X} \text{ [MP_r]} \qquad \qquad \frac{Y \quad Y \backslash X}{X} \text{ [MP_l]}$$

2. Classical Categorial Grammar. Examples

Given ATOM = $\{np, s, n, pp\}$, we can build the following lexicon:

Lexicon

John, Mary
$$\in np$$
 the $\in np/n$
student $\in n$ to $\in pp/np$
walks $\in np \setminus s$ talks $\in (np \setminus s)/pp$
sees $\in (np \setminus s)/np$ some student $\in s/(np \setminus s)$

Analysis

John walks
$$\in s$$
? $\rightsquigarrow np, np \setminus s \Rightarrow s$? Yes
$$\frac{np \quad np \setminus s}{s} \text{ [MP_1]}$$
John sees Mary $\in s$? $\rightsquigarrow np, (np \setminus s)/np, np \Rightarrow s$? Yes
$$\frac{(np \setminus s)/np \quad np}{s} \text{ [MP_1]}$$

$$\frac{np \quad np \setminus s}{s} \text{ [MP_1]}$$

3. Categories and Types

We can define the following translation tr from types to categories.

$$\begin{array}{llll} \operatorname{tr}(e) & = & np & & \operatorname{m}_e & \operatorname{iff} & np : \operatorname{m} \\ \operatorname{tr}(t) & = & s & & \operatorname{S}_t & \operatorname{iff} & s : \operatorname{S} \\ \operatorname{tr}(\langle a,b\rangle) & = & \operatorname{tr}(a)/\operatorname{tr}(b) & & \operatorname{W}_{\langle a,b\rangle} & \operatorname{iff} & \operatorname{tr}(b)/\operatorname{tr}(a) : \operatorname{W} \\ & = & \operatorname{tr}(b)\backslash\operatorname{tr}(a) & & \operatorname{or} & \operatorname{tr}(a)\backslash\operatorname{tr}(b) : \operatorname{W} \end{array}$$

Modus ponens corresponds to functional application.

$$\frac{X/Y:t-Y:r}{X:t(r)} [MP_{\Gamma}] \qquad \qquad \frac{Y:r-Y\backslash X:t}{X:t(r)} [MP_{l}]$$

Example

$$\frac{np: \mathtt{john} \quad np \backslash s: \mathtt{walk}}{s: \mathtt{walk}(\mathtt{john})} \ [\mathrm{MPl}]$$

$$np \setminus s : \lambda x. \mathtt{walk}(x) \quad (\lambda x. \mathtt{walk}(x))(\mathtt{john}) \leadsto_{\lambda-\mathrm{conv.}} \mathtt{walk}(\mathtt{john})$$

4. Lambek Calculus

Jim Lambek [1958] defines the logic behind Catergorial Grammar, considering categories as formulae and \, / as logic connectives.

Rules: Natural Deduction proof format [Elimination and Introduction rules]

Besides functional applications rules – which correspond to the elimination of \setminus , / – we have their introduction rules. $\Gamma \vdash A$ means that A derives from Γ ; Γ , Δ stand for structures, A, B, C for logic formulae.

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash B/A \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\Delta, \Gamma \vdash B} \text{ [/E]} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Delta \vdash A \backslash B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash B} \text{ [\E]}$$

$$\frac{\Delta, B \vdash C}{\Delta \vdash C/B} \text{ [/I]} \qquad \frac{B, \Delta \vdash C}{\Delta \vdash B \backslash C} \text{ [\I]}$$

John and some student went to the park $\in s$?

'and' conjunct expressions of the same category;

We have: John $\in np$, some student $\in s/(np \setminus s)$;

Hence we need $s/(np \backslash s) \vdash np$ or $np \vdash s/(np \backslash s)$.

$$\frac{\frac{\text{john } \in np}{np \vdash np} \text{ [Lex]} \quad [np \backslash s \vdash np \backslash s]^{1}}{\frac{np \circ np \backslash s \vdash s}{np \vdash s/(np \backslash s)} \text{ [/I]}^{1}} \text{ [\E]}$$

$$\frac{\overline{\mathrm{john}} \in np : \mathtt{john}}{\frac{np \vdash np : \mathtt{john}}{np \vdash np \setminus s \vdash s : P(\mathtt{john})}} \frac{[np \backslash s \vdash np \backslash s : P]^{1}}{np \vdash s/(np \backslash s) : \lambda P.P(\mathtt{john})} [/\mathrm{I}]^{1}$$

The introduction rules correspond to λ -abstraction.



6. Lambek calculus. Advantages

- ▶ **Hypothetical reasoning:** Having added [\I], [/I] gives the system the right expressivity to reason about hypothesis and abstract over them.
- ▶ Curry Howard Correspondence: Curry-Howard correspondence holds between proofs and terms. This means that parsed structures are assigned an interpretation into a model via the connection 'categories-terms'.
- ▶ Logic: We have moved from a grammar to a logic. Hence its behavior can be studied. The system is sound, complete and decidable.

Lambek calculus. Limits

- No explicit structural reasoning: There is no way to speak about the structures and have control on them. If we consider the system commutative and/or associative overgeneration problems arise. If we do not the system will undergenerate.
- 1. The book that Dodgson wrote $\in np$?

2. that Dogson dedicated to Liddell $\in n \setminus n$

$$\frac{[x \vdash np]^{1}}{\vdots}$$

$$\frac{(D \text{ (dedicated } x)(\text{to L})) \vdash s}{D \text{ (dedicated (to L))} \vdash s/np}} [/I]$$

$$\frac{\text{that } \vdash (n \setminus n)/(s/np)}{\text{that (D (dedicated (to L)))} \vdash n \setminus n} [\setminus E]$$

3. The Mad Hatter loves himself vs. * The Mad Hatter thinks Alice loves himself.

$$\frac{\text{think} \vdash (np \backslash s)/s \quad \text{Alice (loves } x) \vdash s}{\text{thinks (Alice (loves } x)) \vdash np \backslash s} \ [/E]}$$

$$\frac{\text{thinks (Alice (loves } x)) \vdash np \backslash s}{\text{thinks (Alice loves)} \vdash (np \backslash s)/np} \ [/I]$$

$$himself \vdash ((np \setminus s)/np) \setminus (np \setminus s) : \lambda P_{tv} z_{np} . P(z)(z)$$

$$(\underbrace{\text{The Mad Hatter}}_{np})((\underbrace{\text{loves}}_{tv})\text{himself}) = (\underbrace{\text{The Mad Hatter}}_{np})((\underbrace{\text{thinks Alice loves}}_{tv})\text{himself})$$

Contents First Last Prev Next

8. Multimodal Lambek Calculus

Frames $F = \langle W, R^2, R^3 \rangle$

W: 'signs', resources, expressions

 R^3 : 'Merge', grammatical composition

 R^2 : 'feature checking', structural control

Models $\mathcal{M} = \langle F, V \rangle$

Valuation $V: \mathsf{TYPE} \mapsto \mathcal{P}(W)$: types as sets of expressions

Interpretation of the constants

$$V(\diamondsuit A) = \{x \mid \exists y (R_s^2 xy \& y \in V(A))\}$$

$$V(\textcircled{s}^{\downarrow} A) = \{x \mid \forall y (R_s^2 yx \Rightarrow y \in V(A))\}$$

$$V(C/B) = \{x \mid \forall y \forall z [(R^3 z x y \& y \in V(B)) \Rightarrow z \in V(C)]\}$$

$$V(A \setminus C) = \{y \mid \forall x \forall z [(R^3 z x y \& x \in V(A)) \Rightarrow z \in V(C)]\}$$

Proof System

Logic Rules: Besides the logic rules of $(\setminus, /)$ we have the introduction and elimination rules for the unary operators (�, 🗊 1)

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \circledast A \quad \Gamma[\langle A \rangle^s] \vdash B}{\Gamma[\Delta] \vdash B} \ [\circledast E] \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\langle \Gamma \rangle^s \vdash \circledast A} \ [\circledast I]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{S}^{\downarrow} A}{\langle \Gamma \rangle^s \vdash A} \ [\mathbb{S}^{\downarrow} E] \qquad \frac{\langle \Gamma \rangle^s \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{S}^{\downarrow} A} \ [\mathbb{S}^{\downarrow} I]$$

Structural Rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma[\langle \Delta_1 \circ \Delta_2 \rangle^u] \vdash A}{\Gamma[\langle \Delta_1 \rangle^u \circ \langle \Delta_2 \rangle^u] \vdash A} [\operatorname{Pol}_u] \qquad \frac{\Gamma[\langle \Delta \rangle^u \rangle^s] \vdash A}{\Gamma[\langle \Delta \rangle^v] \vdash A} [\operatorname{Pol}_{u,s}]$$

Computation

$$\frac{\Gamma[\langle\langle\Delta\rangle^u\rangle^s] \vdash A}{\Gamma[\langle\Delta\rangle^v] \vdash A} \ [\text{Pol}_{u,s}]$$

where $s, u, v \in \{+, -\}$ and v = sq(u, s).