

Exercises on Tableaux for FoL

University of Trento

19 March 2014

1 Model

(a) Let $\mathcal{L} = \langle P, D, G \rangle$ be a FOL language with P e D unary symbols and G a binary symbol. Given the model with the following domain $D = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and interpretation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(P) &= \{0, 2\}, \\ \mathcal{I}(D) &= \{1\}, \\ \mathcal{I}(G) &= \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 2)\},\end{aligned}$$

verify whether in \mathcal{M} the following formulas are true and explain your answer

- (1) $\forall x(\exists y((P(x) \vee D(x)) \rightarrow G(x, y)))$
- (2) $\exists x(\forall y(\neg G(x, y)))$

(b) Let $\mathcal{L} = \langle P, S \rangle$ be a FOL language with S and P binary and unary symbols, respectively. Given the model with the following domain $D = \{0, 1\}$, and interpretation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(P) &= \{1\}, \\ \mathcal{I}(S) &= \{(0, 1), (1, 1)\},\end{aligned}$$

verify whether in \mathcal{M} the following formulas are true and explain the answer.

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x(\exists y(S(x, y) \rightarrow P(y))) \\ \exists x(\forall y(S(x, y) \wedge P(y)))\end{aligned}$$

2 Tautology

Check whether the following formula is a tautology:

1. $\forall x(F(x) \rightarrow F(a))$
2. $\neg(\exists xFx \wedge \forall x\neg Fx)$

3 Valid Entailment

Check whether the following entailments are valid:

1. $\forall x \forall y (Fxy \rightarrow \neg Fyx) \models \neg \exists Fxx$
2. $\exists x \forall y Lxy \models \forall x \exists y Lyx$
3. $\exists x \exists y Lxy \models \exists x Lxx$
4. $\forall x \exists y Lxy \models Laa$

4 Solutions

4.1 Tautology

1.

1	$\neg(\forall xF(x) \rightarrow F(a))$	
2	$\exists x\neg(F(x) \rightarrow F(a))$	from 1
3	$\neg(F(b) \rightarrow F(a))$	from 2
4	$F(b)$	from 3
5	$\neg F(a)$	from 3

2.

1.	$\exists xFx \wedge \forall x\neg Fx$	
2.	$\exists xFx$	from 1
3.	$\forall x\neg Fx$	from 1
4.	Fa	from 2
5.	$\neg Fa$	from 3

4.2 Valid Entailment

1.

1.	from
2.	from
3.	from
4.	from

2.

1.	$\exists x\forall yLxy$	Prem
2.	$\neg(\forall x\exists yLyx)$	from negation of Conc
3.	$\forall yLay$	from 1
4.	$\exists x\neg\exists yLyx$	from 2
5.	$\neg yLyb$	from 4
6.	$\forall y\neg Lyb$	from 5
7.	Lab	from
8.	$\neg Lab$	from
	X	Contradiction Lab and $\neg Lab$

The entailment is valid.

3.

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | $\exists x \exists y Lxy$ | Prem |
| 2. | $\neg(\exists x Lxx)$ | negation of Conc |
| 3. | $\exists y Lay$ | from Prem |
| 4. | Lab | from 3 |
| 5. | $\forall x \neg Lxx$ | from 2 |
| 6. | $\neg Laa$ | from 5 |
| 7. | $\neg Lbb$ | from 5 |

The entailment is not valid. The counter-example is given by the model with $D = \{a, b\}$ and $\mathcal{I}(L) = \{(a, b)\}$

4.

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | $\forall x \exists y Lxy$ | Prem |
| 2. | $\neg Laa$ | negation of Conc |
| 3. | $\exists y Lay$ | from 1 |
| 4. | Lab | from |

The entailment is not valid. The counter-example is given by the model with $D = \{a, b\}$ and $\mathcal{I}(L) = \{(a, b)\}$